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Abstract

This document contains the minutes of the IEEE 802.11ak TGak Ad Hoc meeting on 2016-07-25.

Ad Hoc from 11:10 am EDT to 1:00 pm EST

July 25, 2016

Donald Eastlake (Chair) called the ad hoc meeting to order at 8:10 am local time (PDT). Mark Hamilton (Vice Chair) took notes.

The agenda for the week of face-to-face meetings is in 11-16/0776r1.

Participants were reminded of the IPR rules. No one announced any potentially essential IPR that is not yet subject to an LOA.

Reviewed the template questions to submit a request for PAR extension. Completed all answers except question 4, about Sponsor balloting start date, and RevCom submittal date. Also sent a note to Jon Rosdahl with comments/questions where we found the questions unclear.

Reviewed the TGak timeline. It seems we are about 4 months behind the most recent plan. Agreed to move dates out 4 months, and give more time for final Sponsor circulation, putting our projected RevCom date at September 2017. Put January 2017 into the PAR extension question 4, and September 2017 for submittal to RevCom.

Reviewed the original 5C document: 11-12/1208r0. No changes are needed.

Will consider these responses, and the PAR extension request and 5C re-affirmation overall, at a TG meeting during this week.

Reviewed the status of comment resolutions, per 11-16/556r29. Four individuals have unresolved comments assigned to them, at this point. There are some contributions ready, and we’ll review those (and hopefully more) this week.

We also need to review the “Waikoloa 3” tab, as this still needs to be motioned.

Reviewed 11-16/0921r0. Agreed that how EPD-ness within a Mesh needs more discussion (CID 1069). Simplest approach is make the entire Mesh use EPD or not. Since 802.11 mesh is not commonly used, a simple approach is probably sufficient, and enough to point out the issue to prioprietary solution implementors. Donald will work on this.

Meeting adjourned at 10:00 am, local time (PDT).