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Abstract

This submission proposes resolutions for multiple comments related to TGba D1.0 with the following CIDs:

* 11 CIDs: 13, ~~14~~, 15, 166, 366, 367, 407, 516, 780, 981, 1017

Interpretation of a Motion to Adopt

A motion to approve this submission means that the editing instructions and any changed or added material are actioned in the TGba Draft. This introduction is not part of the adopted material.

***Editing instructions formatted like this are intended to be copied into the TGba Draft (i.e. they are instructions to the 802.11 editor on how to merge the text with the baseline documents).***

***TGba Editor: Editing instructions preceded by “TGba Editor” are instructions to the TGba editor to modify existing material in the TGba draft. As a result of adopting the changes, the TGba editor will execute the instructions rather than copy them to the TGba Draft.***

# Capability Element

| **CID** | **Page** | **Clause** | **Comment** | **Proposed Change** | **Resolution** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 13 | 32.48 | 9.4.2.274 | The WUR operation in the 5 GHz band is explicitly on non-DFS channels |  | Rejected.WUR operation is prohibited in DFS channels and this is described in subcluase 32.1 |
| ~~14~~ | ~~32.46~~ | ~~9.4.2.274~~ | ~~Next generation STAs may be multi-band. The 6 GHz band is viable option for multi-band support, in addition to 2. 4 GHz 4.9 GHz and 5 GHz.~~ |  | ~~Reject.~~~~Functional requirement document doesn’t mention about the 6GHz band.~~ ~~Revised.~~~~Change the “4.9GHz and 5GHz” and “5GHz”.~~ |
| 15 | 33.12 | 9.4.2.274 | Ambiguity in text within "Encoding column in Table 9-318f |  | Revised.Change the \* to X. |
| 166 | 32.47 | 9.4.2.274 | Why using 1 bit to indicate support of both 4.9 and 5GHz band? | Either remover 4.9GHz or have separated bit for 5 and 4.9GHz band | RejectIn the baseline spec, both bands are splited.See the (9.4.1.46) Band ID field  |
| 366 | 32.37 | 9.4.2.274 | The Supported Bands field format is missing the 5.9GHz band. | Add a column for B2 with the content 5.9GHz band and set B3-B8 as Reserved with size5. | Reject.Functional requirement document doesn’t mention about the 5.9 GHz band. |
| 367 | 32.48 | 9.4.2.274 | The Supported Bands field format is missing the 5.9GHz band. | Add a sentence "B2 of the Supported Bands field is set to 1 to indicate the suport of the 5.9Ghz band. Otherwise, B2 of the Supported Bands field is set to 0." | Reject.Functional requirement document doesn’t mention about the 5.9 GHz band. |
| 407 | 32.00 | 9.4.2.274 | We have two PHY rates today, and might have more in the future. Suggest to add a Supported WUR Rates field to the WUR Capabilities element | Add a Supporte WUR Rates field to the WUR Capabilities Element. Field is a bitmap of 8 bit length. First bit indicates support for 62.5, second bit indicates support for 250, third to final bits are all reserved for future use. | Rejected.WUR doesn't consider additional PHY rate. |
| 516 | 32.37 | 9.4.2.274 | 11ax devices may operate in 6GHz band. one reserved bit should be used to indicates whether to support 6GHz band for the WUR operating channel. | as per comment | Reject.Functional requirement document doesn’t mention about the 6GHz band. |
| 780 | 32.58 | 9.4.2.274 | My understanding is the amendment supports two rates and two PPDU formats. Shouldn't support of these parameters be included in the Capability info | As in comment | Rejected.The field (20 MHz WUR PPDU with HDR Support) is already indicated in the WUR Capability information field |
| 981 | 32.45 | 9.4.2.274 | 6 GHz band can be one of the supported band for WUR | Add 6 GHz subfield in the Supported Bands field | Reject.Functional requirement document doesn’t mention about the 6GHz band. |
| 1017 | 32.47 | 9.4.2.274 | How about 6GHz? | Add 6 GHz subfield. | Reject.Functional requirement document doesn’t mention about the 6GHz band. |