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Abstract

This submission proposes resolutions for 2 of the technical comments submitted on LB251

The following 2comments on clause 31 and 31.1:

CIDs: 1019 and 1845

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **CID** | **Page.line** | **Clause** | **Comment** | **Proposed Change** | **Resolution** |
| 1019 | 37.32 | 32 | What are efficient coexistence policies? Also, it seems to imply that the coexistence is carried in the Duration/ID field of the MAC header, so the coexistence is only possible with other STAs that support reception of a PPDU that carry this MPDU. | Please clarify how is the coexistence efficient. And with which devices the coexistence is efficient and with which deviced it is not. | Revised.  The coexistence is required with non-NGV STAs.  Editor change the 1st sentence in 31.2.1 as follows:  “In order to enable ~~efficient~~ coexistence and interoperability with non-NGV STAs,…” |
| 1845 | 37.23 | 31.1 | It is unclear which functions in Clause 10 (MAC sublayer functional description) and Clause 11 (MLME) will be superseded by the functions in Clause 31. It can not be all of it. | Specify which functions in Clause 10 (MAC sublayer functional description) and Clause 11 (MLME) will be superseded by the functions in Clause 31. | Revised.  There is nothing that is superseded by clause 31.  Editor: delete the 3rd paragraph in 31.1: “The funcitons in Clause 31 supersede the functions in Clause 10 and Clause 11.” |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |