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Abstract

This submission proposes resolutions for multiple comments related to TGba D5.0 with the following CIDs:

5011, 5019

Revisions:

* Rev 0: Initial version of the document.

Interpretation of a Motion to Adopt

A motion to approve this submission means that the editing instructions and any changed or added material are actioned in the TGba Draft. This introduction is not part of the adopted material.

***Editing instructions formatted like this are intended to be copied into the TGba Draft (i.e. they are instructions to the 802.11 editor on how to merge the text with the baseline documents).***

***TGba Editor: Editing instructions preceded by “TGba Editor” are instructions to the TGba editor to modify existing material in the TGba draft. As a result of adopting the changes, the TGba editor will execute the instructions rather than copy them to the TGba Draft.***

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **CID** | **Commenter** | **Clause Number** | **Page** | **Line** | **Comment** | **Proposed Change** | **Resolution** |
| 5011 | Mark Hamilton | 1.3 | 21 | 18 | Generally, 'low latency" is understood to mean timing at 1ms level. While the intent of this sentence is understandable, it is a bit confusing/misleading to think of WUR as a "low latency" provision. Perhaps "lower latency" is better - since the idea is to reduce the latency inherent in current power saving techniques. | Change "low latency" to "lower latency" | Accepted. |
| 5019 | Rojan Chitrakar | B.4.36.1 | 175 | 44 | Status should be (CFWUR and WURM9):O | Change the Status column to (CFWUR and WURM9):O | Accepted. |