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 Abstract

This submission proposes resolutions for CID 1038 received for TGbe CC34.

Revisions:

* Rev 0: Initial version of the document.
* Rev 1: Revised based on feedback from several members (added as co-authors)

Interpretation of a Motion to Adopt

A motion to approve this submission means that the editing instructions and any changed or added material are actioned in the TGbe Draft. This introduction is not part of the adopted material.

***Editing instructions formatted like this are intended to be copied into the TGbe Draft (i.e. they are instructions to the 802.11 editor on how to merge the text with the baseline documents).***

***TGbe Editor: Editing instructions preceded by “TGbe Editor” are instructions to the TGbe editor to modify existing material in the TGbe draft. As a result of adopting the changes, the TGbe editor will execute the instructions rather than copy them to the TGbe Draft.***

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **CID** | **Commenter** | **Pg/Ln** | **Section** | **Comment** | **Proposed Change** | **Resolution** |
| 1038 | Abhishek Patil | 129/01 | 35.3.4 | Each AP of an AP MLD may operate at different transmit power. This may be by choice or due to regulator requirements. The standard must provide sufficient information for a non-AP MLD to determine if it can close the DL with all the APs of the AP MLD before it initiates an ML setup with the AP MLD. | The commenter will provide contribution (Also see 11-20/508) | **Revised**Agree with the comment. Information regarding the transmit power of other APs affiliated with the AP MLD will help the non-AP MLD make an informed decision when selecting an AP MLD for performing multi-link setup. It also provides benefits to the AP MLD since the STAs of the non-AP MLD can be served at a higher MCS (lesser airtime) and would suffer lower retries. This will lead to higher system performance.A new subclause was added to Clause 35.3.4 (Discovery of an AP MLD) to describe how the operation. Clause 9 (Basic variant ML IE) was updated to describe the field for carrying the transmit power difference.**TGbe editor please implement changes as shown in doc 11-21/0386r1 tagged as 1038.** |

***TGbe editor: Please note baseline is 11be D0.4***

**35.3.4 Discovery of AP MLD**

***TGbe editor: Please insert the following (new) subclause as shown below:***

**35.3.4.x Addressing Reachability**

An AP affiliated with an AP MLD can independently select the transmit power for the Beacon frames that it transmits based on deployment scenario or to satisfy regulatory requirements. In addition, the pathloss characteristics for each band are different. Therefore, it is possible that there will be a situation in which a STA of a non-AP MLD is able to receive Beacon frames transmitted by an AP affiliated with an AP MLD while another STA affiliated with the same non-AP MLD is unable to receive Beacon frames from another AP affiliated with the same AP MLD. This subclause defines a mechanism by which an AP affiliated with an AP MLD advertises information that can help a non-AP MLD determine reachability for requested links.

An AP affiliated with an AP MLD shall, when responding to an ML probe request that is requesting the complete profile of another AP affiliated with the same AP MLD, provide the difference between the reporting AP’s beacon transmit power (expressed in EIRP) normalized to 20 MHz and the beacon transmit power of the reported AP (expressed in EIRP) normalized to 20 MHz, if the difference is nonzero. The AP shall transmit the ML probe response carrying Beacon TxPower Difference field with the same TXVECTOR parameters as its Beacon frames.

Based on the presence of and the value carried in the Beacon TxPower Difference field, a non-AP MLD can estimate the difference in the receive power for the requested link of the AP MLD. A non-AP MLD can, based on this estimate, make decisions on selecting a suitable AP MLD for performing multi-link setup. For example, if the non-AP MLD estimates that it would not be able to receive Beacon frames from one or more reported AP(s) affiliated with the AP MLD, it can decide to select a different AP MLD for performing multi-link setup.

An example of reachability estimation is shown in Figure 35-xxx (Example of reachability estimation).



**Figure 35-xxx – Example of reachability estimation**

In the example shown in Figure 35-xxx (Example of reachability estimation), STA1 affiliated with a non-AP MLD (N) sends an ML probe request to AP1 affiliated with an AP MLD (A), requesting the complete information of AP2 and AP3, which are also affiliated with the AP MLD (A). AP1 responds with an ML probe response, sent at the same transmit power as its Beacon frames, that provides the difference between the beacon transmit power between AP1 and AP2 (carried in the Per-STA Profile subelement of the Basic variant Multi-Link element corresponding to AP2), and the difference between the beacon transmit power between AP1 and AP3 (carried in the Per-STA Profile subelement of the Basic variant Multi-Link element corresponding to AP3). Using this information, the non-AP MLD (N) can compute an estimate of the received power for the Beacon frames transmitted on L2 by AP2 and on L3 by AP3, respectively.

The computation of difference in the received power on links L1 and L2 is shown in Equation (35-x1).

 (35-x1)

where,

 is the difference in receive power between link L2 and link L1

 is the difference in transmit power between link L2 and link L1

 is the estimated difference in the path loss between link L2 and link L1

NOTE – The estimated difference in the path loss between the reporting link and the reported link depends on the device implementation and is outside the scope of this standard.

The computation of the estimated received power on link L2 is shown in Equation (35-x2).

= (35-x2)

where,

 is the estimated receive power on link L2

 is the actual received power on link L1

**9.4.2.295b.2 Basic variant Multi-Link element**

***TGbe editor: Please update Figure 9-788ej in this subclause as shown below:***

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | B0 |  | B3 | B4 | B5 | B6 TBD |
| Li | ink ID | Complete Profile | Beacon TxPower Difference Present | Reserved |
| Bits: |  | 4 |  | 1 | 1 | TBD |

**Figure 9-788ej – Per-STA Control field format**

***TGbe editor: Please add the following paragraph before the paragraph “Other subfields are TBD.” as shown below:***

The Beacon TxPower Present subfield is set to 1 when the Beacon TxPower Difference field is present in the Link Info field. Otherwise, the subfield is set to 0.

***TGbe editor: Please add the following paragraph after the paragraph “Other subfields are TBD.” as shown below:***

The Beacon TxPower Difference field is a 2s complement signed integer. It carries the difference between the beacon transmit power (expressed in EIRP) normalized to 20 MHz of the AP reported in the Per-STA Profile subelement and the beacon transmit power (expressed in EIRP) normalized to 20 MHz of the AP transmitting the Basic variant Multi-Link element if the difference is nonzero. Otherwise, the Beacon TxPower Difference field is not present if the difference is zero.

NOTE – For example, if the beacon transmit power (in EIRP) normalized to 20 MHz of the AP that carries the ML probe response is 20 dBm and the beacon transmit power (in EIRP) normalized to 20 MHz of an AP that is reported in the Per-STA Profile subelement of the Basic variant Multi-Link element carried in the ML probe response is 23 dBm then the Beacon TxPower Difference field of the Per-STA profile subelement corresponding to that AP carries the binary value 11111101.

The Vendor Specific subelements have the same format as their corresponding elements (see 9.4.2.25 (Vendor Specific element)). Zero or more Vendor Specific subelements are included in the list of optional subelements.