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Abstract

R0: Oct 1 Telecon Minutes – initial

R1: Oct 15 Telecon Minutes added

R2: Oct 18 Telecon Minutes added

R3 – Action Items list added to Abstract and corrected CID 154 should be 152 (2.10.3):

R4: Oct 22 Telecon Minutes added

R5: Oct 25 Telecon Minutes added.

R6: Oct 29 Telecon Minutes Added.

R7 – Typos corrected –

3.10.5 Changed to MAC CID.

3.9.5 Correct CID number = 342.

5.7.2.3 Correct quoted text "Any additional parameters"

ACTION ITEMS:

* + 1. ACTION ITEMS #1:
       1. Emily and Edward to ask the Reflector if there are any 11ay implementations that may be affected.
       2. Robert to add an ANA standing item be added to the Editor meeting.
       3. Michael to schedule agenda Time to be scheduled to prepare the Corrigenda PAR for
       4. Jon to prepare a PAR Request for Corrigenda.

2.10.6.5 ACTION ITEM #2: Mark H and Mark R to work together for creating the Reject rationale for CID 178 (MAC).

* + 1. ACTION ITEM #3 – Mark RISON – Reach out to S1G SME to comment on CID 342 (PHY).

5.10.74 ACTION ITEM #4: Jon ROSDAHL to put discussion of CID 372 on the reflector.

1. **TGme (REVme) Telecon Friday October 1st, 2021, at 10-12:00 ET**
   1. Called to order 10:02am ET by the TG Chair, Michael MONTEMURRO (Huawei).
      1. Introductions of Officers.
         1. Vice Chair - Mark HAMILTON (Ruckus/CommScope)
         2. Vice Chair - Mark RISON (Samsung)
         3. Editor - Emily QI (Intel)
         4. Editor – Edward AU (Huawei)
         5. Secretary - Jon ROSDAHL (Qualcomm)
   2. Notice of Blocking of Listserv traffic given (Sept 24-29), Please check archive to ensure you have seen all the emails sent to the stds-802-11-TGm reflector.
   3. **Attendance:**
      1. IMAT Reported attendance

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | Name | Affiliation |
| 1 | Au, Kwok Shum | Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd |
| 2 | Coffey, John | Realtek Semiconductor Corp. |
| 3 | Hamilton, Mark | Ruckus/CommScope |
| 4 | Kim, Youhan | Qualcomm Incorporated |
| 5 | Levy, Joseph | InterDigital, Inc. |
| 6 | Lou, Hanqing | InterDigital, Inc. |
| 7 | Lumbatis, Kurt | CommScope, Inc. |
| 8 | McCann, Stephen | Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd |
| 9 | Montemurro, Michael | Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd |
| 10 | NANDAGOPALAN, SAI SHANKAR | Synaptics |
| 11 | Petrick, Albert | Jones-Petrick and Associates, LLC. |
| 12 | RISON, Mark | Samsung Cambridge Solution Centre |
| 13 | Rosdahl, Jon | Qualcomm Technologies, Inc. |
| 14 | Torab Jahromi, Payam | Facebook |
| 15 | Wei, Dong | NXP Semiconductors |
| 16 | YANG, RUI | InterDigital, Inc. |

* + 1. Webex Attendance not in IMAT:
       1. Dave HALASZ (Morse Micro)
       2. Emily QI (Intel)
  1. **Review Patent Policy and Copyright policy** and Participation Policies.
     1. No issues were noted.
  2. **Review agenda:11-21/1572r1:**
     1. <https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-1572-01-000m-sep-nov-teleconference-agendas.docx>
     2. **The approved agenda for the Sept 27-Nov 1 teleconferences is below:**

1.       Call to order, attendance (<https://imat.ieee.org/attendance> ), and patent and copyright policy

a.       Patent Policy: Ways to inform IEEE:

1. Cause an LOA to be submitted to the IEEE-SA ([patcom@ieee.org](mailto:patcom@ieee.org)); or
2. Provide the chair of this group with the identity of the holder(s) of any and all such claims as soon as possible; or
3. Speak up now and respond to this Call for Potentially Essential Patents

If anyone in this meeting is personally aware of the holder of any patent claims that are potentially essential to implementation of the proposed standard(s) under consideration by this group and that are not already the subject of an Accepted Letter of Assurance, please respond at this time by providing relevant information to the WG Chair

b. Copyright Policy:

1. By participating in this activity, you agree to comply with the IEEE Code of Ethics, all applicable laws, and all IEEE policies and procedures including, but not limited to, the IEEE SA Copyright Policy.

c.      Patent, Participation and policy related slides: See slides 4-19 in <https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-0935-01-0000-2nd-vice-chair-report-july-2021.pptx>

2.       Editor report – Emily QI/Edward AU

3.       Comment resolution and motions

1. **Monday September 27, 2021 – 10am – noon Eastern**
   1. Discussion on additional teleconferences

(Open Fridays Oct 15, 22, 29, Nov 5)

* 1. Comment resolution
     1. CID 12 – Chair – Michael MONTEMURRO
     2. Document 11-21/1383 – GEN CIDs - Stephen MCCANN (Huawei)
     3. Document 11-21/1128 – Mark RISON (Samsung)
     4. Document 11-21/829 – Assigned CIDs (including CID 294) -- Mark RISON (Samsung)
     5. Document 11-21/965 – PHY CIDs – Brian Hart (Cisco)

1. **Friday October 1, 2021 – 10am – noon Eastern**
   1. Comment resolution

Montemurro (Huawei) – CID 594, 116

Document 11-21/xxxx – Wentink (Qualcomm) – TDLS CIDs

Document 11-21/809 – Bhandaru (Broadcom)

Document 11-21/816 – Rison (Samsung)

1. **Monday October 18, 2021 – 10am – noon Eastern** 
   1. Comment resolution
      1. Document 11-21/1448 – Chen (Intel)
      2. Document 11-21/xxx – Qi (Intel) /Sakoda (Sony)
2. **Monday October 25, 2021 – 10am – noon Eastern** 
   1. Motions (document 11-21/758r7)
      1. TBA
   2. Comment resolution
      1. <>
3. **Monday November 1, 2021 – 10am – noon Eastern** 
   1. Comment resolution
      1. <>

5.       AOB

6. Adjourn

* + 1. No objection to the agenda – Unanimous Approval
  1. **Editor Report** – Emily QI (Intel)
     1. Most likely will get source file for 11ba next week.
     2. Most likely, we will have all the comment resolution and TGba into D0.04.
     3. CID 116 (SEC):
        1. Review comment
        2. Review comment history of different proposals.
        3. Discussion on the structure change that may be done later.
        4. Discussion on more changes that may or may not be a consensus point of view
        5. Proposed resolution: Revised: Replace

"If Sync is not greater than dot11RSNASAESync, the protocol instance shall verify that the finite cyclic group is the same as the previously received SAE Commit message. If not, the frame shall be silently discarded. If so, the protocol instance shall increment Sync, increment Sc, and transmit its SAE Commit message and its SAE Confirm message with the new Sc value."

with

"If Sync is not greater than dot11RSNASAESync, the protocol instance shall verify that the finite cyclic group is the same as in the previously received SAE Commit message. If it is not, the frame shall be silently discarded. Otherwise, the protocol instance shall increment Sync, increment Sc, and transmit its SAE Commit message and its SAE Confirm message with the new Sc value."

* + - 1. Straw poll on Question:
         1. Do you support what is being presented as a resolution (x.x.x)?
         2. Results: 5-3-3-3 Yes/No/Abstain/DNV
      2. Another suggested Editorial change was made also: "If Sync is not greater than dot11RSNASAESync, the protocol instance shall verify that the finite cyclic group is the same as in the previously received SAE Commit message, and if it is not, the frame shall be silently discarded. If Sync is greater than dot11RSNASAESync, the protocol instance shall increment Sync, increment Sc, and transmit its SAE Commit message and its SAE Confirm message with the new Sc value."
      3. More offline discussion will be done.
    1. CID 594 (SEC)
       1. Review comment
       2. Review proposed resolution
       3. Discussion on adding “parameter” after the parameter name.
       4. Discussion on the scope of the comment. The resolution covers what the commenter actually wanted, but the words in the comment is not precisely describing the requirement.
       5. How many counters for the Key RSC (p2631.13)? Each replay counter per TID.
       6. Review context - P418.20
       7. Proposed Resolution: Revise; At 418.31, Change "When the Key Type is Group, IGTK, or BIGTK, and the key matches the GTK, IGTK, or BIGTK, if any, installed as a result of EAPOL-Key frames (see 12.7.7.4 (Group key handshake implementation considerations)) or exiting WNM sleep mode (see 11.2.3.16.1 (WNM sleep mode capability)) receipt of this primitive shall have no effect,"

To

"When the Key Type parameter is Group, IGTK, or BIGTK, and the key matches the GTK, IGTK, or BIGTK, if any, installed as a result of EAPOL-Key frames (see 12.7.7.4 (Group key handshake implementation considerations)) or exiting WNM sleep mode (see 11.2.3.16.1 (WNM sleep mode capability)), and the Receive Sequence Count parameter is not greater than all of the current RSC value(s), receipt of this primitive shall have no effect."

* + - 1. No objection – Mark Ready for Motion
  1. **Review Document 11-21/816r6** – Rison (Samsung)
     1. <https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-0816-02-000m-on-a-msdu-addressing.docx>
     2. Abstract: This submission discusses the addresses present in the context of A-MSDUs, and constraints thereon.
     3. Review submission changes from last presentation.
     4. Combining the bullets in 10.11 (see page 5 of submission).

• The RA may be a group address if all of the following conditions are met:

O the frame is from an AP or PCP, or between IBSS, PBSS or mesh STAs

O the DAs in all A-MSDU subframe headers are group addresses, or the frame is transmitted under GLK and the RA is a SYNRA

Otherwise, the RA shall be an individual address.”

* + 1. Discussion on the contents of the “NOTE”. Ok to leave as is.
    2. Discussion on the wording of the 2nd NOTE - NOTE—The address AA-AA-03-00-00-00 is that which results from an attack in which an encrypted QoS Data frame not containing an A-MSDU (whose unencrypted frame body therefore starts with an LLC header followed by a SNAP header constructed per IETF RFC 1042) has the A-MSDU Present subfield changed to 1 by an attacker to cause it to appear to be a PP A-MSDU with multiple MSDUs (each preceded by an A-MSDU subframe header, which starts with the DA).
    3. Discussion on if the rules for DA are for 2, 3 or 4 address frames.
    4. Discussion on what do the NOTEs apply. so, some formatting of the NOTEs was done to help.
    5. Discussion on the changes to the 6th paragraph.
    6. Review new page 13
    7. Return to page 8 and continue the review of proposed changes.
    8. Discussion on Page 7 – End of the note – Keep new text in main line text and clarify note. Add in “signalling and payload …”
    9. The current direction is to complete the clarification on addressing in MESH, and will incorporate in a future version.
    10. Will schedule for November.
  1. **Review Doc 11-829r5** – Mark RISON
     1. <https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-0829-05-000m-resolutions-for-some-comments-on-11me-d0-0-cc35.docx>
     2. CID 488 (GEN)
        1. Review Comment
        2. Review proposed changes
        3. Suggestion to add better descriptors to the table changes.
        4. Suggest that we ask for editorial update to include a table number
        5. Clause 6 tables do not have table numbers which is a historical tradition, but we could add in a future revision.
        6. More work to refine the changes and bring back.
     3. CID 238 (ED1)
        1. Review Comment
        2. Review proposed changes
        3. Discussion on use of “/”.
        4. This was marked ready for motion during the Sept Interim:
     4. CID 238 (ED1)
        1. Review comment
        2. Review proposed changes.
        3. See 2170L19 and 2170L29 for context, not also lines 19 and 21 need different specific change.
        4. Proposed resolution: Revised; Make the changes shown under “Proposed changes” for CID 238 in 11-21/0829r3 <<https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-0829-03-000m-resolutions-for-some-comments-on-11me-d0-0-cc35.docx>>, which address the issue raised by the commenter.
        5. No objection – Mark Ready for Motion
        6. Two minor additions/corrections were discussed today.
        7. Remove about 5 “RXVECTOR from the TXVECTOR/RXVECTOR
        8. Updated resolution: Revised; Make the changes shown under “Proposed changes” for CID 238 in 11-21/0829r5 <<https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-0829-05-000m-resolutions-for-some-comments-on-11me-d0-0-cc35.docx>>, which address the issue raised by the commenter.
     5. CID 20 (PHY) - **From the PHY Database:**
        1. Review Comments
        2. Limited discussion
        3. Proposed Change: Change to "have pilots inserted following the steps described in 21.3.10.10 (Pilot subcarriers). The data subcarriers of the VHT-SIG-B field constellation points are mapped to N STS,u space-time streams by the user-specific elements of the first column of the P VHT-LTF matrix"
        4. Proposed Resolution: Accept
        5. Mark Ready for Motion
     6. CID 462 (SEC)
        1. Part of 11-21/816, so not reviewed yet.
     7. CID 477 (ED2)
        1. The specific locations of change are identified.
        2. Proposed to send to reflector to get feedback.
        3. Proposed Resolution: Revised. Make the changes shown under “Proposed changes” for CID 477 in 11-829r4 < <https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-0829-04-000m-resolutions-for-some-comments-on-11me-d0-0-cc35.docx> >, which identify the specific locations where “peer entity” is to be changed to “peer STA”.
        4. \No Objection - Mark Ready for motion – will review any feedback received.
     8. CID 340 (MAC)
        1. Review Comment
        2. Review Proposed Changes
        3. Proposed Resolution: CID 340 (MAC): REVISED (MAC: 2021-10-01 15:57:09Z): Make the changes shown under “Proposed changes” for CID 340 in 11-21/829r4 <<https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-0829-04-000m-resolutions-for-some-comments-on-11me-d0-0-cc35.docx>> , which make the last example into a Christmas tree.
        4. No objection – Mark Ready for Motion
     9. CID 324 (ED2)
        1. Review comment
        2. CID 324 (ED2): Attempt to get off-line consensus, before considering.
     10. CID 445 (MAC)
     11. Review Comment
  2. **Ran out of Time.** **– Next meeting Oct 15th.**
  3. **Adjourned 12:00pm**

1. **TGme (REVme) Telecon Friday October 15th, 2021, at 10-12:00 ET**
   1. **Called to order** 10:02am ET by the TG Chair, Michael MONTEMURRO (Huawei).
      1. Introductions of Officers.
         1. Vice Chair - Mark HAMILTON (Ruckus/CommScope)
         2. Vice Chair - Mark RISON (Samsung)
         3. Editor – Edward AU (Huawei)
         4. Secretary - Jon ROSDAHL (Qualcomm)
      2. Absent at beginning of call:
         1. Editor - Emily QI (Intel)
   2. **Attendance:**
      1. IMAT Reported attendance

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | Name | Affiliation |
| 1 | Bhandaru, Nehru | Broadcom Corporation |
| 2 | Halasz, David | Morse Micro |
| 3 | Hamilton, Mark | Ruckus/CommScope |
| 4 | Harkins, Daniel | Aruba Networks, Inc. |
| 5 | Joh, Hanjin | KT Corp. |
| 6 | Kim, Youhan | Qualcomm Incorporated |
| 7 | Malinen, Jouni | Qualcomm Incorporated |
| 8 | Montemurro, Michael | Huawei |
| 9 | Petrick, Albert | Jones-Petrick and Associates, LLC. |
| 10 | RISON, Mark | Samsung Cambridge Solution Centre |
| 11 | Rosdahl, Jon | Qualcomm Technologies, Inc. |
| 12 | Ward, Lisa | Rohde & Schwarz |
| 13 | Wei, Dong | NXP Semiconductors |
| 14 | YANG, RUI | InterDigital, Inc. |

* + 1. WebEx Attendance not in IMAT:
       1. [V] Yan Xin (Huawei)
       2. [V] Rui Yang, InterDigital
       3. [V] Robert Stacey, Intel
       4. [V] Ming Gan Huawei
       5. [V] Gaurav Patwardhan (HPE)
       6. [V] Emily Qi (Intel)
       7. [V] Solomon Trainin, Qualcomm
       8. [V] Joseph Levy (InterDigital)
       9. Edward Au, Huawei
       10. [V] Stephen McCann, Self
       11. [NV] Hanjin Joh, KT Corp.
  1. **Review agenda:11-21/1572r2:**
     1. <https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-1572-02-000m-sep-nov-teleconference-agendas.docx>
     2. Comment Resolution:

1. CID 21 - Chair
2. Montemurro (Huawei) – CID 594, 116
3. MAC CIDs – Hamilton (Ruckus/Commscope)
4. MAC CIDs – Rison (Samsung)
5. S1G CIDs – Halasz (Morse Micro)
6. 11-21/829 – Rison (Samsung)
   * 1. Change GEN to MAC CIDs for today.
     2. After discussion No objection for proposed Agenda modifications.
   1. **Review Patent Policy and Copyright policy** and Participation Policies.
      1. No issues were noted.
   2. **Editor Report** – Emily QI (Intel)
      1. Most of the roll-in is complete.
      2. They are in the Review stage
      3. Hope to have 802.11ba rolled in by Monday.
      4. No questions – Thanks for their hard work.
   3. **Review doc 11-21/1687r0**
      1. <https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-1687-00-000m-extended-rsn-capabilities-issues-in-ieee-802-11ay-2021.pptx>
      2. Problem Statement:
         1. There is an error in published standard IEEE 802.11ay-2021. In 9.4.2.241 Table 9-321 of 11ay, bit 6 has been assigned to “Protected Announce Support”.
         2. However, in the ANA database (see <https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/11/11-11-0270-56-0000-ana-database.xls>  Extended RSN Capabilities tab), bit 6 is assigned to WFA and used by existing 802.11 implementations.
      3. Proposed Solution:
         1. **ANA assigns a different number for 11ay**
         2. This might affect 11ay implementations. Any product with this implementation in the field?
         3. If agreed, REVme will update the draft with the new assignment.
         4. Do we need to revise the published standard IEEE 802.11ay-2021?
         5. **Any other options?**
      4. Discussion
         1. The bit was assigned by ANA to WFA.
         2. The 11ay assigned the bit after the MEC review which is when it normally would have been checked.
         3. We need to correct this and will need to provide a Corrigenda to 11ay.
         4. Regardless, we need to correct the assignment.
         5. This error occurred by the 11ay editor making the assignment without requesting from ANA.
         6. Chair noted that we should prepare a Corrigenda.
         7. Chair suggests that this topic be discussed in the Editor Meeting
      5. ACTION ITEMS #1:
         1. Emily and Edward to ask the Reflector if there are any 11ay implementations that may be affected.
         2. Robert to add an ANA standing item be added to the Editor meeting.
         3. Michael to schedule agenda Time to be scheduled to prepare the Corrigenda PAR for
         4. Jon to prepare a PAR Request for Corrigenda.
   4. **Individual Comment Resolution:**
      1. CID 21 (PHY):
         1. Comment withdrawn by commentor
         2. Mark the comment withdrawn
         3. Proposed resolution: REJECTED - Commenter has withdrawn the comment.
         4. No Objection - Mark Ready for Motion
      2. CID 594 (SEC)
         1. Comment withdrawn by commentor
         2. Mark the comment withdrawn
         3. Proposed resolution: CID 594 (SEC) - REJECTED (SEC: 2021-10-15 16:09:23Z) Commenter has withdrawn the comment.
         4. No Objection - Mark Ready for Motion
   5. **Review doc 11-21/1648r2** – CID 116 – Nehru BHANDARU (Broadcom)
      1. <https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-1648-02-000m-cc35-nb-crs-116.docx>
      2. CID 116 (SEC)
         1. Review comment history
         2. Review updated changes
         3. Support was noted for these latest changes.
         4. While not perfect, it is good enough and complete for now.
         5. Concern with the article “the” rather than “a” or “an”. The immediately last message is implied with “the”, so for now that is sufficient.
         6. Change to “in the most recently received valid SAE…”
         7. There is only one SAE Commit message that is valid at any time.
         8. Sometimes Good enough is good enough
         9. Proposed Resolution: Revised incorporate the changes in 11-21/1648r3.
         10. Discussion on what changes are in r3.
         11. Disagreement on the final wording.
         12. Straw poll:
             1. Do you prefer
7. “in the previous”
8. “in the most recently received”
9. Abstain
   * + - 1. Results: 4-6-7-4
       1. Change R3 to have the “in the most recently received” form
       2. Mark Ready for motion and will be run as a separate motion.
   1. **Review MAC CIDs** – Mark HAMILTON (Ruckus/Commscope)
      1. CID 120 (MAC)
         1. Review comment
         2. Review Top-level Table of Contents
         3. Discussion on if there are sub-clause that are strictly S1G topics.
         4. If other use of TWT for example were kept together.
         5. No objection to making a submission to structure this clause more clearly.
         6. Mark Submission Required.
      2. CID 141 (MAC)
         1. Review Comment
         2. See also CID 445, 441 and doc 11-21/829).
         3. Mark Submission Required.
   2. **Review 11-21/829r5** – Mark RISON (Samsung)
      1. <https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-0829-05-000m-resolutions-for-some-comments-on-11me-d0-0-cc35.docx>
      2. CID 114 (MAC)
         1. Review comment
         2. Discussion on the choice of replacement text.
         3. New text was crafted for the first paragraph.
         4. Concern on the changes being outside the scope of the comment.
         5. The text will need more review, several alternates were identified.
      3. CID 152 (MAC)
         1. Review Comment
         2. Review context – p1674.33 (D0.0)
         3. Proposed Resolution: Accept
         4. No Objection - Mark Ready for motion
      4. CID 170 (MAC)
         1. Review Comment
         2. Proposed Resolution: Accept
         3. Discussion on why the last “Should” is not a “Shall”.
         4. Discussion on if behaviors are already defined and no change is warranted, and we may be ok to remove from Clause 9.
         5. The individual address case should also be checked. Both the Individual and the group address cases need to be described somewhere.
         6. Assign CID to Joseph LEVY and mark Submission Required.
      5. CID 172 (MAC)
         1. Review Comment
         2. Review Proposed Change
         3. Discussion on the need to make the change.
         4. Discussion on if the resulting change would cause existing implementation non-compliant.
         5. Discussion on the QoS operation.
         6. If we need STA to behave a certain way, we should make sure the clear requirements are made and not worry about legacy as much.
         7. Discussion on having clear rules, but changing the wording as described may be problematic.
         8. More work required- Mark Submission Required.
      6. CID 178 (MAC)
         1. Review comment
         2. Discussion on the proposed change, and possible reject reason.
         3. The TXOP can be narrowed, by design, but not necessarily.
         4. Proposed Resolution: Reject – Rejection reason to be crafted off-line and brought for the motion.
         5. ACTION ITEM #2: Mark H and Mark R to work together for creating the Reject rationale for CID 178 (MAC).
         6. No Objection - Mark Ready for motion
   3. **Review doc 11-21/1461r2** – Dave HALASZ (Morse Micro)
      1. <https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-1461-02-000m-cids-for-supported-rates.docx>
      2. CID 24 through 35 (MAC)
         1. Review comment quickly.
         2. This was reviewed about one month ago.
         3. Proposed Resolution: CIDs 24-35 (MAC): REVISED (MAC: 2021-10-15 15:36:21Z): Incorporate the changes shown as “Proposed change” in 11-21/1461r2 (https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-1461-02-000m-cids-for-supported-rates.docx).
         4. Discussion on some of the resolution final form.
         5. Chair offered those further comments may be made in future Letter ballot.
         6. Concern on changes introduced that may cause implementations to be non-complaint with the resulting standard.
         7. Items marked Mandatory are still Mandatory even if empty.
         8. Question on S1G implication vs all STA cases for rate selectors vs supported rates in frames.
         9. No Objection - Mark Ready for motion
   4. **Return Back to** **misc MAC comment CIDs** - Mark RISON (Samsung)
      1. **agenda item**, for misc MAC comment CIDs
      2. Review Doc 11-21/829r5
      3. <https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-0829-05-000m-resolutions-for-some-comments-on-11me-d0-0-cc35.docx>
      4. CID 204 (MAC) and 205 (MAC)
         1. Review Comment
         2. While dealing with tools issues, it was noted this text exists for the QoS STA -> QoS STA transmission " "A QoS STA shall use QoS Data frames for all MSDU transfers to another QoS STA.""
         3. Joe will note this in his research.
         4. Reviewed discussion and proposed changes.
         5. This is possibly correct, But we need to consider existing implementations.
         6. Proposed Resolution: CIDs 204 and 205 (MAC): REVISED (MAC: 2021-10-15 15:58:22Z); Incorporate the changes for CIDs 24 and 25 in <https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-0829-06-000m-resolutions-for-some-comments-on-11me-d0-0-cc35.docx>.:
         7. No objection – Mark Ready for Motion
   5. **Adjourned 12:00pm ET.**
10. **TGme (REVme) Telecon Friday October 18th, 2021, at 10-12:00 ET**
    1. **Called to order 10:03am** ET by the TG Chair, Michael MONTEMURRO (Huawei).
       1. Introductions of Officers.
          1. Vice Chair - Mark HAMILTON (Ruckus/CommScope)
          2. Vice Chair - Mark RISON (Samsung)
          3. Editor - Emily QI (Intel)
          4. Editor – Edward AU (Huawei)
          5. Secretary - Jon ROSDAHL (Qualcomm)
       2. Absent at beginning of call:
    2. **Attendance:**
       1. IMAT Reported attendance

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | Name | Affiliation |
| 1 | Andersdotter, Amelia | Sky UK Group |
| 2 | Au, Kwok Shum | Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd |
| 3 | Bhandaru, Nehru | Broadcom Corporation |
| 4 | Coffey, John | Realtek Semiconductor Corp. |
| 5 | Derham, Thomas | Broadcom Corporation |
| 6 | Halasz, David | Morse Micro |
| 7 | Hamilton, Mark | Ruckus/CommScope |
| 8 | Henry, Jerome | Cisco Systems, Inc. |
| 9 | Levy, Joseph | InterDigital, Inc. |
| 10 | Liu, Der-Zheng | Realtek Semiconductor Corp. |
| 11 | Lumbatis, Kurt | CommScope, Inc. |
| 12 | Malinen, Jouni | Qualcomm Incorporated |
| 13 | McCann, Stephen | Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd |
| 14 | Montemurro, Michael | Huawei |
| 15 | NANDAGOPALAN, SAI SHANKAR | Synaptics |
| 16 | Patwardhan, Gaurav | Hewlett Packard Enterprise |
| 17 | RISON, Mark | Samsung Cambridge Solution Centre |
| 18 | Rosdahl, Jon | Qualcomm Technologies, Inc. |
| 19 | Torab Jahromi, Payam | Facebook |
| 20 | Wei, Dong | NXP Semiconductors |

* + 1. WebEx Attendance not in IMAT:
       1. Brian Hart
       2. [V] Youhan Kim (Qualcomm)
       3. [V] Al Petrick, (InterDigital)
       4. [V] Kazuyuki Sakoda (Sony)
       5. [V] Xiaogang Chen (Intel)
       6. [V] Lisa Ward - Rohde & Schwarz
       7. [NV] Steve Jones Samsung LSI
       8. [V] Gabor Bajko Mediatek
       9. [V] Osama Aboul-Magd (Huawei)
       10. [V] Emily Qi (Intel)
       11. [V] Rui Yang, InterDigital
       12. [V] Jouni Malinen (Qualcomm)
       13. [V] Ming Gan Huawei
  1. **Review agenda:11-21/1572r3:**
     1. <https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-1572-03-000m-sep-nov-teleconference-agendas.docx>
     2. Comment Resolution:
     3. Document 11-21/1448 – Chen (Intel)
     4. Document 11-21/xxx – Qi (Intel) /Sakoda (Sony)
     5. Document 11-21/965 – Hart (Cisco) – PHY CIDs 13, 14, 15, and 527
     6. CID 98 – Coffey (Realtek)
     7. After discussion No objection for proposed Agenda, but not all presenters were on the start of the call. We will skip items if the presenter is not present when we get to that item on the agenda.
  2. **Review Patent Policy and Copyright policy** and Participation Policies.
     1. No issues were noted.
  3. **Editor Report** – Emily QI (Intel)
     1. D0.4 includes 11ba and comment resolution in Aug and Sept.
        1. Ready to post.
     2. We have crossed the 6000-page barrier.
     3. Figures have all been fixed up.
     4. Conflict with bits in 11ay, we did not receive any concerns.
     5. Look to get Corrigenda PAR sooner than later prepared.
  4. **Review doc 11-21/1689r0** – Kaz SAKODA (Sony) - Emily QI (Intl)
     1. <https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-1689-00-000m-revme-self-protected-action-frame-comment-resolution.docx>
     2. Abstract: This submission contains comments on REVmd initial SA ballot, relating to Self-protected action frames and suggested resolution to the following comments: CID 125, 126, 127, 128, and 129.
     3. CID 125, 126, 127, 128, and 129 (MAC)
        1. As all the CIDs are similar start with the discussion.
        2. Review submission discussion.
        3. Review proposed changes.
        4. Question on Table 9-71 to ensure only one element in Frame.
        5. Better wording on encrypting Elements – rather than the whole frame should be considered.
           1. We could add a note to end of Table 9-71
        6. Proposed Resolution: CIDs 125-129 (MAC): REVISED (MAC: 2021-10-18 14:28:42Z): Incorporate the changes shown in 11-21/1689r1 (https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-1689-01-000m-revme-self-protected-action-frame-comment-resolution.docx).
        7. Kaz to post announcement when R1 is on Mentor to the reflector.
        8. No Objection – Mark Ready for Motion
  5. Review CID 98 – Sean COFFEY (Realtek)
     1. CID 98 (PHY)
        1. Review comment
        2. Review proposed change
        3. See context – p3204.50 and 3208.20. (d0.0)
           1. Note the database has indicates 2304, which is incorrect. Also 3208.20 should be 3208.19.
        4. Proposed resolution: Revised; at 3204.57 add the qualifier "Except where otherwise noted," before "Each output port".

At 3208.20, change "any signal" to "any signal, however received,",

and add at end of that paragraph "The requirements of this paragraph apply for all received signals; in particular, they are not limited to configurations in which each output port of a transmitting STA are connected through a cable to each input port of the receiving STA."

* + - 1. Request to split the paragraph at 3204.57 into two different paragraphs and indicate the “otherwise noted” specifically.
      2. Other “Each output port” instances exist, do they need to be changed also?
         1. This CID is specific comment to this citation. Take them as separate comments to keep resolutions succinct and complete.
         2. Some of the other locations may not need to be changed, so let’s not confuse with this CID.
      3. Discussion on the sensitivity is measured over the air or in a test environment (Conducted configuration).
      4. Updated Proposed Resolution: Revised. At 3204.53, replace the paragraph with:

“For tests in this subclause, the input levels are measured at the antenna connector and are referenced as the average power per receive antenna.

For tests in Subclauses 21.3.18.1, 21.3.18.2, and 21.3.18.3, the number of spatial streams under test shall be equal to the number of utilized transmitting STA antenna (output) ports and also equal to the number of utilized device under test input ports. Except where otherwise noted, each output port of the transmitting STA shall be connected through a cable to one input port of the device under test.””

* + - 1. The Goal is to complete in November, so we will mark this ready for motion and a separate motion will be prepared.
      2. No objection – Mark Ready for Motion – Separate Motion Tab.
  1. **Review doc 11-21/1683r0** – Sean COFFEY (Realtek)
     1. <https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-1683-00-000m-revme-cc35-cid-283.docx>
     2. Abstract: This document contains a proposed resolution for CID 283 from TGme CC35 on IEEE P802.11-REVme/D0.0.
     3. CID 283 (PHY)
        1. Review comment
        2. Review Proposed changes.
        3. Discussion on the form of the new text relative to existing text.
        4. Proposed Resolution: Revised. At (D0.0) 2944.58, add new subclause 18.3.4a as follows, and renumber subclauses:

"18.3.4a PHY transmit procedure

The transmit procedure for Clause 18 (Extended Rate PHY (ERP) specification) when TXVECTOR parameter FORMAT is equal to NON\_HT and TXVECTOR parameter NON\_HT\_MODULATION is ERP-DSSS or ERP-CCK is described in 15.3.6 (Transmit PHY) or 16.2.5 (Transmit PHY), respectively.

The transmit procedure and state machine when TXVECTOR parameter FORMAT is equal to NON\_HT and TXVECTOR parameter NON\_HT\_MODULATION is ERP-OFDM is described in 17.3.11 (Transmit PHY), except for the signal extension (refer to 18.3.2.4 (ERP-OFDM PPDU format)).

* + - 1. No objection – Mark Ready for motion.
  1. **CID 342 (PHY)**
     1. Review comment
     2. Figure 23-53—PHY Receive state machine.
     3. The figure in 21-3-7 p3214 is similar. (S1G)
     4. Need more thought offline.
     5. ACTION ITEM #3 – Mark RISON – Reach out to S1G SME to comment on CID 342 (PHY).
     6. There are a few arrow heads in these figures that should be corrected also, but we will address those issue during the letter ballot.
  2. **Review Mark RISON CIDS**
     1. Review doc 11-21/829r5
     2. <https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-0829-05-000m-resolutions-for-some-comments-on-11me-d0-0-cc35.docx>
     3. CID 219 (MAC)
        1. Review Comment
        2. Review submission Discussion.
        3. Proposed Resolution: CID 219 (MAC): ACCEPTED (MAC: 2021-10-18 15:35:30Z)
        4. No objection – Mark Ready for Motion
     4. CID 22 (MAC)
        1. Review Comment
        2. Proposed Resolution: REVISED (MAC: 2021-10-18 15:39:31Z): Incorporate the changes shown in 11-21/0829r5 (<https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-0829-05-000m-resolutions-for-some-comments-on-11me-d0-0-cc35.docx>) for CID 22, which clarify that the Operating Extension Identifier field is an arbitrary value above 200 (or 233 for 6 GHz operation) that may be used more than once within the Country element.
        3. No objection – Mark Ready for Motion
     5. CID 231 (PHY) (Direct from Database)
        1. Review Comment
        2. Discussion on sentence looked at for deletion.
        3. Changes to this clause may affect both AP behaviour and the STA behaviour and more discussion will need to be done.
        4. More work needed, to clarify the AP behavior requirements.
     6. CID 22 (MAC)
        1. P991L56 – The Triplet field nomenclature is not correct in the r5, so we will update r6 to have the correct verbiage.
        2. CID 22 (MAC): Expect an r6 to address Youhan's comment and leave as ready for motion.'
        3. Updated Resolution: REVISED (MAC: 2021-10-18 15:39:31Z): Incorporate the changes shown in 11-21/0829r6 (<https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-0829-06-000m-resolutions-for-some-comments-on-11me-d0-0-cc35.docx>) for CID 22, which clarify that the Operating Extension Identifier field is an arbitrary value above 200 (or 233 for 6 GHz operation) that may be used more than once within the Country element.
        4. Mark Ready for Motion – pending posting of R6
  3. **Review doc 11-21/1448r2** - Xiaogang Chen (Intel)
     1. <https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-1448-02-000m-psd-floor-of-tx-mask.docx>
     2. Abstract: This document proposes to change the PSD floor in Tx mask of 11ax/ac/n/a in 5/6GHz such that the PSD floor of 11be is aligned with the legacy WiFi amendments.
     3. No comments came in from request to reflector.
     4. Review history of submission.
     5. Review Submission.
     6. This was presented during the 2021 Sept 802W Electronic Interim.
        1. He was asked to find comments from the Reflector, he did.
     7. Minor Editorial – Missing space between 2.4 GHz, in a couple locations and need word “band” in one location.
     8. Not associated with a CID, but we will motion this document next Monday.
     9. No objection to preparing a motion for next week.
  4. **Plan for Next Week**
     1. Motion all CIDs marked ready for motion through Oct 18.
     2. Also, doc 11-21/1448 will also be motioned.
  5. **Next Telecon Friday Oct 22.**
  6. **Adjourned 12:00 ET**

1. **TGme (REVme) Telecon Friday October 22nd, 2021, at 10-12:00 ET**
   1. **Called to order** 10:02am ET by the TG Chair, Michael MONTEMURRO (Huawei).
      1. Introductions of Officers.
         1. Vice Chair - Mark HAMILTON (Ruckus/CommScope)
         2. Vice Chair - Mark RISON (Samsung)
         3. Editor – Edward AU (Huawei)
         4. Secretary - Jon ROSDAHL (Qualcomm)
      2. Absent at beginning of call:
         1. Editor - Emily QI (Intel)
   2. **Attendance:**
      1. IMAT Reported attendance

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | Name | Affiliation |
| 1 | Au, Kwok Shum | Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd |
| 2 | baron, stephane | Canon Research Centre France |
| 3 | Bhandaru, Nehru | Broadcom Corporation |
| 4 | Coffey, John | Realtek Semiconductor Corp. |
| 5 | Derham, Thomas | Broadcom Corporation |
| 6 | Halasz, David | Morse Micro |
| 7 | Hamilton, Mark | Ruckus/CommScope |
| 8 | Lee, Wookbong | SAMSUNG |
| 9 | Levy, Joseph | InterDigital, Inc. |
| 10 | Montemurro, Michael | Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd |
| 11 | Nikolich, Paul | self employed/various |
| 12 | Qi, Emily | Intel Corporation |
| 13 | RISON, Mark | Samsung Cambridge Solution Centre |
| 14 | Rosdahl, Jon | Qualcomm Technologies, Inc. |
| 15 | Smith, Graham | SR Technologies |
| 16 | Torab Jahromi, Payam | Facebook |

* + 1. WebEx Attendance not in IMAT:
       1. [V] Brian Hart (Cisco Systems)
       2. [V] Youhan Kim (Qualcomm)
       3. [V] Lisa Ward - Rohde & Schwarz
       4. [V] Patrice NEZOU, Canon
       5. [V] Al Petrick, (InterDigital)
       6. [V] Rui Yang, InterDigital
  1. **Review agenda:11-21/1572r4:**
     1. <https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-1572-04-000m-sep-nov-teleconference-agendas.docx>
     2. Comment Resolution:
     3. CID 101 – Levy (Interdigital)
     4. Document 11-21/1617– Au (Huawei) – ED2 CIDs
     5. Document 11-21/xxxx – Wentink (Qualcomm) – TDLS CIDs
     6. Document 11-21/965 – Hart (Cisco) – PHY CIDs 13, 14, 15, and 527
     7. Change GEN to MAC CIDs for today.
     8. After discussion No objection for proposed Agenda modifications.
  2. **Review Patent Policy and Copyright policy** and Participation Policies.
     1. No issues were noted.
  3. **Editor Report** – Emily QI (Intel)
     1. Nothing to update today since Monday.
     2. D0.4 has been posted into Members Area.
        1. All comments and 11ba rolled in.
  4. **Review Doc 11-21/1716r0** – CID 101 (MAC) Joseph LEVY (Interdigital)
     1. <https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-1716-00-000m-proposed-resolution-for-cid-101-cc35-clause-11-2-1.docx>
     2. CID 101 (MAC)
        1. Review Comment
        2. D0.3 used for reference in presentation.
        3. Review how the proposed change looks when implemented.
        4. Discussion on leaving the “can” statement.
        5. Assertion that Power-save is not a binary operation.
        6. Request that the STA that is ACTIVE mode, is always in AWAKE state should be stated.
        7. From standard: 11.2.3.2 Non-AP STA Power management modes

A Non-AP STA can be in one of tow power management modes: - Active Mode: The STA receives and transmits frames at any time. The STA remains in the awake state.

* + - 1. Opposition to adding “scheduled” – it conflicts with some other power mode descriptions.
      2. Proposed resolution to just add “in power save (PS) mode can be in one of two states…leaving “can”
      3. Proposed Resolution: Revised; Replace “A STA can be in one of two power states:” with “A STA in power save (PS) mode can be in one of two states.”
      4. Concern that this would imply that a STA has to be in power save (PS) to be awake.
      5. Discussion on why this change may need to be made.
      6. Straw poll:
         1. Choice one: Resolve CID as Revised as displayed.
         2. Choice two: Reject CID
         3. Choice three: abstain
         4. Results: 5-4-3-3
      7. More work offline.
    1. CID 102 (MAC)
       1. Prepare a Reject for it insufficient detail for now.
    2. Schedule time in November Agenda
  1. **Review doc 11-21/1617r1** – ED2 Comments – Edward AU (Huawei)
     1. <https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-1617-01-000m-proposed-resolution-for-revme-cc35-comments-part-3.docx>
     2. CID 403 (ED2)
        1. Review Comment
        2. Review proposed Changes.
        3. Proposed Resolution: CID 403 (ED2): Revised. Make the changes shown under “Proposed changes” for CID 403 in 11-21/1617r1: <<https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-1617-01-000m-proposed-resolution-for-revme-cc35-comments-part-3.docx>>
        4. No objection – Mark Ready for Motion
     3. CID 161 (ED2)
        1. Review Comment
        2. Review discussion in submission.
        3. Discussion on change at 2712.59 – lengthy discussion on possible changes.
        4. New suggestion – Suggest replacing “If the result code is REJECTED\_WITH\_SUGGESTED\_BSS\_TRANSITION, the non-AP STA may try to transition to other BSSs. In case that the non-AP STA is recommended to transition to other BSSs, it should do so according to the process defined in 11.21.7” with

“If the result code is REJECTED\_WITH\_SUGGESTED\_BSS\_TRANSITION, the non-AP STA should try to transition to one of the suggested BSSs according to the process defined in 11.21.7”

* + - 1. Discussion on 2203.18 changes.
      2. Change “It is recommended to” with “An MCCAOP owner ought to”.
      3. Normative language should not be used in NOTES.
      4. Discussion on 4132.18 change.
      5. At 333.8 change – make it “mesh STA” singular.
      6. At 4136.57 use “may” is ok.
      7. Proposed Resolution: Revised; Make the changes shown under “Proposed changes” for CID 161 in 11-21/1617r2: <<https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-1617-02-000m-proposed-resolution-for-revme-cc35-comments-part-3.docx>>
      8. No objection – Mark Ready for Motion.
    1. CID 404 (ED2)
       1. Review comment.
       2. Review discussion in submission.
       3. Another location in 3508.26 (d0.3)
       4. Discussion on 3582.37 – question on pluralization.
          1. “header and data fields”
       5. Proposed Resolution: CID 404 (ED2): Revised. Make the changes shown under “Proposed changes” for CID 404 in 11-21/1617r2 <<https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-1617-02-000m-proposed-resolution-for-revme-cc35-comments-part-3.docx>>
    2. CID 233 (ED2)
       1. Review Comment
       2. Review Discussion in submission
       3. Proposed Resolution: Accepted
       4. No Objection – Mark Ready for Motion.
  1. **Review doc 11-21/1668r1** – Brian Hart (Cisco)
     1. <https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-1668-01-000m-cc35-phy-cids-285-455.docx>
     2. CID 285 (PHY)
        1. Review comment
        2. Review discussion in submission.
        3. Review proposed changes
        4. Fixed a typo, so need to have R2 for resolution.
        5. Discussion on NOTE 1 and if the point is virtual or Physical.
           1. Suggest using “measurement point” to be consistent.
        6. Discussion on if the use of antenna connector means TX and RX connector. Assertion that a Note may not be needed.
        7. Proposed Resolution: CID 285 (PHY): Revised. Make the changes shown for CID 285 in 11-21/1668r2 <<https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-1668-02-000m-cc35-phy-cids-285-455.docx>> .
        8. No objection – Mark Ready for Motion.
     3. CID 455 (PHY)
        1. Review comment
        2. Review discussion in submission
        3. note that this comment uncovered that the Tables in clause 24 are duplicative numbered. We should fix that.
        4. Discussion on the proposal.
        5. More discussion offline
        6. Ran out of time.
  2. **Adjourned 12:01pm ET**

1. **TGme (REVme) Telecon Monday, October 25th, 2021, at 10-12:00 ET**
   1. **Called to order** 10:02am ET by the TG Chair, Michael MONTEMURRO (Huawei).
      1. Introductions of Officers.
         1. Vice Chair - Mark HAMILTON (Ruckus/CommScope)
         2. Editor - Emily QI (Intel)
         3. Editor – Edward AU (Huawei)
         4. Secretary - Jon ROSDAHL (Qualcomm)
      2. Absent for introductions, but joined later in telecon:
         1. Vice Chair - Mark RISON (Samsung)
   2. **Attendance:**
      1. IMAT Reported attendance

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | Name | Affiliation |
| 1 | Au, Kwok Shum | Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd |
| 2 | baron, stephane | Canon Research Centre France |
| 3 | Coffey, John | Realtek Semiconductor Corp. |
| 4 | Halasz, David | Morse Micro |
| 5 | Hamilton, Mark | Ruckus/CommScope |
| 6 | Henry, Jerome | Cisco Systems, Inc. |
| 7 | Kim, Youhan | Qualcomm Incorporated |
| 8 | Liu, Der-Zheng | Realtek Semiconductor Corp. |
| 9 | Lumbatis, Kurt | CommScope, Inc. |
| 10 | Malinen, Jouni | Qualcomm Incorporated |
| 11 | McCann, Stephen | Self |
| 12 | Montemurro, Michael | Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd |
| 13 | NANDAGOPALAN, SAI SHANKAR | Synaptics |
| 14 | Patwardhan, Gaurav | Hewlett Packard Enterprise |
| 15 | Petrick, Albert | Jones-Petrick and Associates, LLC. |
| 16 | Qi, Emily | Intel Corporation |
| 17 | RISON, Mark | Samsung Cambridge Solution Centre |
| 18 | Rosdahl, Jon | Qualcomm Technologies, Inc. |
| 19 | Smith, Graham | SR Technologies |

* + 1. WebEx Attendance not in IMAT:
       1. [V] Lisa Ward - Rohde & Schwarz
       2. [V] Gabor Bajko Mediatek
       3. [V] Payam Torab | Facebook
       4. [V] Xiaogang Chen (Intel)
       5. [V] Rui Yang, InterDigital
       6. [V] Nehru Bhandaru (Broadcom)
       7. [V] Patrice NEZOU, Canon
       8. [V] Joseph Levy (InterDigital)
  1. **Review agenda:11-21/1572r5:**
     1. <https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-1572-05-000m-sep-nov-teleconference-agendas.docx>
     2. Specific agenda portion for today:

4. Motions (document 11-21/758r7)

a. Slides 24-27 in <https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-0758-12-000m-revme-motions.pptx>

5. Comment resolution

a. CID 342 – Kim (Qualcomm)

b. Document 11-21/809 – Bhandaru (Broadcom)

c. GEN CIDs – Rosdahl (Qualcomm) – CIDs 488, 422, 181, 164,

* + 1. After discussion No objection for proposed Agenda modifications.
  1. **Review Patent Policy and Copyright policy** and Participation Policies.
     1. No issues were noted.
  2. **Editor Report** – Emily QI (Intel)
     1. **Will start rolling in today’s approved comment this week**.
     2. Need to get the new number allocated in ANA for the correction in 11ay.
     3. Jon to present the Corrigenda info Next week.
  3. **Motions:** see doc 11-21/758r12:
     1. <https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-0758-12-000m-revme-motions.pptx>
     2. See Slides 24-27
     3. **Motion 22: EDITOR 1 and EDITOR 2 CIDs (2021-10-25)**
        1. Approve the comment resolutions in the

“MOTION-EDITOR1-G” tab (2 CIDs) in <https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-0738-07-000m-revme-wg-cc35-editor1-ad-hoc-comments.xlsx>,

“Motion ED2-E” tab (1 CID) in [https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-0689-06-000m-revme-editor2-ad-hoc-comments.xlsx](https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-0689-05-000m-revme-editor2-ad-hoc-comments.xlsx),

and incorporate the text changes into the TGme draft.

* + - 1. Moved: Emily Qi
      2. Seconded: Stephen McCann
      3. Result Motion 22: No Objection - Unanimous. Motion Passes.
    1. **Motion 23: – GEN, MAC, PHY, SEC CIDs (2021-10-25)**
       1. Approve the comment resolutions in the

“GEN Motion OCT- A” tab (7 CIDs) in [https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-0699-12-000m-gen-adhoc-revme-cc35-comments.xls](https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-0699-11-000m-gen-adhoc-revme-cc35-comments.xls),

“Motion MAC-AF” tab (30 CIDs) in [https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-0793-07-000m-revme-mac-comments.xls](https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-0793-06-000m-revme-mac-comments.xls),

“PHY Motion D” and “PHY Motion D2” tab (6 CIDs) in <https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-0727-04-000m-revme-phy-comments.xls>,

“Security Motion E” tab (1 CIDs) in <https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-0690-09-000m-revme-cc35-sec-comments.xlsx>,

and incorporate the text changes into the TGme draft.

* + - 1. Moved: Youhan KIM
      2. Seconded: Jouni MALINEN
      3. Result Motion 23: Unanimous Consent – Motion Passes
      4. (WebEx reported 18 on the call).
    1. **Motion 24**: **Motion 24 – CID 116 (SEC) (2021-10-25)**
       1. Approve the comment resolution for CIDs 116 on the

“Security Motion CID 116” tab in <https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-0690-09-000m-revme-cc35-sec-comments.xlsx> ,

and incorporate the text changes into the TGme draft.

* + - 1. Moved: Stephan MCCANN
      2. Seconded: Nehru BHANDARU
      3. Result Motion 24: Unanimous Consent – Motion Passes
    1. **Motion 25 – PSD Floor of TX Mask submission (2021-10-25)**
       1. **Instruct the editor to incorporate the changes in 11-21/1448r3**

<<https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-1448-03-000m-psd-floor-of-tx-mask.docx>> **into the TGme draft**

* + - 1. Moved: Xiaogang CHEN
      2. Seconded: Emily QI
      3. Discussion:
         1. Were there any comments from the reflector?

Some minor edits were given from the reflector

* + - 1. Result of Motion 25: Unanimous with two abstentions – Motion Passes
         1. Abstentions: Joseph LEVY (Interdigital), Gaurav PATWARDHAN (HPE)
  1. **Review doc 1707r1 – CID 400 -** Youhan KIM (Qualcomm)
     1. <https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-1707-01-000m-cc35-erp-tx-rxvector-parameters.docx>
     2. CID 400 (PHY)
        1. Review comment
        2. Review Discussion in Submission.
        3. Suggestion of changing “The Parameters” to “Any additional parameters”
        4. Proposed Resolution: Revised. Incorporate the changes in 11-21/1707r2 <https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-1707-02-000m-cc35-erp-tx-rxvector-parameters.docx> .
        5. No objection – Mark Ready for Motion
  2. **Review doc 11-21/1729r0** – CID 342- Youhan KIM (Qualcomm)
     1. <https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-1729-00-000m-s1g-phy-rx-state-machine.docx>
     2. CID 342 (PHY)
        1. Review Comment
        2. Review proposed changes.
        3. Question on why two “End of Wait” box in diagrams.
        4. Description on the two paths to “End of Wait” boxes.
        5. If there is more concern, can bring up in later ballot reviews.
        6. Editor noted that some of the Figure file names may not exactly match expectations, but if noted, please let Editors know.
        7. Proposed Resolution: CID 342 (PHY): Revised. Incorporate the changes in 11-21/1729r0 <<https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-1729-00-000m-s1g-phy-rx-state-machine.docx>>
        8. No objection – Mark Ready for motion.
  3. **Review Doc 11-21/0809r7** – Nehru BHANDARU (Broadcom)
     1. <https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-0809-07-000m-cc35-crs-a.docx>
     2. CID 360 (SEC)
        1. Review comment
        2. Review history of discussions on this CID.
        3. Previous Straw poll was slight majority for rejected.
        4. Proposed Resolution: (CID 360 (SEC): Rejected. <Mike to craft a rejection for "no consensus">
        5. No objection – Mark Ready for Motion
     3. CID 171 (SEC)
        1. Review comment
        2. Review discussion in submission.
        3. What is the scope of the change?
           1. Which part of the receiver will be acted on.
           2. Item “d)” pointed out.
           3. Applies only to this subclause definition of the replay detection.
           4. Item “b)” and “d)” have different replay conditions and which count is used.
           5. The new text being added to item “b)”is causing concerns.
           6. Question on how to get the correct counter with the changes to the TID description.
           7. More offline discussion may be needed to select the correct counter.
           8. See 2573 item “7)”
           9. “The Priority subfield shall be set to the priority value of the MPDU”.
           10. Non-QoS behaviour was questioned.
           11. At QoS STAs associated in a QoS BSS, MSDUs with a priority of Contention are considered equivalent toMSDUs with TID 0. (In 5.1.1.3)
        4. Discussion continued on how the Priority is selected and which replay counter is being selected.
        5. Change proposed change to:” Note – For the purpose of replay detection, non-QoS Data Frames are treated as having TID 0 and use the replay counter corresponding to the MSDU Priority 0”
        6. Proposed Resolution: CID 171 (SEC): Revised. At the end of item b) in 12.5.3.4.4 PN and replay detection and 12.5.5.4.4 PN and replay detection add: "NOTE--For the purpose of replay detection, non-QoS Data frames are treated as having TID 0 and use the replay counter corresponding to MSDU priority 0."
        7. No Objection – Mark Ready for Motion
     4. CID 37 (SEC)
        1. Review comment
        2. Review part of proposed changes.
        3. Need more time to review.
     5. CID 486 (SEC)
        1. Review Comment
        2. Review discussion in submission.
        3. Discussion on not wanting to have a new “set to zero” instance.
        4. Proposed resolution: CID 486 (SEC): Replace "Subtype subfield (bits 4 5 6) in a Data frame masked to 0" with "The 3 LSBs of the Subtype subfield (bits 4 5 6) in a Data frame masked to 0. Bit 7 is not modified"
        5. No Objection – Mark Ready for Motion
     6. CID 217 (SEC)
        1. Review Comment
        2. Review discussion in submission.
        3. Review what the proposed change vs resolution text.
        4. No real difference.
        5. Proposed Resolution: Accept
        6. No objection – Mark Ready for Motion
     7. CID 357 (SEC)
        1. Review comment
        2. Review context in 12.5.3.3.1
        3. Proposed Resolution: CID 357 (SEC): Accepted.
        4. No objection – Mark Ready for Motion.
     8. CID 384 (SEC)
        1. Review comment
        2. Review discussion in submission.
        3. Discussion on why “wrapped” is not ok?
        4. Possibly we could add a reference where the “wrapped” is defined.
        5. This instance of “wrapped” may not actually be correct or needed...
        6. Proposed Resolution: CID 384 (SEC): Replace "A receive mesh GTKSA is created by a mesh STA after successfully completing the AMPE in which a wrapped MGTK has been received" with "A receive mesh GTKSA is created by a mesh STA after successfully completing the AMPE in which a MGTK has been received (see 14.5.4 Distribution of group transient keys in an MBSS)"
        7. No objection – Mark Ready for Motion
     9. CID 166 (SEC)
        1. Review comment
        2. Review discussion in submission.
        3. Review proposed changes:
        4. Concern on making the Security flaw more exacerbated.
        5. Protocol version number makes some fields not present.
        6. Take out the “and per” and leave it as “and”. Adding “TPKSA and protocol version number”
        7. However, in 12.5.5.5.4.4 there is no “protocol version number” added, just “TPKSA,”
        8. Proposed Resolution: CID 166 (SEC): Incorporate the changes shown in 11-21/0809r8 <<https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-0809-08-000m-cc35-crs-a.docx>> for CID 166.
        9. No objection – Mark Ready for Motion
  4. **GEN CIDs - CIDs 488, 422, 181, 164**- Jon ROSDAHL (Qualcomm)
     1. GEN/Jon selection of CIDs for Oct 25, 2021, from the database:
     2. CID 527 (GEN), 14 (GEN), 15 (GEN):
        1. Proposing the resolution in the same document as for CID 18 (GEN). <https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-0965-08-000m-cc35-phy-cids-19-18-14-15-527.docx>
        2. Reviewed document.
           1. CID 18 is actually separate. But we did review the changes suggested in the document for CID 14, 15, and 527, and gave members some more time to review.
        3. Proposed Resolution: Revised; Incorporate the changes for "Proposed Text Updates: CIDs 14, 15, and 527" in 11-21/ 965r7: <<https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-0965-08-000m-cc35-phy-cids-19-18-14-15-527.docx>>
        4. Ready for motion CID 14, 15, and 527.
     3. CID 97 (GEN):
        1. Mark RISON, Sean COFFEY and Mark HAMILTON have had off-line discussion.
        2. Document <https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-1717-00-000m-revme-cc35-cid-97-basic-rate-set-definition.docx> is posted with a proposed resolution.
        3. Ongoing off-line discussion, still.
     4. CID 164 (GEN):
        1. More work is still required
     5. CID 181 (GEN):
        1. More work required
     6. CID 249 (GEN):
        1. Still off-line action item for Jon ROSDAHL
     7. CID 372 (GEN):
        1. Looked at this in May.
        2. Split on a straw poll for direction.
        3. Will work off-line.
        4. ACTION ITEM #4: Jon ROSDAHL to put discussion of CID 372 on the reflector.
     8. Out of time…
  5. **Adjourned at 12:00pm ET.**

1. **TGme (REVme) Telecon Friday, October 29th, 2021, at 10-12:00 ET**
   1. **Called to order** 10:02am ET by the TG Chair, Michael MONTEMURRO (Huawei).
      1. Introductions of Officers.
         1. Vice Chair - Mark HAMILTON (Ruckus/CommScope)
         2. Editor - Emily QI (Intel)
         3. Editor – Edward AU (Huawei)
         4. Secretary - Jon ROSDAHL (Qualcomm)
      2. Absent for introductions, but joined later in telecon:
         1. Vice Chair - Mark RISON (Samsung)
   2. **Attendance:**
      1. IMAT Reported attendance

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | Name | Affiliation |
| 1 | Andersdotter, Amelia | Sky UK Group |
| 2 | Au, Kwok Shum | Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd |
| 3 | Bhandaru, Nehru | Broadcom Corporation |
| 4 | Halasz, David | Morse Micro |
| 5 | Hamilton, Mark | Ruckus/CommScope |
| 6 | Levy, Joseph | InterDigital, Inc. |
| 7 | Liu, Der-Zheng | Realtek Semiconductor Corp. |
| 8 | Lumbatis, Kurt | CommScope, Inc. |
| 9 | Malinen, Jouni | Qualcomm Incorporated |
| 10 | McCann, Stephen | Self |
| 11 | Patil, Abhishek | Qualcomm Incorporated |
| 12 | Rosdahl, Jon | Qualcomm Technologies, Inc. |
| 13 | Stanley, Dorothy | Hewlett Packard Enterprise |
| 14 | Wei, Dong | NXP Semiconductors |

* + 1. WebEx Attendance not in IMAT:
       1. [V] Brian Hart (Cisco Systems)
       2. [V] Youhan Kim, Qualcomm
       3. [V] Lisa Ward - Rohde & Schwarz
       4. [V] Rui Yang, InterDigital
       5. [V] Al Petrick, (InterDigital)
       6. [V] Sean Coffey (Realtek)
       7. [V] Emily Qi (Intel)
  1. **Review agenda:11-21/1572r5:**
     1. <https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-1572-05-000m-sep-nov-teleconference-agendas.docx>
     2. Specific agenda portion for today:

4. Comment resolution

a. Document 11-21/1732r0 – Bhandaru (Broadcom)

b. Document 11-21/1617 – Au (Huawei)– ED2 CIDs

c. CID 97 – Rosdahl (Qualcomm)

d. Document 11-21/844, 11-21/1660 – Patil (Qualcomm) – MBSSID CIDs

* + 1. No objection to the modified agenda as noted on screen.
    2. Plan for CID 97 by 11am ET
  1. **Review Patent Policy and Copyright policy** and Participation Policies.
     1. No issues were noted.
  2. **Editor Report** – Emily QI (Intel)
     1. Implementation of approved CIDs are done, but a new draft will not be made available until after the November meeting.
  3. **Review Document 11-21/1732r0** – Nehru BHANDARU (Broadcom)
     1. <https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-1732-00-000m-cc35-nb-crs-37.docx>
     2. CID 37 (SEC)
        1. Review comment
        2. Comment is correct, but there are two locations for the change that need to be made.
        3. Proposed Resolution: Revised, incorporate the changes in 11-21/1732r0 < <https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-1732-00-000m-cc35-nb-crs-37.docx>>
        4. No objection – Mark Ready for Motion
  4. **Review Document 11-21/1617r4** - ED2 CIDs – Edward AU (Huawei)
     1. <https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-1617-04-000m-proposed-resolution-for-revme-cc35-comments-part-3.docx>
     2. CID 333 (ED2)
        1. Review comment
        2. Review discussion in submission.
        3. Proposed Resolution: CID 333 (ED2): Revised.

At 2559.4 (D0.3), change “Sector training may also be used for STAs to reduce time for sector discovery and allow STAs, which do not listen to all the sectorized beacons for its power saving” with “Sector training may also be used by STAs to reduce time for sector discovery, and to achieve power saving by not having to listen to all the sectorized beacons”.

At 5074.52, change “RSNA STAs which do not provide management frame protection” to “RSNA STAs that do not provide management frame protection”.

* + - 1. No objection – Mark Ready for Motion
    1. CID 256 (ED2)
       1. Review comment.
       2. Review discussion in submission.
       3. Proposed Resolution: CID 256 (ED2): Revised. Change the title of subclauses 17.3.10.2, 19.3.19.1, 20.3.3.8, 21.3.18.1, 22.3.18.2, 23.3.18.1, 24.3.3.8, 27.3.20.2, and 28.3.9.8 from “Receiver minimum input sensitivity” to “Receiver minimum input level sensitivity”.
       4. No objection – Mark Ready for Motion
    2. CID 385 (ED2)
       1. Review Comment
       2. Review discussion in Submission
       3. Proposed Resolution: CID 385 (ED2): Revised.

At 4032.29 (D0.3), replace “540 MHz” with “a 540 MHz channel”.

At 4032.30 (D0.3), replace “1080 MHz” with “a 1080 MHz channel”.

At 4011.59 (D0.3), replace “540 MHz channel” with “a 540 MHz channel”.

At 4011.60 (D0.3), replace “1080 MHz channel” with “a 1080 MHz channel”.

At 4011.60, 4011.62, 4011.63, and 4011.64 (D0.3), add full stop at the end of the sentence.

* + - 1. No Objection – Mark Ready for Motion
    1. CID 278 (ED2)
       1. Review Comment
       2. Review discussion in Submission
       3. Check context of the 9.3 Table
       4. Discussion on the Table caption and the field name to be “To DS subfield and From DS subfield values”.
       5. Proposed Resolution: CID 278 (ED2): Revised. Make the changes shown under “Proposed changes” for CID 278 in 11-21/1617r5 <<https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-1617-05-000m-proposed-resolution-for-revme-cc35-comments-part-3.docx>>
       6. No Objection – Mark Ready for Motion
    2. CID 479 (ED2)
       1. Review Comment
       2. Review discussion in Submission
       3. Proposed Resolution: Accept -- Note to the Editors: The locations in P802.11REVme D0.3 are 1551.46, 2854.7, and 3005.45.
       4. No Objection – Mark Ready for Motion
    3. CID 515 (ED2)
       1. Review Comment – How many dots?
       2. Review discussion in Submission
       3. Is there an ellipsis character that is only one character?
          1. Yes, we are trying to be consistent, but some of the editors of amendments may not be consistent (obviously).
          2. This is an Editorial function, so a comment should not have been necessarily if editors had looked for this in the MDR.
          3. Need to look to add it in the Style guide.
       4. Proposed Resolution: CID 515 (ED2): Revised. Make the changes shown under “Proposed changes” for CID 515 in 11-21/1617r5 <<https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-1617-05-000m-proposed-resolution-for-revme-cc35-comments-part-3.docx>>
       5. No Objection – Mark Ready for Motion
    4. CID 525 (ED2)
       1. Review Comment
       2. Review discussion in Submission
       3. Proposed Resolution: CID 525 (ED2): Revised.

At 1217.3 (D0.3), change “multiple Beacon Reports” to “multiple Beacon reports”.

At 1217.23 (D0.3), change “multiple Beacon Reports” to “multiple Beacon reports”.

At 1217.45 (D0.3), change “the Beacon Report instance sent as a response to a Beacon Request” to “the Beacon report instance sent as a response to a Beacon request”.

At 1217.48 (D0.3), change “Beacon Report identified by the Beacon Report ID” with “Beacon report identified by the Beacon report ID”.

* + - 1. No Objection – Mark Ready for Motion
    1. CID 145 (ED2)
       1. Review Comment
       2. Review discussion in Submission
       3. Proposed Resolution: CID 145 (ED2): Accepted.

Note to the Editors: The locations in D0.3 are 3000.39, 3000.49, and 5898.52. The location 4574.26 (D0.0) identified by the commenter has been resolved by CID 144.

* + - 1. No Objection – Mark Ready for Motion
    1. CID 442 (ED2)
       1. Review Comment
       2. Review discussion in Submission
       3. Proposed Resolution: CID 442 (ED2): Accepted.

Note to the Editors: The locations are 2853.9, 2853.13 (twice), 2853.14, 2853.29, 2853.30, 2853.32, 2853.44, and 2853.47 in P802.11REVme D0.3.

* + - 1. No Objection – Mark Ready for Motion
    1. CID 407 (ED2)
       1. Review Comment
       2. Review discussion in Submission
       3. Proposed Resolution: CID 407 (ED2): Revised.

Replace “related with” with “related to” at 2215.48, 2216.12, 2216.44, 2217.30, 2217.31, 2217.50, 2217.51, 2217.56, 2217.58, 2218.5, 2218.7, 2223.6, 2223.36, 2231.25, and 2231.41 in P802.11REVme D0.3.

* + - 1. No Objection – Mark Ready for Motion
    1. CID 209 (ED2)
       1. Review Comment
       2. Review discussion in Submission
       3. Proposed Resolution: CID 209 (ED2): Revised.

At 3086.61 (D0.3), replace “The CCM nonce occupies 13 octets, and its structure is shown in Figure 12-21 (CCM nonce).” with “The CCM nonce is shown in Figure 12-21.”

At 3097.52 (D0.3), replace “The GCM nonce occupies 12 octets, and its structure is shown in Figure 12-28 (GCM nonce format).” with “The GCM nonce is shown in Figure 12-28.”.

At 3083.21 and 3083.52 (D0.3), replace “CCM nonce block” with “CCM nonce”.

At 3097.11 (D0.3), replace “GCM nonce block” with “GCM nonce”.

* + - 1. No Objection – Mark Ready for Motion
    1. CID 207 (ED2)
       1. Review Comment – Fuzzy Figure?
       2. Review discussion in Submission
       3. When zooming in and out, others do see some strange viewing, but referral to the publication editor is best option.
       4. Proposed Resolution: CID 207 (ED2): Rejected.

The Editors have already tried their best to improve the resolution of the figure. This comment will be passed to the IEEE SA editor for consideration during publication editing.

* + - 1. No Objection – Mark Ready for Motion – Run this as separate motion (on separate tab).
    1. CID 577 (ED2)
       1. Review Comment
       2. Review discussion in Submission – Font type
       3. Note one of the changes is in the figure title, so when referenced it will self correct.
       4. Proposed Resolution: ID 577 (ED2): Revised

At 3511.15, 3581.1, 3581.2, and 3581.3, change the font type of “Z” to Times New Roman. Note these are D0.3 references.

* + - 1. No Objection – Mark Ready for Motion
    1. CID 38 (ED2)
       1. Review Comment
       2. Review Discussion in Submission
       3. Proposed Resolution: Accepted
       4. No Objection – Mark Ready for Motion
    2. CID 216 (ED2)
       1. Review Comment
       2. Review Discussion in Submission
       3. Proposed Resolution: CID 216 (ED2): Accepted.

Note to the Editors: The locations are 3150.1, 3150.10, 3150.16, and 3150.19 in P802.11REVme D0.3.

* + - 1. No Objection – Mark Ready for Motion
  1. **Review doc 11-21/1717r0 – CID 97** - Sean COFFEY (Realtek)
     1. <https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-1717-00-000m-revme-cc35-cid-97-basic-rate-set-definition.docx>
     2. Review submission
     3. CID 97 (GEN)
        1. Review discussion in submission
        2. Discussion on the high-level description vs proposed definition to be added.
        3. Suggestion to remove 2nd to last sentence and “as a consequence (which was proposed by Jon ROSDAHL on the reflector.
        4. Discussion on the need to remove or leave in the 2nd to last sentence.
        5. Discussion on maybe needing to add the “non-AP” side of the definition.
           1. Recommend keeping definition short and add a subclause in 10 or 11 that lays out all the information in the discussion.
        6. Discussion on where to indicate that STA does not try to join BSS where the supported rates are not in the Basic Rate Set.
        7. Proposed Resolution: REVISED (GEN: 2021-10-29 15:24:25Z) –

Revised.

Add the following 4 definitions in 3.2:

basic modulation and coding scheme (MCS): An MCS that belongs to the basic MCS set.

basic modulation and coding scheme (MCS) set: A set of MCSs designated by the station (STA) that started the basic service set (BSS) and fixed for the lifetime of the BSS. The basic MCS set is typically advertised in the physical layer (PHY) operation element(s), e.g. HT and VHT Operation elements. All STAs in a BSS are capable of, or have signalled that they are capable of, receiving and transmitting at all MCSs in the basic MCS set.

basic rate: A data rate that belongs to the basic rate set.

basic rate set: A set of data rates designated by the station (STA) that started the basic service set (BSS) and fixed for the lifetime of the BSS. The basic rate set is advertised in the Supported Rates and BSS Membership Selectors element and, if present, the Extended Supported Rates and BSS Membership Selectors element. All STAs in a BSS are capable of, or have signalled that they are capable of, receiving and transmitting at all rates in the basic rate set.

* + - 1. Propose to have straw poll to check for support of the proposed resolution.
      2. STRAW Poll: Do you support the proposed Resolution?
         1. YES/NO/Abstain
         2. Results: 6-3-5-3 (17 on the call)
      3. Let’s proceed with moving for putting on separate Tab and motion
      4. Mark Ready for motion on a separate Tab (GEN Motion CID 97)
  1. **Review doc 11-21/844r1** – MBSSID CIDs - Abhi PATIL (Qualcomm)
     1. <https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-0844-01-000m-cids-related-to-multiple-bssid-set.docx>
     2. CID 133 (MAC)
        1. Review Comment.
        2. Review discussion in submission.
        3. Review proposed changes.
        4. Discussion on the changes being correct or not.
        5. Request for some clean-up in how Annex L is referenced, and that was modified, to create the r2.
        6. Proposed Resolution: REVISED (MAC: 2021-10-29 15:37:06Z): Incorporate the changes indicated as "[CID133]" in 11-21/0844r2 (<https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-0844-02-000m-cids-related-to-multiple-bssid-set.docx>), which makes changes in the direction proposed by the commenter.
        7. No objection - Mark Ready for Motion
     3. CID 3 (MAC)
        1. Review Comment
        2. Review proposed changes.
        3. Discussion on the direction.
        4. Proposed Resolution: CID 3 (MAC): REVISED (MAC: 2021-10-29 15:48:47Z): Incorporate the changes indicated as "[CID 3]" in 11-21/0844r2 (https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-0844-02-000m-cids-related-to-multiple-bssid-set.docx), which makes changes in the direction proposed by the commenter.
        5. No objection – Mark ready for Motion
     4. CID 10 (MAC)
        1. Review Comment
        2. Review proposed changes
        3. Proposed Resolution: CID 10 (MAC): REVISED (MAC: 2021-10-29 15:50:13Z): Add a NOTE after the 3rd paragraph of 11.52: "NOTE – In a multiple BSSID set, a non-AP STA receives BIGTK from its associated AP (which can correspond to either the transmitted BSSID or nontransmitted BSSID) and uses it for validating the contents of the Beacon frame transmitted by the AP corresponding to the transmitted BSSID."
        4. No objection – Mark Ready for Motion
  2. **Review doc 11-21/1660r0 - CID 5 -** Abhi PATIL (Qualcomm)
     1. <https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-1660-00-000m-resolution-for-cids-5-511-and-592.docx>
     2. CID 5 (MAC)
        1. Review comment
        2. Review proposed changes
        3. Proposed Resolution: CID 5 (MAC): REVISED (MAC: 2021-10-29 15:53:50Z): Incorporate the changes indicated for CID 5 in 11-21/1660r0 ( <https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-1660-00-000m-resolution-for-cids-5-511-and-592.docx>).
        4. No objection – Mark Ready for Motion
  3. **Review doc 11-21/1664r0 – CID 4 -** Abhi PATIL (Qualcomm)
     1. <https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-1664-00-000m-resolution-for-cid-4.docx>
     2. CID 4 (MAC)
        1. Review comment
        2. Review proposed changes
        3. Ran out of time.
  4. **Adjourned 12:00pm ET**
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4. <https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-0829-05-000m-resolutions-for-some-comments-on-11me-d0-0-cc35.docx>
5. <https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-0829-05-000m-resolutions-for-some-comments-on-11me-d0-0-cc35.docx>
6. <https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-0829-06-000m-resolutions-for-some-comments-on-11me-d0-0-cc35.docx>
7. <https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-1448-02-000m-psd-floor-of-tx-mask.docx>

**October 22, 2021:**

1. <https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-1572-04-000m-sep-nov-teleconference-agendas.docx>
2. <https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-1716-00-000m-proposed-resolution-for-cid-101-cc35-clause-11-2-1.docx>
3. <https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-1617-01-000m-proposed-resolution-for-revme-cc35-comments-part-3.docx>
4. <https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-1617-02-000m-proposed-resolution-for-revme-cc35-comments-part-3.docx>
5. <https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-1668-01-000m-cc35-phy-cids-285-455.docx>
6. <https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-1668-02-000m-cc35-phy-cids-285-455.docx>

**October 22, 2021:**

1. <https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-1572-05-000m-sep-nov-teleconference-agendas.docx>
2. <https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-0758-12-000m-revme-motions.pptx>
3. <https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-0738-07-000m-revme-wg-cc35-editor1-ad-hoc-comments.xlsx>
4. [https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-0689-06-000m-revme-editor2-ad-hoc-comments.xlsx](https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-0689-05-000m-revme-editor2-ad-hoc-comments.xlsx)
5. [https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-0699-12-000m-gen-adhoc-revme-cc35-comments.xls](https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-0699-11-000m-gen-adhoc-revme-cc35-comments.xls)
6. [https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-0793-07-000m-revme-mac-comments.xls](https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-0793-06-000m-revme-mac-comments.xls)
7. <https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-0727-04-000m-revme-phy-comments.xls>
8. <https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-0690-09-000m-revme-cc35-sec-comments.xlsx>
9. <https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-0690-09-000m-revme-cc35-sec-comments.xlsx>
10. <https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-1448-03-000m-psd-floor-of-tx-mask.docx>
11. <https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-1707-01-000m-cc35-erp-tx-rxvector-parameters.docx>
12. <https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-1707-02-000m-cc35-erp-tx-rxvector-parameters.docx>
13. <https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-1729-00-000m-s1g-phy-rx-state-machine.docx>
14. <https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-0809-07-000m-cc35-crs-a.docx>
15. <https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-0809-08-000m-cc35-crs-a.docx>
16. <https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-0965-08-000m-cc35-phy-cids-19-18-14-15-527.docx>
17. <https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-1717-00-000m-revme-cc35-cid-97-basic-rate-set-definition.docx>

**October 29, 2021:**

1. <https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-1572-05-000m-sep-nov-teleconference-agendas.docx>
2. <https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-1732-00-000m-cc35-nb-crs-37.docx>
3. <https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-1617-04-000m-proposed-resolution-for-revme-cc35-comments-part-3.docx>
4. <https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-1617-05-000m-proposed-resolution-for-revme-cc35-comments-part-3.docx>
5. <https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-1717-00-000m-revme-cc35-cid-97-basic-rate-set-definition.docx>
6. <https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-0844-01-000m-cids-related-to-multiple-bssid-set.docx>
7. <https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-0844-02-000m-cids-related-to-multiple-bssid-set.docx>
8. <https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-1660-00-000m-resolution-for-cids-5-511-and-592.docx>
9. <https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/21/11-21-1664-00-000m-resolution-for-cid-4.docx>