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**Abstract**

This submission proposes resolutions to the following LB240 CIDs: 1639, 1795, 1814, 2013, 2128.

History:

R0: Initial Version

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1639 | 44.00 | 9.4.2.187 | Impact of renaming FILS Wrapped Data to Wrapped Data is not completed addressed. | FILS Wrapped Data is used in clauses 12.12.2.3.1 (P2674L65), (P2675L1) (P2675L5), 12.12.2.3.3 (P2676L16) -- twice, (P2676L60) -- twice, and more). This change has to be reflected through all usages in the baseline. | REVISE.Editor instructions in submission 11-19-0704r5 addresses this issue.No further specification changes required. |
| 1795 | 57.11 | 9.4.2.283 | The AoD Azimuth is a range from 0 to 1439, which makes sense. But then, AoD elevation, which also indicats a 360 degree range, uses another scale. This is not only uselessly adding complexity, but also creating confusion by ovarlaps (what is the difference between +180 and -180?). | Set the same scale for AoD azimuth and elevation. | Revise.Resolved by submission 11-19-646r1 addressing CID #1405. The corresponding editor instructions have been incorporated in D1.5.No further changes required. |
| 1814 | 87.00 | 11.22.6.1 | FTM overview section describes high level FTM operation and should not include shall statements that are very detailed operation of secured ranging in the 60GHz band | move text dealing with EDMG beamforming and training to the measurement section dealing with EDMG i.e. 11.24.6.4.7 | Revise.Editor instructions corresponding to CID 2379 in submission 11-19-1422r1 have been incorporated in D1.5 addressing this issue.No text changes required. |
| 2013 | 4.07 | 4.3.19.19 | [Re-raising this comment from the comment collection, as it is not possible to determine from 18/1544r8 whether/how it was addressed. References are to the CC draft and hence may be wrong against D1.0.]Shouldn't have a TBD | Replace the TBD with some text | REVISE. Editor instructions corresponding to CID 2227 in submission 11-19-1325r1 have been incorporated in D1.5, addressing this issue. No text changes required. |
| 2073 | 34.29 | 9.4.2.246 | [Re-raising this comment from the comment collection, as it is not possible to determine from 18/1544r8 whether/how it was addressed. References are to the CC draft and hence may be wrong against D1.0.]^ | Use superscript | Addressed in submission 11-19-1866r1.  |
| 2128 | 52.06 | 11.22.6.3.4 | [Re-raising this comment from the comment collection, as it is not possible to determine from 18/1544r8 whether/how it was addressed. References are to the CC draft and hence may be wrong against D1.0.]"one of the first 4 subfields of this field" is too brittle to be spec language | Refer to the fields explicitly | Addressed by 11-19-1733 |