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Abstract

The submission contains resolutions to CID7288.

The revision 0 proposed two alternative wordings are proposed. 802.11ai group selected alternative B as the most suitable wording.

The revision 1 proposes a resolution for 7288.

**Proposed resolution for 7288:**

**Revised.**

**The 802.11ai group had a strawpoll and considered the following alternative to be the most suitable wording:**

***Instructions to the Editors: Make the changes as shown with track changes:***

**10.1.4.3.2 Active scanning procedure for a non-DMG STA**

If the STA is a FILS STA, the STA sets the FILSProbeTimer to 0 and starts the FILSProbeTimer. While the FILSProbeTimer is less than dot11FILSProbeDelay the STA may skip a probe request transmission and proceed to step h) after setting the ActiveScanningTimer to 0 and starting the ActiveScanningTimer, if one of the following conditions matches:

1) The STA receives a broadcast addressed probe request that the STA considers to be suitable to discover a candidate AP for association.

2) The STA receives one or more of Probe Response, Beacon, Measurement Pilot, or FILS Discovery frames that identify an AP which the STA considers a suitable candidate for association.

NOTE – The logic how a STA considers a probe request suitable or the AP as a suitable candidate for association is out of the scope of this standard.
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