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Abstract

This submission proposes comment resolutions for Clauses 8.8.5.3 and 10.1.3.10.2.

* CIDs: 6012, 6013, 6014, 6015, and 6017 (5 CIDs)

Changes in the text refer to: Draft P802.11ah/D4.0

Interpretation of a Motion to Adopt

A motion to approve this submission means that the editing instructions and any changed or added material are actioned in the TGah Draft. This introduction is not part of the adopted material.

***Editing instructions formatted like this are intended to be copied into the TGah Draft (i.e. they are instructions to the 802.11 editor on how to merge the text with the baseline documents).***

***TGah Editor: Editing instructions preceded by “TGah Editor” are instructions to the TGah editor to modify existing material in the TGah draft. As a result of adopting the changes, the TGah editor will execute the instructions rather than copy them to the TGah Draft.***

**CIDs 6012, 6013, 6014, and 6015,**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **CID** | **Clause** | **Page** | **Line** | **Comment** | **Proposed Change** | **Proposed****Resolution** |
| 6012 | 8.8.5.3 | 213 | 21 | The resolution for CID 5076 in document https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/14/11-14-1372-09-00ah-tgah-lb205-comments-on-d3-0.xlsx states that the termionology / used wording is in line with text found in IEEE 802.11 REVmc. Based on this argument, the proposed change was rejected.TGah has added the text (respectively changed the paragraph of the baseline) that was commented on in the previous comment. Hence the comment was valid.The fact that 11mc used unspecific, unclear, or errorneous wording is not a justification for 11ah to repeat the text and claim that changes to this new text, added by 11ah, should be made in 11mc.Hence, the comment was not properbly addressed. | Accept the proposed change per previous comment | Accepted –Change "It is set to 1 to indicate" to "A value of 1 indicates" |
| 6013 | 8.8.5.3 | 213 | 22 | The resolution for CID 5077 in document https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/14/11-14-1372-09-00ah-tgah-lb205-comments-on-d3-0.xlsx states that the termionology / used wording is in line with text found in IEEE 802.11 REVmc. Based on this argument, the proposed change was rejected.TGah has added the text (respectively changed the paragraph of the baseline) that was commented on in the previous comment. Hence the comment was valid.The fact that 11mc used unspecific, unclear, or errorneous wording is not a justification for 11ah to repeat the text and claim that changes to this new text, added by 11ah, should be made in 11mc.Hence, the comment was not properbly addressed. | Accept the proposed change per previous comment | Accepted –Change "It is set to 0 to indicate" to "A value of 0 indicates" |
| 6014 | 8.8.5.3 | 213 | 26 | The resolution for CID 5078 in document https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/14/11-14-1372-09-00ah-tgah-lb205-comments-on-d3-0.xlsx states that the termionology / used wording is in line with text found in IEEE 802.11 REVmc. Based on this argument, the proposed change was rejected.TGah has added the text (respectively changed the paragraph of the baseline) that was commented on in the previous comment. Hence the comment was valid.The fact that 11mc used unspecific, unclear, or errorneous wording is not a justification for 11ah to repeat the text and claim that changes to this new text, added by 11ah, should be made in 11mc.Hence, the comment was not properbly addressed. | Accept the proposed change per previous comment | Accepted –Change two occurences of "is set to" to "equals"  |
| 6015 | 8.8.5.3 | 213 | 32 | The resolution for CID 5079 in document https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/14/11-14-1372-09-00ah-tgah-lb205-comments-on-d3-0.xlsx states that the termionology / used wording is in line with text found in IEEE 802.11 REVmc. Based on this argument, the proposed change was rejected.TGah has added the text (respectively changed the paragraph of the baseline) that was commented on in the previous comment. Hence the comment was valid.The fact that 11mc used unspecific, unclear, or errorneous wording is not a justification for 11ah to repeat the text and claim that changes to this new text, added by 11ah, should be made in 11mc.Hence, the comment was not properbly addressed. | Accept the proposed change per previous comment | Accepted – change "is set to" to "equals" |

**CID 6017**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **CID** | **Clause** | **Page** | **Line** | **Comment** | **Proposed Change** | **Proposed****Resolution** |
| 6017 | 10.1.3.10.2 | 341 | 16 | The resolution for CID 5231 in document https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/14/11-14-1372-09-00ah-tgah-lb205-comments-on-d3-0.xlsx states that the termionology / used wording is in line with text found in IEEE 802.11 REVmc. Based on this argument, the proposed change was rejected.TGah has added the text (respectively changed the paragraph of the baseline) that was commented on in the previous comment. Hence the comment was valid.The fact that 11mc used unspecific, unclear, or errorneous wording is not a justification for 11ah to repeat the text and claim that changes to this new text, added by 11ah, should be made in 11mc.Hence, the comment was not properbly addressed. | Accept the proposed change per previous comment | Accepted –Change all occurences of “beacon period” to “beacon interval” in clause 10.1.3.10.2 |