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Abstract

This document provides a resolution to CID 3721.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **CID** | **Commenter** | **Clause Number(C)** | **Page** | **Comment** | **Proposed Change** | **Resolution** |
| 3721 | David Hunter | 10.2.2.19 | 1556.45 | "The VHT AP shall include a nav-set sequence":  this clearly is statement of a formal requirement.  However, there is no definition, anywhere in the standard, of exactly what a "nav-set sequence" is.  How can a normative requirement be justified, when the thing being 'required' is undefined?  (The only attempts at an elucidation of this term are several "e.g." parenthetical remarks distributed around the text.  The most extensive of these is the page 1317 "e.g." that lists two possibilities.  How many more possibilities there are is unknown.) | Unless a complete formal definition of this term is inserted somewhere before this location, just convert this statement into the friendly informative statement it otherwise appears to be:  replace "shall include" with "usually includes" and in the next sentence replace "shall not" with "doesn't". | Revised. “nav-set” sequence is defined in REVmc D3.0 Annex G.4, pg 3335, line 61. A reference to G.4 will be added to sentence in question.  REVmc editor: In REVmc D3.3, Section 10.2.2.19, pg 1591 line 24, change “The VHT AP shall include a nav-set sequence (e.g., RTS/CTS) at the beginning of such a TXOP with the  Duration/ID value set to the remainder of the TXOP duration.” to “The VHT AP shall include a nav-set sequence (e.g., RTS/CTS) (see G.4) at the beginning of such a TXOP with the Duration/ID value set to the remainder of the TXOP duration.” |