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**Abstract**

This submission contains proposals to resolve LB#244 CIDs 22322 and 22323 (2).

**Comments**:

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| CID | Page | Clause | Comment | Proposed change | Resolution |
| 22322 |  | 10.6.6.1,  26.15.2 | Changes to FTM are outside the scope of P802.11ax | Delete the changes shown in 10.6.6.1 General rules for rate selection for Control frames bullet f), 26.15.2 PPDU format selection last para | Rejected. Agree with the commenter that the development of FTM is within the primary scope of P802.11az draft. This is however not a new mode of operation but an adjustment to interoperable issue coming from product in the field on legacy modes.  As such a timely resolution is needed.  Whether it’s part of 11az or 11ax it will eventually be part of the baseline standard and thus result will be identical.  If larger changes are made would recommend following the commenter proposal. |
| 22323 |  | 10.6.6.1,  26.15.2 | FTM is owned by TGaz | Delete the changes shown in 10.6.6.1 General rules for rate selection for Control frames bullet f), 26.15.2 PPDU format selection last para; liaise with TGaz to make these changes in P802.11az, together with the other FTM changes they are making | Rejected. Agree with the commenter that the development of FTM is within the primary scope of P802.11az draft. This is however not a new mode of operation but an adjustment to interoperable issue coming from product in the field on legacy modes.  As such a timely resolution is needed.  Whether it’s part of 11az or 11ax it will eventually be part of the baseline standard and thus result will be identical.  If larger changes are made would recommend following the commenter proposal. |