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Abstract

This submission addresses the following CIDs from TGaz CC28 and based on TGaz draft 0.6: CID 162, 283, and 284 (3 CIDs).

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **CID** | **Page****/Line** | **Comment** | **Proposed Change** | **Resolution** |
| 162 | 47/22 | Each capability should have a MIB variable. | Add the related MIB variables. | Revised. Agree with the suggestion.  |
| 283 | 43/15 | "in an infrastructure BSS" -- so PASN cannot be used for pre-association ranging? | Clarify | Revised. Agree with the commenter’s question.  |
| 284 | 43/23 | This should be added after d), not at the end | As it says in the comment | Accepted.  |

Note: The page/line number above is referring to D0.4.

**For CID 162:**

**Discussion**

MIB additions already taken care in submission 11-19-005.

**For CID 283**:

**Discussion**

The STA cannot be a part of an infrastructure BSS before association. Hence, the infrastructure BSS part of the sentence can be removed. With this change, the structure of the sentence also matches with the rest of numbered list text in REVmd 2.0.

*TGaz Editor: Delete* the “in an infrastructure BSS” part of the sentence on page 63, line 19 in D0.6:

5) For PASN authentication, the authentication mechanism described in 12.xx (Pre-Association Security Negotiation).

**For CID 284:**

**Discussion**

Bullets a-d cover different types of authentication mechanisms and e-g covers special cases. Hence the location of PASN just after d makes sense.