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Abstract

This submission proposes resolutions for multiple comments of TGah Draft 3.0 with the following CIDs (TOT 17 CIDs):

* 5007, 5012, 5032, 5033, 5048, 5049, 5062, 5063, 5080, 5081, 5082, 5278, 5094, 5252, 5294, 5301, 5339

Revisions:

- Rev 0: Initial version of the document

Interpretation of a Motion to Adopt

A motion to approve this submission means that the editing instructions and any changed or added material are actioned in the TGah Draft. This introduction is not part of the adopted material.

***Editing instructions formatted like this are intended to be copied into the TGah Draft (i.e. they are instructions to the 802.11 editor on how to merge the text with the baseline documents).***

***TGah Editor: Editing instructions preceded by “TGah Editor” are instructions to the TGah editor to modify existing material in the TGah draft. As a result of adopting the changes, the TGah editor will execute the instructions rather than copy them to the TGah Draft.***

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **CID** | **Commenter** | **P.L** | **Clause** | **Comment** | **Proposed Change** | **Resolution** |
| 5007 | Rojan Chitrakar | 70.13 | 8.2.3 | One extra "Figure" in the sentence. | Delete the extra "Figure" | Accepted  TGah editor: This is an inline instruction. |
| 5012 | Rojan Chitrakar | 93.28 | 8.3.3.6 | Order of Relay Activation element is 44 or 41? | Please reconfirm the order of Relay Activation element | Revised –  Agree with the comment. Correct order number is 41.  TGah editor to replace “44” with “41”.  TGah editor: This is an inline instruction. |
| 5032 | MARC EMMELMANN | 76.38 | 8.2.4.1.8 | "(short) beacon interval" -- using this wording seems to require to introduce a new abbreviation which could easily be avoided by using "short beacon interval or beacon interval". This would alse prevent the use of parenthathes. | Replace "(short) beacon interval" with "short beacon interval or beacon interval" | Rejected –  We already have introduced the respective abbreviation for “(short) beacon interval” as part of the comment resolution process of previous LBs. Please refer to P8L20 of 11ah D3.0:  “(short) beacon interval short beacon interval or beacon interval”. |
| 5033 | MARC EMMELMANN | 76.41 | 8.2.4.1.8 | "(short) beacon interval" -- using this wording seems to require to introduce a new abbreviation which could easily be avoided by using "short beacon interval or beacon interval". This would alse prevent the use of parenthathes. | Replace "(short) beacon interval" with "short beacon interval or beacon interval" | Rejected –  We already have introduced the respective abbreviation for “(short) beacon interval” as part of the comment resolution process of previous LBs. Please refer to P8L20 of 11ah D3.0:  “(short) beacon interval short beacon interval or beacon interval”. |
| 5048 | MARC EMMELMANN | 343.39 | 10.2.2.20 | "(short) beacon interval" -- using this wording seems to require to introduce a new abbreviation which could easily be avoided by using "short beacon interval or beacon interval". This would alse prevent the use of parenthathes. | Replace "(short) beacon interval" with "short beacon interval or beacon interval" | Rejected –  We already have introduced the respective abbreviation for “(short) beacon interval” as part of the comment resolution process of previous LBs. Please refer to P8L20 of 11ah D3.0:  “(short) beacon interval short beacon interval or beacon interval”. |
| 5049 | MARC EMMELMANN | 343.60 | 10.2.2.20 | "(short) beacon interval" -- using this wording seems to require to introduce a new abbreviation which could easily be avoided by using "short beacon interval or beacon interval". This would alse prevent the use of parenthathes. | Replace "(short) beacon interval" with "short beacon interval or beacon interval" | Rejected –  We already have introduced the respective abbreviation for “(short) beacon interval” as part of the comment resolution process of previous LBs. Please refer to P8L20 of 11ah D3.0:  “(short) beacon interval short beacon interval or beacon interval”. |
| 5062 | MARC EMMELMANN | 87.06 | 8.3.1.6 | Use of "is set to" -- The sentence describes the value of the field / bit and not the process of changing it from one value to another. Hence, instead of "is set to X", the wording should be "equals X". | Replace "is set to" with "equals" or "is equal to" | Rejected –  The comment refers to text that is present in the baseline:  “When transmitted by a non-DMG and non-S1G STA, the Duration field is set to 0..” which is inline with the recommendations in the Editor’s style guide.  If this is considered to be an issue please submit the comment to REVmc. |
| 5063 | MARC EMMELMANN | 87.12 | 8.3.1.6 | Use of "is set to" -- The sentence describes the value of the field / bit and not the process of changing it from one value to another. Hence, instead of "is set to X", the wording should be "equals X". | Replace "is set to" with "equals" or "is equal to" | Rejected –  The comment refers to the following text:  “When transmitted by an S1G STA, the Duration field is set to either 0 or a truncated time as described in 9.22.2.9 (Truncation of TXOP).” which is inline with the recommendations in the Editor’s style guide and the terminology used in baseline for the same condition (see cited text for CID 5062).  If this is considered to be an issue please submit the comment to REVmc. |
| 5080 | MARC EMMELMANN | 213.33 | 8.8.5.4 | Use of bit patterns and not values in base-10 | Change "0000" to "A value of 0" | Accepted  TGah editor: This is an inline instruction. |
| 5081 | MARC EMMELMANN | 213.34 | 8.8.5.4 | Use of bit patterns and not values in base-10 | Change "0001" to "A value of 1" | Accepted  TGah editor: This is an inline instruction. |
| 5082 | MARC EMMELMANN | 213.38 | 8.8.5.4 | extra line break | delete extra line-break | Revised –  Proposed resolution is the same as for CID 5278 that formats these three paragraphs as an equation.  TGah editor: Apply the changes as instructed by proposed resolution for CID 5278. |
| 5278 | Alfred Asterjadhi | 213.37 | 8.8.5.4 | It is stated that this is an equation but the formatting is not compatible with an equation. | Ensure that the formatting follows that of equations. In addition, indent the line that starts with "where...." and replace "pad subfield" with Pad subfield" in the last paragraph of this subclause. | Accepted  TGah editor: This is an inline instruction. |
| 5094 | Stephen Mccann | 119.61 | 8.4.2.28 | The sentence "0 to indicate that the information provided by this element is valid for both sensor STAs and non-sensor STAs" does not make sense. | Rewrite the text to state "0 to indicate that the information provided by this element is valid for STAs" | Revised –  Do not generally agree with the coment because it is useful to indicate that the information is valid for both types of STAs defined in 11ah. Proposed resolution is to add this classifier in parenthesis.  TGah editor: Replace the item with “0 to indicate that the information provided by this element is valid for STAs (i.e., both sensor STAs and non-sensor STAs)”. |
| 5252 | Alfred Asterjadhi | 120.05 | 8.4.2.28 | The subfield is called RAW ACI. Replace "RAW AC" with "RAW ACI". | As in comment. | Accepted  TGah editor: This is an inline instruction. |
| 5294 | Alfred Asterjadhi | 257.51 | 9.9 | This subfield is called "+HTC-VHT Capable". Replace "+HTC-VHT Support" with "+HTC-VHT Capable". Also no need to repeat twice the S1G Capabilities element. So replace "to 1 in S1G Capabilities element that it transmits" with "that it transmits to 1". | As in comment. | Accepted  TGah editor: This is an inline instruction. |
| 5301 | Alfred Asterjadhi | 285.34 | 9.34.7 | VHT Capabilities element? This should be S1G Capabilities element. | Replace "VHT" with "S1G" | Accepted  TGah editor: This is an inline instruction. |
| 5339 | Alfred Asterjadhi | 350.04 | 10.23.6.4.1 | The field is included in the element not the other way around. | As in comment. | Revised –  Agree in principle with comment. Proposed resolution fixes the issue.  TGah editor to make the changes shown in 11-14/1516r0 under all headings that include CID 5339. |

**Discussion:** *None.*

**10.23.6.4.1 General**

**TGah Editor: *Change the paragraph below as follows (#5339):***

A wideband off-channel TDLS direct link may be started if both TDLS peer STAs indicated wideband support in the Supported Channel Width Set subfield of the VHT Capabilities element or S1G Capabilities element included in the TDLS Setup Request frame or the TDLS Setup Response frame.