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##### This submission presents proposed resolution to CID 7707. Changes indicated by instructions.

##### Revision history:

##### R0 – initial version

R1 – changes made in the teleconference call on February 19, 2016

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| CID | Clause | Page | Line | Comment | Proposed Change |
| 7707 | C.3 | 2942 | 54 | Dot11RSNAStatsEntry has two dot11RSNABIPMICErrors | Delete one of them. Ditto for dot11ProtectedManagementFrameGroup |

***Discussion:***

In Draft 5.0, the commenter points out that there are two dot11RSNABIPMICErrors in Dot11RSNAStatsEntry:





If we take a look at Draft 4.4, it is found that the first appearance of “dot11RSNABIPMICErrors” in both Dot11RSNAStatsEntry and Dot11ProtectedManagementFrameGroup is due to the resolution of CID 5226.





|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| CID | Comment | Resolution |
| 5226 | Isn't dot11RSNABIPMICErrors the same with dot11RSNAStatsCMACICVErrors? The reason of thinking like that is because, in 11.4.4.6 BIP reception d), it is said that "... If the result does not match the received MICvalue, then the receiver shall discard the frame and increment the \*dot11RSNAStatsCMACICVErrors\*counter by 1, and terminate BIP processing for this reception." and BIP uses CMAC integrity check. The parameter, dot11RSNAStatsCMACICVErrors, is the counter that is used when there is an error in BIP CMAC integrity check and dot11RSNABIPMICErrors seems to be unnecessary. | Replace dot11RSNAStatsCMACICVErrors with dot11RSNABIPMICErrors AND delete 2909.51 to .61 |

**Resolution**

**Revised**

### TGmc Editor: Delete “dot11RSNABIPMICErrors” in line 2943.1 and 3354.45.