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Abstract

This document contains some fixes to inconsistencies and errors in the comment resolutions in 11-15/556r15 and some additional comments on P80211ak D1.4.
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# Notes on Comments

CID 53 has a resolution that doesn’t have anything to do with the comment.

CID 83 needs to be Revise rather than Accept. Also the change to make is not clearly specified. CID 94 just refers to CID 83.

CID 86 should be “Revise, see 11-15/796r7” instead of “Accept”.

CID 89, 192: Resolution of 89 by Motion #11 referring to 11-15/931r5 conflicts with resolution of 192 by Motion #14 referring to 11-15/931r7. Change in resolution to 192 was implemented.

CIDs 106, 107,108, 257, 268, and 269 all refer to 11-15/795r4 but current version that was used to update to D1.4 is 11-15/795r8.

CID 126 and CID 135: Resolved in two different ways by Motion #14 referring to submission 11-15/931r7. CID135 was revise requiring a trivial and in my opinion unnecessary change to a figure, inserting a space. CID 126 was Reject. Recommend changing CID 135 to Reject as a duplicate of CID 126.

CID 401: Motions 12 and 14 in Dallas adopted different resolutions. Motion 12 adopted the Accept in 11-15/795r8 while Motion 14 adopted the Revise in 11-15/796r7. The Revise is a bigger change that is part of the resolution of a number of comments and was adopted later so the Editor ignored the earlier adopted Accept.

# Fix–Up Motion

**Moved,** to change the resolution of the following comment as indicated:

CID Resolution Change

 53 Set to not resolved.

 86 Set to: “Revise, see 11-15-796r7”

 89 Change to “Revise, see CID 192”

106 “11-15/795r4” > “11-15/795r8”

107 “11-15/795r4” > “11-15/795r8”

108 “11-15/795r4” > “11-15/795r8”

135 Set to: “Reject, duplicate of CID 126.”

257 “11-15/795r4” > “11-15/795r8”

268 “11-15/795r4” > “11-15/795r8”

269 “11-15/795r4” > “11-15/795r8”

 Mover: Seconder:

 Yes: No: Abstain:

# Some Comments on P802.11ak D1.4

The 2nd paragraph of 5.2.1a and the 3rd paragraph of 13.11.1 appear to be duplicates.

CID 90’s resolution says how to change 10.2.2.1 and then to make the “same” change in 10.2.2.6. Is the change the Editor made in 10.2.2.6 correct?

A comment resolution inserted text just before the 10.24.16.4.1 heading. That is not allowed.