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# Abstract

This document describes the simulation scenarios for the 11ax TG.
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# Introduction

This document defines simulation scenarios to be used for

* Evaluation of performance of features proposed in HEW
* Generation of results for simulators calibration purpose.

Each scenario is defined by specifying

* Topology: AP/STAs positions, P2P STAs pair positions, obstructions , layout, propagation model
* Traffic model
	+ UL: STA - AP traffic
	+ DL: AP – STA traffic
	+ P2P traffic (tethering, Soft-APs, TDLS)
	+ ‘Idle’ management (generating management traffic such as probes/beacons)
* Power model
* List of PHY, MAC, Management parameters
	+ We may want to fix the value of some parameters to limit the degrees of freedom, and for calibration
	+ Optionally, some STAs may use legacy (11n/ac) operation parameters, if required to prove effectiveness of selected HEW solutions
* An interfering scenario (its performance optionally tracked)
	+ Not managed or managed by a different entity than the one of the main scenario
	+ Defined by its own Topology, Traffic model and parameters

Per each of above items, the scenario description defines a detailed list of parameters and corresponding values.

**Values not specified can be set to any value.**

**Values included in square brackets [] are default values to be used for calibration.**

**All other parameters values not included in [], are to be considered mandatory for performance evaluation.**

Simulation results should be presented together with the specification of the value used per each of the parameters in the tables.

# Notes on this version

This document builds on document 13/1001r9, which was developed during the HEW SG phase.

The document consolidates contributions on scenarios details from various authors and reflects the comments/submissions received. It is not a final version by any means and is subject to changes based on further discussion and feedback.

Major TBDs

* Traffic models
* Channel models an penetration losses per scenario
	+ Not clear agreement on which channel models to be used in each scenario; some tentative included in the document
* Calibration scenarios;
* Some other topics under discussion refer to simulation methodology/parameters that can be common and fixed across all scenarios, hence they may be directly included in the Evaluation Methodology document or in an appendix of this documents
	+ Rate adaptation model
	+ Use of wrap around for scenarios 3 and 4?
		- Discussion is needed; Use of wrap around with CSMA may create artefacts
	+ Is the ‘random’ position of STAs randomly generated by each simulation run, or are we going to have a file with common positions?
	+ Several channel model and RF related parameters that are likely to be common and fixed across scenarios see #1383

# Scenarios summary

This document reports the initial agreement according to document 11-13/1000r2.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Scenario Name** | **Topology** | **Management** | **Channel Model** | **Homogeneity** | **~Traffic Model** |
| **1** | Residential | A - Apartment building e.g. ~10m x 10m apartments in a multi-floor building~10s of STAs/AP, P2P pairs | Unmanaged | Indoor | Flat | Home |
| **2** | Enterprise | B - Dense small BSSs with clusterse.g. ~10-20m inter AP distance,  ~100s of STAs/AP, P2P pairs | Managed | Indoor | Flat | Enterprise  |
| **3** | Indoor Small BSS Hotspot | C - Dense small BSSs, uniforme.g. ~10-20m inter AP distance ~100s of STAs/AP, P2P pairs | Mobile  |
| **4** | Outdoor Large BSS Hotspot | D - Large BSSs, uniforme.g. 100-200m inter AP distance ~100s of STAs/AP, P2P pairs | Managed | Outdoor | Flat | Mobile |
| **4a** | Outdoor Large BSS Hotspot+ Residential | D+A | Managed + Unmanaged | Hierarchical | Mobile + Home |

## Considerations on the feedback from WFA

Document 11-13/1443 includes feedback from WFA regarding prioritization of usage models.

Document 11-13/1456r1 shows a mapping between the prioritized usage models and the simulation scenarios in this document (as of r5).

The summary is copied here:

* **Mapping**
	+ 1b Airport / train station 🡪 Scenario 3
	+ 1e E-education 🡪 Scenario 2
	+ 3a Dense apartment building 🡪 Scenario 1
	+ 4b Pico-cell street deployment 🡪 Scenario 4
	+ 2b Public transportation 🡪 ??
		- No good match with existing scenarios
* **Is usage model 2b relevant for HEW, in the opinion of the SG?**
	+ Usage model 2b is essentially ‘single cell’, which is a departure from ‘Dense scenarios’ scope of HEW
		- High density of STAs but likely just 1 or few APs
	+ Goal of simulation scenarios is to capture key issues, and for proof of solutions
	+ If considered not relevant: our current simulation scenarios are enough
	+ If considered relevant: we need to either add one more scenario, or fit it into an existing one (preferred)
		- E.g. can it fit as a special case of Scenario 2 or 3?

## Common Parameters for all simulation Scenarios

Each simulation scenario shall use the PHY and MAC parameters as defined below. If a scenario changes any value of these parameters, then the changed value is listed in the simulation scenario.

|  |
| --- |
| **PHY parameters** |
| BW | All BSSs either all at 2.4GHz, or all at 5GHz[20MHz BSS at 2.4GHz, or 80 MHz BSS at 5GHz]  |
| Data Preamble Type | [2.4GHz, 11n; 5GHz, 11ac] |
| STA TX Power  | 15 dBm per antenna |
| AP TX Power  | 20 dBm per antenna |
| P2P TX Power | 15 dBm per antenna |
| AP Number of TX antennas  | All APs with [2] or all with 4 antennas |
| AP Number of RX antennas  | All APs with [2] or all with 4 antennas |
| STA Number of TX antennas | All STAs with [1] or all with 2 antennas |
| STA Number of RX antennas | All HEW STAs with [1] or all with 2 antennas |
| AP antenna gain | +0dBi |
| STA antenna gain | -2dBi |
| Noise Figure | 7dB |
| Distance-based Path Loss | Computed on the basis of 3-D distance, with a minimum 3-D distance of 1 meter. Formulas shall be evaluated with carrier frequency equal to 2.4GHz for channels within the 2.4 GHz band, and with carrier frequency equal to 5GHz for channels within the 5 GHz band. |

|  |
| --- |
| **MAC parameters** |
| Access protocol parameters  | [EDCA with default parameters] |
| Aggregation  | [A-MPDU / max aggregation size / BA window size, No A-MSDU, with immediate BA] |
| Max number of retries  | Max retries: 10 |
| RTS/CTS Threshold | [no RTS/CTS] |

## Common Power Model Parameters for all simulation Scenarios

|  |
| --- |
| **Power State parameters** |
| Power State | Average Power Consumption (mW)Bandwidth = { 20 MHz }, Band = { 2.4 GHz, 5 GHz }, NSS = { 1 },Number of TX/RX antennas = { 1 }, TX power per antenna = { 15 dBm } |
| Transmit |  |
| Receive |  |
| Listen |  |
| Sleep |  |

Transmit power state is defined as the state when the STA is sending a PPDU.

Receive power state is defined as the state when the STA is receiving a PPDU.

Listen power state is defined as the state when the STA is performing CCA or actively looking for the presence of a PPDU.

Sleep power state is defined as the state when the STA is in Doze state and receiver is off.

|  |
| --- |
| **Power Transition parameters** |
| State Transitions | Transition Time (ms) | Average Power Consumption (mW) |
| Transmit ⬄ Listen | 0 | 0 |
| Receive ⬄ Listen | 0 | 0 |
| Receive Transmit | TRT (e.g. SIFS of 16us) | PRT |
| Transmit  Sleep | TTS | PTS |
| Receive  Sleep | TRS | PRS |
| Listen ⬄ Sleep | TLS | PLS |

|  |
| --- |
| Power Save Mechanism parameters |
| Mechanism | Parameter | Definition/Values | Pick one value from the suggested set of Simulation Values \*\* |
| Power save mode (PSM) | Beacon Interval (BI) | 100 TU | 100 TU |
| DTIM | Integer in unit of BI | { 1, 3 } |
| PSM timeout  | Length of time before STA goes to sleep  | { 50, 100, 200 } ms |
| Power save polling (PSP) | Beacon Interval | 100 TU | 100 TU |
| DTIM | Integer in unit of BI | { 1, 3 } |
| Unscheduled automatic power save delivery (U-APSD) | Beacon Interval | 100 TU | 100 TU |
| DTIM | Integer in unit of BI | { 1, 3 } |
| Max SP Length | Indicate the maximum number of buffered MSDUs, A-MSDUs, and MMPDUs that AP may deliver per SP | { 2, 4, 6, ∞ } |
| AC \*\*\* | Access Category | { VI, VO, BE, BK } |

\*\* Simulation results presented should clearly indicated what values are used in the generating the simulation results

 \*\*\* If U-APSD is enabled for an AC, then that AC is assumed to be both delivery and trigger enabled

# 1 - Residential Scenario

(Initial version from documents 11-13/1081r0**,** 786)

|  |
| --- |
| **Topology** |
| Figure 1 - Residential building layout |
| **Parameter** | **Value** |
| Environment description | Multi-floor building* 5 floors, 3 m height in each floor
* 2x10 apartments in each floor
* Apartment size:10m x 10m x 3m
 |
| APs location | In each apartment, place AP in random xy-locations (uniform distribution) at z = 1.5 m above the floor level of the apartment. |
| AP Type | M APs in the buildingAP\_1 to AP\_M1: HEWAP\_{M1+1} to AP\_M: non-HEWM = Number of Apartments = 100M1 = [100]Non-HEW = 11b/g/n in 2.4GHzNon-HEW = 11ac in 5GHz  |
| STAs location | In each apartment, place STAs in random xy-locations (uniform distribution) at z = 1.5m above the floor level of the apartment |
| Number of STA and STAs type | N STAs in each apartmentSTA\_1 to STA\_N1: HEWSTA\_{N1 +1} to STA\_N: non-HEWN = [2] or N = 10 N1 = [N]Non-HEW = 11b/g (TBD) in 2.4GHzNon-HEW = 11ac (TBD) in 5GHz |
| Channel ModelAnd Penetration Losses | Fading modelTGac channel model D NLOS for all the links. |
| Pathloss modelPL(d) = 40.05 + 20\*log10(fc/2.4e9) + 20\*log10(min(d,5)) + (d>5) \* 35\*log10(d/5) + 18.3\*F^((F+2)/(F+1)-0.46) + 5\*Wd = max(3D distance [m], 1)fc = frequency [GHz]F = number of floors traversedW = number of walls traversed in x-direction plus number of walls traversed in y-direction |
| ShadowingLog-normal with 5 dB standard deviation, iid across all links |
|  |
| **PHY parameters** |
| MCS | [use MCS0 for all transmissions] or[use MCS7 for all transmissions] |
| GI | Short |
| AP #of TX antennas  | All HEW APs with [2] or all with 4 |
| AP #of RX antennas  | All HEW APs with [2] or all with 4 |
| STA #of TX antennas | All HEW STAs with [1] or all with 2 |
| STA #of RX antennas | All HEW STAs with [1] or all with 2 |
|  |
| **MAC parameters** |
| Access protocol parameters  | [EDCA with default parameters according to traffic class] |
| Center frequency, BSS BW and primary channels | Operating channel: 2.4GHz: random assignment of 3 20MHz non-overlapping channels 5GHz: random assignment of [3] or 5 80MHz non-overlapping channels, with random selection of primary channel per operating channel  |
| Aggregation  | [A-MPDU / 64 MPDU aggregation size / BA window size, No A-MSDU, with immediate BA] |
| Max # of retries  | Max retries: 10 |
| RTS/CTS Threshold | [No RTS/CTS] |
| Association | X% of STAs in an apartment are associated to the AP in the apartment; 100-X% of the STAs are not associated[X=100] |
| Management | Each AP is independently managed |

**Traffic model**

**For Calibration:**

* Use full buffer traffic
* Downlink only or Uplink only
* BE class

**For performance tests:**

|  |
| --- |
| **Traffic model (Per each apartment) - TBD** |
| **#** | **Source/Sink** | **Name** | **Traffic definition** | **Flow specific parameters**  | **AC** |
| **Downlink** |
| D1 | AP/STA1 | Buffered video streaming |  | 200Mbps/N (4k video 20Mbps for N=10); | VI |
| … |  |  |  |  | VI |
| DN | AP/STA\_N | Buffered video streaming |  |  200Mbps/N (4k video 20Mbps for N=10); | VI |
| **Uplink** |
| U1 | STA1/AP |  |  | 1.5Mpbs |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| UN | STA\_N/AP |  |  | 1.5Mpbs |  |
| **P2P (optional)** |
| P1 | STA\_{N1+1}/STA\_{N1+2} | Buffered video streaming  |  | 10Mbps | VI |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | STA\_{N-1}/STA\_{N} | Buffered video streaming  |  | 10Mbps |  |
|  **Idle Management (optional**  |
| M1 | AP1 | Beacon | TX | 80 octets long Beacon frame is transmitted every 100ms  |  |
| M2-M | All unassociated STAs | Probe Req |  | TBD |  |

# 2 – Enterprise Scenario

(Initial version form the Wireless Office scenario in 11/722r2)

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Parameter** | **Value** |
|  |
| **Topology** |
| Figure 2 - BSSs within the building floor |
|  |
| Toplogy_dense.pngFigure 3 - STAs clusters (cubicle) and AP positions within a BSSFigure 4 - STAs within a cluster |
| Topology Description  | Office floor configuration * 1. 8 offices (see **Error! Reference source not found.**)
	2. 64 cubicles per office (see **Error! Reference source not found.**)
	3. Each cubicle has 4 STAs (see Figure 4)

STA1: laptopSTA2: monitorSTA3: smartphone or tabletSTA4: Hard disk |
| APs location | 4 APs per officeInstalled on the ceiling at:AP1: (x=5,y=5,z=3)AP2: (x=15,y=5,z=3)AP3: (x=5,y=15,z=3)AP4: (x=15,y=15,z=3)From the left-bottom of each office location. |
| AP Type | HEW |
| STAs location | Placed randomly in a cubicle (x,y) z=1 |
| Number of STAsand STAs type | N STAs in each cubicle. STA\_1 to STA\_{N1}: HEWSTA\_{N1+1} to STA\_{N} : non-HEWN = 4N1 = [4]Non-HEW = 11b/g/n (TBD) in 2.4GHzNon-HEW = 11ac (TBD) in 5GHz |
| Channel ModelAnd Penetration Losses | Fading modelTGac channel model D NLOS for all the links. |
| Pathloss modelPL(d) = 40.05 + 20\*log10(fc/2.4e9) + 20\*log10(min(d,10)) + (d>10) \* 35\*log10(d/10) + 7\*Wd = max(3D-distance [m], 1)fc = frequency [GHz]W = number of office walls traversed in x-direction plus number of office walls traversed in y-directionShadowingLog-normal with 5 dB standard deviation, iid across all links  |
|  |  |
|  |
| **PHY parameters** |
| MCS | [use MCS0 for all transmissions] or[use MCS7 for all transmissions] |
| GI | Short |
| AP #of TX antennas  | 4 |
| AP #of RX antennas  | 4 |
| STA #of TX antennas | All STAs with [1], or all STAs with 2 |
| STA #of RX antennas | All STAs with [1], or all STAs with 2 |
|  |
| **MAC parameters** |
| Access protocol parameters | [EDCA with default EDCA Parameters set] |
| Center frequency, BSS BW and primary channels | Channel allocation5GHz: Four 80 MHz channels (Ch1, Ch2, Ch3, Ch4) The channel distribution can be:Ch1: BSS 4k-3Ch2: BSS 4k-2Ch3: BSS 4k-1Ch4: BSS 4kk=1~8, is the office index.APs on same 80MHz channel uses the same primary channel2.4GHz: Ch1: BSS 1Ch2: BSS 2Ch3: BSS 3 and 4Repeat same allocation for all offices |
| Aggregation  | [A-MPDU / max aggregation size / BA window size, No A-MSDU, with immediate BA] |
| Max # of retries  | 10 |
| RTS/CTS Threshold | [no RTS/CTS] |
| Association | X% of STAs associate with the AP based on highest RSSI in the same office; 100-X% of STAs are not associated. [X=100] |
| Management | It is allowed to assume that all APs belong to the same management entity |
| **Parameters for P2P (if different from above)** |
| Primary channels | Channel allocation5 GHzAll P2P group use one 80 MHz channel which is Channel 1 of HEW’s parameter with random selection of primary channel per operating channel2.4 GHzRandom assignment in 4 channels of HEW’s parameter |

**Traffic model**

|  |
| --- |
| **Traffic model (Per each cubicle)**  |
| **#** | **Source/Sink** | **Name** | **Traffic definition** | **Flow specific parameters**  | **AC** |
| **Downlink** |
| D1 | AP/STA1 | Web browsing, Local file transfer | T1 |  | VI |
| D2 | AP/STA3 | Web browsing, Local file transfer | T3 |  | BE |
| **Uplink** |
| U1 | STA1/AP | Web browsing, Local file transfer |  |  |  |
| U2 | STA3/AP | Web browsing, Local file transfer |  |  |  |
| **P2P** |
| P1 | STA1/STA2 | Lightly compressed video |  |  |  |
| P2 | STA1/STA4 | Hard disk file transfer |  |  |  |
|  **Idle / Management** |
| M1 | AP | Beacon  |  |  |  |
| M2 | STAs | Probes  |  |  |  |

## Interfering scenario for scenario 2

All surveys and observations so far have led to the same conclusion that most enterprises in the world are made up of micro, small or medium sizes. The results of the surveys also indicate that small enterprises consist of a single office/room whereby medium enterprises consist of 2 to 4 offices. Hence, a mixed office scenario that contains multiple BSSs belonging to different ESSs is proposed. These ESSs are managed independently. (Reference: 14/0051r0).

**Interference models:**

Based on the mixed enterprise topology, two kinds of interferences are considered either in a combined or separate way:

* Interference between APs belonging to different managed ESS due to the presence of multiple operators (multiple small and medium enterprises).
* Interference with unmanaged networks (P2P links).
1. Interference between APs belonging to different managed ESS due to the presence of multiple operators (multiple small and medium enterprises). Use the model of scenario 2 with the following differences.

Different offices can be managed by a different entities, as indicated in Figure 5, where each color represents a management entity (note that office 1 (BSS1-4) and office 2 (BSS5-8) have same management entity)

BSS 9-12

BSS13-16

BSS 5-8

BSS 1-4

20 m

20 m

BSS 25-28

BSS 29-32

BSS 21-24

BSS 17-20

1

2

4

3

Figure 5- Scenario 2 with different management entities

1. Interference with unmanaged networks (P2P links). Use the model of scenario 3 with the following differences.

A number of additional P2P STAs

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| STAs location | (NP2P /2) P2P pairs with STAs placed 0.5m apart. The P2P pairs are placed in a random location within an office. |
| Number of STAsand STAs type | P2P STAs: NP2P STAs in an office, with MP2P STAs HEW.STA\_{64N+1} to STA\_{64N+MP2P}: HEWSTA\_{64N+ MP2P+1} to STA\_{64N+NP2P}: non-HEW (NP2P = TBD, MP2P = TBD) ,with N STAs in a cubic as described in scenario 2, and 64 cubics per office.Non-HEW = 11b/g/n (TBD) in 2.4GHz Non-HEW = 11n/ac (TBD) in 5GHz  |



# 3 - Indoor Small BSSs Scenario

(From document 1248r0)

This scenario has the objective to capture the issues and be representative of real-world deployments with high density of APs and STAs that are highlighted by the first category of usage models described in [5]:

* In such environments, the infrastructure network (ESS) is planned. For simulation complexity simplifications, a hexagonal BSS layout is considered with a frequency reuse pattern.
* In such environments, the “traffic condition” described in the usage model document mentions:
	+ interference between APs belonging to the same managed ESS due to high density deployment: *this OBSS interference is captured in this scenario*
		- *note that this OBSS interference is touching STAs in high SNR conditions (close to their serving APs, while in outdoor large BSS scenario, the OBSS interference will be touching STAs in low SNR conditions (for from their serving APs)*
	+ Interference with unmanaged networks (P2P links): *this OBSS interference is captured in this scenario by the definition of interfering networks, defined here as random unmanaged short-range P2P links, representative of Soft APs and tethering*
	+ Interference with unmanaged stand-alone APs: *this OBSS interference is currently not captured in this scenario, but in the hierarchical indoor/outdoor scenario*
	+ Interference between APs belonging to different managed ESS due to the presence of multiple operators: *this OBSS interference is currently not captured in this scenario, but in the outdoor large BSS scenario*
* Other important real-world conditions representative of such environments are captured in this scenario, [20]:
	+ Existence of unassociated clients, with regular probe request broadcasts.

Different frequency reuse pattern can be defined (1, 3 and/or more).

Frequency reuse 3 is more realistic in a scenario with such high density of AP and we should use it as the default setting.

It is representative of the majority of planned deployments which apply frequency reuse higher than 1 and where STAs are located closer from their serving APs (good SNR conditions) than from neighboring APs on the same channel.

It is regular

Reuse 1 should however also be considered, to capture the fact that some regions have very low available bandwidth and are forced to apply frequency reuse 1 deployments. (But this reuse 1 case is very difficult seeing the huge overlap between neighboring APs due to high density of APs).

Note that frequency reuse 1 is more suited to scenario 4 either to represent:

 A single operator deployment in a region where available bandwidth is low (the lower density of APs in large outdoor makes it more realistic)

 An overlap between 3 operators, each applying a frequency reuse 3: this is equivalent to a single deployment with reuse 1.

In order to focus this scenario on the issues related to high density, the channel model is considered as a large indoor model (TGn F). *Note that robustness to outdoor channel models, which is also a requirement for some usage models in category 1 (like outdoor stadiums), is captured in the outdoor large BSS scenario.*

It is important to define a proportion (TBD %) of legacy devices in the scenario that won’t implement the proposed solution under evaluationto ensure that the solution will keep its efficiency in real deployments (some solutions may be sensitive to the presence of legacy devices while other won’t).

These legacy devices shall simply keep the baseline default parameters and shall not implement the proposed solution under evaluation. Those devices can be:

* STAs connected to the planned network
* APs and STAs part of the interfering network

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Parameter** | **Value** |
|  |
| **Topology (A)** |
| Figure 6 - BSSs layoutBSSBSSBSSBSSBSSBSSBSSBSSBSSBSSBSSBSBSSBSSBSSBSSBSSBSSBSSFigure 7 - Layout of BSSs using the same channel in case frequency reuse 3 is used |
| Environment description | BSSs are placed in a regular and symmetric grid as in Figure 6 for frequency reuse 1 and Figure 7 for frequency reuse 3.Each hexagon in Figure 6 and 7 has the following configuration:Radius (R): 10 meters Inter BSS distance (ICD): 2\*h meters h=sqrt(R2-R2/4) |
| APs location | AP is placed at the center of the hexagon, with 3m antenna height |
| AP Type | HEW |
| STAs location | STA antenna height 1.5m.Reuse 1:STAs are placed randomly (uniform distribution) within the 19 cell area. STA identifies AP from which it receives the highest power (based on distance-based pathloss and shadowing). STA associates to corresponding AP if the AP does not yet have N1 STAs associated to it; if AP already has N1 STAs associated to it then this STA is removed from the simulation. This process is repeated, with iid dropping of STAs within the 19 cell area, until each of the 19 APs has exactly N1 STAs associated to it.Reuse 3:STAs are placed randomly (uniform distribution) within the 61 cell area that covers the reuse 3 pattern in Figure 7. STA identifies which (of the 61) APs from which it receives the highest power (based on distance-based pathloss and shadowing). If the corresponding AP is one of the 19 co-channel APs shown in Figure 7 and if the AP does not yet have N1 STAs associated to it, then STA associates to it; else STA is removed from the simulation. This process is repeated until each of the 19 co-channel APs has exactly N1 STAs associated to it.If Y >0 or Z> 0, where Y and Z are the percentage of STAs that associate with the 2nd /3rd strongest AP’s respectively (see below for specification of Y, Z, and X; percentage of STAs that associate with strongest AP), then the above procedure should be performed three times: first to load each AP with N1\*X/100 STAs that have associated with the strongest AP, then to load with N1\*Y/100 STA’s that have associated to the 2n d strongest AP, and a third time to load with N1\*Z/100 STA’s that have associated to the 3rd strongest AP. This procedure guarantees each AP has the same number of associated STAs that have identified it as the strongest, 2nd strongest, and 3rd strongest AP (e.g., if X = 50, Y = 25, Z =25, then each AP will have 20/10/10 associated STAs for which that AP is the 1st/2nd/3rd strongest respectively.). |
| Number of STA and STAs type | N STAs per AP.STA\_1 to STA\_{N1}: HEWSTA\_{N1+1} to STA\_{N} : non-HEWN = [30] or 40 N1 = [N] Non-HEW = 11b/g/n (TBD) in 2.4GHzNon-HEW = 11ac (TBD) in 5GHz |
| Channel Model | Fading modelTGac channel model D NLOS for all the links. |
| Pathloss modelPL(d) = 40.05 + 20\*log10(fc/2.4e9) + 20\*log10(min(d,10)) + (d>10) \* 35\*log10(d/10) d = max(3D-distance [m], 1)fc = frequency [GHz]ShadowingLog-normal with 5 dB standard deviation, iid across all links  |
|  |  |
|  |
| **PHY parameters** |
| MCS | [use MCS0 for all transmissions] or[use MCS7 for all transmissions] |
| GI | Short |
| AP #of TX antennas  | All APs with [2] or all APs with 4 |
| AP #of RX antennas  | All APs with [2] or all APs with 4 |
| STA #of TX antennas | All STAs with [1] or all STAs with 2 |
| STA #of RX antennas | All STAs with [1] or all STAs with 2 |
|  |
| **MAC parameters** |
| Access protocol parameters  | [EDCA with default EDCA Parameters set] |
| Primary channels  | All BSSs either all at 2.4GHz, or all at 5GHz2.4GHz:20MHz BSS with reuse 35GHz:80 MHz BSS [Reuse 3] or reuse 1Per each 80MHz use same primary channel across BSSs |
| Aggregation | [A-MPDU / max aggregation size / BA window size, No A-MSDU, with immediate BA] |
| Max # of retries  | 10 |
| RTS/CTS Threshold | [no RTS/CTS] |
| Association | X% of STAs are associated with the strongest AP, Y% of STAs are associated with the second-strongest AP, and Z% of STAs associate with the third-strongest AP. Z% of STAs are not associated. Association is based on RSSI, i.e., received power as determined by path loss, shadowing, and any penetration loss (but not multipath). Detailed distribution to be decided.[X=100,Y=0,Z=0] |
| Management | It is allowed to assume that all APs belong to the same management entity |

|  |
| --- |
| **Traffic model (per each BSS) - TBD** |
| **#** | **Source/Sink** | **Name** | **Traffic definition** | **Flow specific parameters**  | **AC** |
| **Downlink** |
| D1 | AP/STA1 to AP/STA10 | Highly compressed video (streaming) | T2 |  |  |
| D2 | AP/STA11 to AP/STA20 | Web browsing | T4 |  |  |
| D3 | AP/STA21 to AP/STA30 | Local file transfer | T3 |  |  |
| D4 | AP/STA31 toAP/STA 70 | Multicast Video Streaming | T8 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Uplink** |
| U1 | STA1/AP to STA10/AP | Highly compressed video (streaming) – UL TCP ACKs… |  |  |  |
| U2 | STA11/AP to STA20/AP | Web browsing: – UL TCP ACKs… |  |  |  |
| U3 | STA21/AP to STA30/AP | Local file transfer | T3 |  |  |
| U4 | STA/AP31 toSTA/AP 70 | - |  - |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **P2P** |
| P1 | NONE (see interfering scenarios) |  |  |  |  |
|  **Idle / Management** |
| M1 | AP | Beacon  | TX |  |  |
| M2 | STA36 to STA TBD | Probe Req. | TY |  |  |

## Interfering Scenario for Scenario 3

This scenario introduces and overlay of unmanaged P2P networks on top of Scenario 3.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Parameter** | **Value** |
|  |
| **Topology** |
| BSSBSSBSSBSSBSSBSSBSSFigure 8 - BSSs layout, with interfering P2P links |
| Topology Description | Starting from Scenario 3 topology, add K P2P pairs of STAs within each hexagon |
| APs location |  |
| AP Type | HEW |
| STAs location | STAs pairs randomly placed in the simulation areaPer each pair, STAs are placed 0.5m apart |
| Number of STA and STAs type | STA\_1 to STA\_{K1}: HEWSTA\_{K1+1} to STA\_{K} : non-HEWK = 4K1 = [4] |
| Channel Model | TBD |
| Penetration Losses | None  |
|  |
| **PHY parameters: Same as main scenario****Except for the following ones** |
| STA TX Power | 15dBm |
|  |
| **MAC parameters: same as main scenario****Except for the following ones** |
| Primary channels | P2P on same channel as the BSS corresponding to the same hexagon |

|  |
| --- |
| **Traffic model for interfering scenario**  |
| **#** | **Source/Sink** | **Name** | **Traffic definition** | **Flow specific parameters**  | **AC** |
| **Downlink** |
| 1 | STA\_1 to STA\_2 | Highly compressed video (streaming) | T2 |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | STA\_n to STA\_{n+1} | Local file transfer | T3 |  |  |
|  **Idle / Management** |
| M1 | STA\_{2n} | Beacon  | TX |  |  |

# 4 - Outdoor Large BSS Scenario

This scenario has the objective to capture the issues (and be representative of) real-world outdoor deployments with a high separation between APs (BSS edge with low SNR) with high density of STAs that are highlighted by the forth category of usage models described in []:

* In such environments, the infrastructure network (ESS) is planned. For simulation complexity simplifications, a hexagonal BSS layout is considered with a frequency reuse pattern. This frequency reuse pattern is defined and fixed, as part of the parameters that can’t be modified in this scenario. *(Note that BSS channel allocation can be evaluated in simulation scenarios where there are not planned networks (ESS), as in the residential one.)*
* In such environments, the “traffic condition” described in the usage model document mentions:
	+ interference between APs belonging to the same managed ESS due to high density deployment: *this OBSS interference is captured in this scenario even if it is low as the distance between APs is high*
	+ Interference with unmanaged networks (P2P links): *this OBSS interference is currently not captured in this scenario, but in the scenario 3.*
	+ Interference with unmanaged stand-alone APs: *this OBSS interference is currently not captured in this scenario, but in the hierarchical indoor/outdoor scenario 4a*
	+ Interference between APs belonging to different managed ESS due to the presence of multiple operators: *this OBSS interference is captured in this scenario, by an overlap of 3 operators, using relatively similar grid but channel selection offset*

Reuse factor, TBD

We should consider a hexagonal deployment using frequency reuse 1.

Such a frequency reuse 1 scenario is representative of:

 A single operator deployment in a region where available bandwidth is low and forces frequency reuse 1 deployments (the lower density of APs in large outdoor makes it more realistic)

 An overlap between 3 operators, each applying a frequency reuse 3: in case of close location of this is equivalent to a single operator deployment with reuse 1.

As the inter-site distance is high, the overlap between neighboring cells is close to minimum sensitivity (low SNR)

* *this enables to capture the issue of outdoor performance in low SNR conditions*
* *this enables to capture the issue of fairness between users spread on the full coverage of each AP*
* *this enables to capture OBSS interference touching STAs in low SNR conditions (far from their serving APs), while in dense hotspot scenario, the OBSS interference is touching STAs in high SNR conditions (close to their serving APs)*

It is important to define a proportion (TBD %) of legacy devices in the scenario that won’t implement the proposed solution under evaluationto ensure that the solution will keep its efficiency in real deployments (some solutions may be sensitive to the presence of legacy devices while other won’t).

These legacy devices shall simply keep the baseline default parameters and shall not implement the proposed solution under evaluation. Those devices can be:

* STAs connected to the planned network
* APs and STAs part of the interfering network

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Parameter** | **Value** |
|  |
| **Topology (A)** |
| Figure 9 – BSSs layout  |
| Environment description | Outdoor street deploymentBSS layout configurationDefine a 19 hexagonal grid as in Figure 9With ICD = 130m h=sqrt(R2-R2/4)/2 |
| APs location | Place APs on the center of each hexagonAntenna height 10 m. |
| AP Type | HEW |
| STAs location | .STA antenna height 1.5 m.STAs are placed randomly (uniform distribution) within the 19 cell area, at a minimum X-Y distance of 10 m from every AP. STA identifies AP from which it receives the highest power (based on distance-based pathloss and shadowing). STA associates to corresponding AP if the AP does not yet have N1 STAs associated to it; if AP already has N1 STAs associated to it then this STA is removed from the simulation. This process is repeated until each of the 19 APs has exactly N1 STAs associated to it.If Y >0 or Z> 0, where Y and Z are the percentage of STAs that associate with the 2nd /3rd strongest AP’s respectively (see below for specification of Y, Z, and X; percentage of STAs that associate with strongest AP), then the above procedure should be performed three times: first to load each AP with N1\*X/100 STAs that have associated with the strongest AP, then to load with N1\*Y/100 STA’s that have associated to the 2n d strongest AP, and a third time to load with N1\*Z/100 STA’s that have associated to the 3rd strongest AP. This procedure guarantees each AP has the same number of associated STAs that have identified it as the strongest, 2nd strongest, and 3rd strongest AP (e.g., if X = 50, Y = 25, Z =25, then each AP will have 20/10/10 associated STAs for which that AP is the 1st/2nd/3rd strongest respectively.). |
| Number of STA and STAs type | N STAs per AP. STA\_1 to STA\_{N1}: HEWSTA\_{N1+1} to STA\_{N} : non-HEW(N= 50 - 100 TBD, N1 = TBD) Non-HEW = 11b/g/n (TBD) in 2.4GHzNon-HEW = 11ac (TBD) in 5GHzN=50[N1=50] |
| Channel Model | [UMi] or UMa The following equations from ITU-UMi model [4] are to be used for computing the path loss for each drop in an outdoor scenarioLOS Links $$PL\_{ITU-LOS}(d(m) < d\_{BP})=22.0log\_{10}d +28+20log\_{10}f\_{c}(GHz)$$$$PL\_{ITU-LOS}\left(d\left(m\right)>d\_{BP}\right)=40log\_{10}(d>d\_{BP})+7.8 -18log\_{10}\left(h\_{BS}^{'}\right)-18log\_{10}\left(h\_{MS}^{'}\right)+2log\_{10}f\_{c}(GHz)$$ where the effective antenna height parameters are given by $h\_{BS}^{'}=h\_{BS}-1.0$ and $h\_{MS}^{'}=h\_{MS}-1.0$ and $d\_{BP}=\frac{4h\_{BS}^{'}h\_{MS}^{'}f\left(Hz\right)}{c(=3×10^{8})}$NLOS Links$$PL\_{ITU-NLOS}\left(d(m)\right)=36.7log\_{10}(d)+22.7+26.0log\_{10}f\_{c}(GHz)$$Modify height parameters as follows depending on the link* + $h\_{MS}$ = 1.5m for the STA; $h\_{BS}$ = 10m for AP in the AP🡨🡪 STA links
	+ $h\_{MS}=h\_{BS}$ = 1.5m for STA🡨🡪 STA links
	+ $h\_{MS}=h\_{BS}=10$m for AP 🡨🡪 AP links

In the above equations, the variable d is defined as:d = max(3D-distance [m], 1)TBD Note: In case of UMi channel model, M.2135-1 defines that 50% of user are indoor users, but since indoor users can be served by indoor AP, we can change the percentage of users are indoor; need to decide which percentage  |
| Penetration Losses | None |
|  |
| **PHY parameters** |
| MCS | [use MCS0 for all transmissions] or[use MCS7 for all transmissions] |
| GI | Long |
| AP #of TX antennas  | All APs with [2] or all APs with 4 |
| AP #of RX antennas  | All APs with [2] or all APs with 4 |
| STA #of TX antennas | All STAs with [1] or all STAs with 2 |
| STA #of RX antennas | All STAs with [1] or all STAs with 2 |
|  |
| **MAC parameters** |
| Access protocol parameters  | [EDCA with default EDCA Parameters set] |
| Center frequency, BW and primary channels  | Frequency reuse 1 is used. 5GHzall BSSs are using the same 80MHz channel[Same Primary channel]2.4GHzAll BSSs are 20MHz BSS on same channel |
| Aggregation  | [A-MPDU / max aggregation size / BA window size, No A-MSDU, with immediate BA] |
| Max # of retries  | 10 |
| RTS/CTS Threshold | [no RTS/CTS] |
| Association | X% of STAs are associated with the strongest AP, Y% of STAs are associated with the second-strongest AP, and Z% of STAs are associated with the third-strongest AP. Z% of STAs are not associated. Detailed distribution to be decided.[X=100, Y=0,Z=0] |
| Management | It is allowed to assume that all APs belong to the same management entity |

|  |
| --- |
| **Traffic model (Per each BSS) - TBD** |
| **#** | **Source/Sink** | **Name** | **Traffic definition** | **Flow specific parameters**  | **AC** |
| **Downlink** |
| D1 | AP/STA1 to AP/STA10 | Highly compressed video (streaming) | T2 |  |  |
| D2 | AP/STA11 to AP/STA20 | Web browsing | T4 |  |  |
| D3 | AP/STA21 to AP/STA25 | Local file transfer | T3 |  |  |
| … | … |  |  |  |  |
| DN | AP/STAN |  |  |  |  |
| **Uplink** |
| U1 | AP/STA1 to AP/STA10 | Highly compressed video (streaming) – UL TCP ACKs… |  |  |  |
| U2 | AP/STA11 to AP/STA20 | Web browsing: – UL TCP ACKs… |  |  |  |
| U3 | STA26/AP to STA30/AP | Local file transfer | T3 |  |  |
| … | … |  |  |  |  |
| UN | STAN/AP |  |  |  |  |
| **P2P** |
| P1 | STA1/AP |  |  |  |  |
| P2 | STA2/AP |  |  |  |  |
| P3 | STA3/AP |  |  |  |  |
| … | … |  |  |  |  |
| PN | STAN/AP |  |  |  |  |
|  **Idle Management** |
| M1 | AP1 | Beacon  | TX |  |  |
| M2 | STA2 | Probe Req. | TY |  |  |
| M3 | STA3 |  |  |  |  |
| … | … |  |  |  |  |
| MN | STAN |  |  |  |  |

# 4a- Outdoor Large BSS + Residential Scenario

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Parameter** | **Value** |
|  |
| **Topology (A)** |
| Figure 10 –Layout of large BSSs with residential buildings  |
| Environment description | This scenario consists of an overlay of the following* Scenario 4, with the exception that only 7 cells are included out of the 19
* A Residential building per each BSS, which center is placed in a random uniform position within a radius of ICD/2 around the AP; the Residential building topology is as defined in Scenario 1, with the exception that the number of floors is set to 1.
 |
| APs location | See Scenario 1 and 4. |
| AP Type | See Scenario 1 and 4. |
| STAs location | See Scenario 1 and 4. |
| Number of STA and STAs type | See Scenario 1 and 4. |
| Channel Model | See Scenario 1 and 4{indoor/outdoor??} |
| Penetration Losses | See Scenario 1 and 4. |
|  |
| **PHY parameters** |
| Same parameters as defined for the STAs in Scenario 1 and Scenario 4.  |
|  |
| **MAC parameters** |
| All parameters except the ones listed in this table are same as in Scenario 1 and Scenario 4 |
| Association | STAs defined by Scenario 1, associate as defined by Scenario 1STAs defined by Scenario 4: 80% associate as defined by Scenario 420% associate with strongest AP from a Residential building |
| Management | It is allowed to assume that all outdoor APs belong to the same management entity. Each indoor AP belongs to a different management entity |

|  |
| --- |
| **Traffic model (Per each BSS) - TBD** |
| **#** | **Source/Sink** | **Name** | **Traffic definition** | **Flow specific parameters**  | **AC** |
| **Downlink** |
| Traffic model for STAs defined by Scenario 1, is defined by Scenario 1 |
| Traffic model for STAs defined by Scenario 2, is defined by Scenario 2 |

# Scenarios for calibration of MAC simulator

The applicability of each test in this section is TBD.

## Common parameters

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **PHY Parameter** | **SUGGESTED VALUES** |
| GI:  | [long] |
| Data Preamble:  | [11ac] |
| BW | 20 Mhz  |

The following parameters are common to the MAC tests unless otherwise stated.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Parameter** | **SUGGESTED VALUES** |
| Aggregation | A-MPDU max aggregation size =64 No A-MSDUimmediate BA(aggregation is assumed to be ON) |
|  |  |
| Max number of retries | 10 |
| Rate adaptation | Fixed MCS |
| EDCA parameters | Default params for best effort (CWmin=15), and voice (CWmin=7) |

The follwing parameters are common to the traffic model unless otherwise stated.

Transpot protocol- UDP

Traffic model: full buffer

## Test 1a: MAC overhead w/out RTS/CTS

Goal:

designed to verify whether the simulator can correctly handle the basic frame exchange procedure, including AIFS+backoff procedure and A-MPDU+SIFS+BA sequence. Also to make sure the overheads are computed correctly.

Assumptions:

Assumption is that PER is 0

Parameters:

 MSDU length:[0:500:2000Bytes]

 2 MPDU limit

 RTS/CTS off

 MCS = [0,8] ( to clarify, run a sweep over MSDU length once for MCS 0, and once for MCS 8.



Output metric:

(1) MAC layer Throughput

(2) Time trace of transmitting/Receiving event

CP1 ( check point 1) start of A-MPDU

CP2 end of A-MPDU

CP3 start of ACK

CP4 end of ACK

CP5 start of A-MPDU

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Test Items | Check points | Standard definition | Matching? |
| A-MPDU duration | Tcp2-Tcp1=  | ceil((FrameLength\*8)/rate/OFDMsymbolduration) \* OFDMsymbolduration + PHY Header  |  |
| SIFS  | Tcp3-Tcp2=16 us  | 16 us  |  |
| ACK duration  | Tcp4-Tcp3=  | ceil((ACKFrameLength\*8)/rate/OFDMsymbolduration) \* OFDMsymbolduration + PHY Header  |  |
| Defer & backoff duration  | Tcp5-Tcp4=  | DIFS(34 us)+backoff (CWmin)=34us+n\*9us  |  |

Tcp is the timestamp related with the corresponding simulation event on the check point (CP)

The following is an example calcultation of TPUT when the MSDU size is 1508, and MCS =0

* Number of MPDUs in AMPDU= 2
* Bytes per MPDU:
	+ Bytes from application laye:1472
	+ MAC header 30 bytes
	+ FC=2;Duration=2;Addr1=6;Addr2=6;Addr3=6;SeqContrl=2;QoSCntrl=2; FCS=4
		- Note: Assuming HT control field is not used
	+ MPDU delimiter 4 bytes
	+ 2 bytes padding
* Bytes per AMPDU
	+ Tail bits 1 bytes
	+ Service Field 2 Bytes
* Total Bytes per AMPDU: 3091
* Duration of PPDU w/out preamble= 3091/6.5e6=3.804ms
* Duration of PPDU w/ preamble= 3.844ms
* Duration of ACK 68 us
* Expected time waiting for the Medium = 100.5 us (CWmin =15)
* Expected TPUT= 1472\*8\*2/(3.844ms+68us+16us+100.5us)
* (Note this is application layer tput)

## Test 1b: MAC overhead w RTS/CTS

Goal:

This test case is designed to further verify whether the simulator can correctly handle the frame exchange procedure with RTS/CTS protection based on test1a. It also tests whether the correct overhead computation with RTS /CTS.

Assumptions:

Assumption is that PER is 0

Parameters:

 MSDU length:[0:500:2000Bytes]

2 MPDU limit

 RTS/CTS ON

 MCS = [0,8] ( to clarify, run a sweep over MSDU length once for MCS 0, and once for MCS 8.

Output metric:

1. MAC layer Throughput
2. Time trace of transmitting/Receiving event



CP1 ( check point 1) : start of RTS

CP2 : end of RTS

CP3: start of CTS

CP4: end of CTS

CP5: start of A-MPDU

CP6: end of A-MPDU

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Test Items | Check points | Standard definition | Matching? |
| RTS duration  | Tcp2-Tcp1=  | ceil((RTSFrameLength\*8)/rate/OFDMsymbolduration) \* OFDMsymbolduration + PHY Header  |  |
| CTS duration  | Tcp4-Tcp3=  | ceil((CTSFrameLength\*8)/rate/OFDMsymbolduration) \* OFDMsymbolduration + PHY Header  |  |
| Frame duration  | Tcp6-Tcp5=  | ceil((FrameLength\*8)/rate/OFDMsymbolduration) \* OFDMsymbolduration + PHY Header  |  |

The following is an example TPUT calculation when MSDU size is 1508, and MCS =0

* Number of MPDUs in AMPDU= 2
* Bytes per MPDU:
	+ Bytes from application layer:1472
	+ L4 header: 36 bytes
	+ MAC header 30 bytes
	+ FC=2;Duration=2;Addr1=6;Addr2=6;Addr3=6;SeqContrl=2;QoSCntrl=2; FCS=4
	+ MPDU delimiter 4 bytes
	+ 2 bytes padding
* Bytes per AMPDU
	+ Tail bits < 1 bytes
	+ Service Field 2 Bytes
* Total Bytes per AMPDU: 3091
* Duration of PPDU w/out preamble= 3091/6.5e6=3.804ms
* Duration of PPDU w/ preamble= 3.844ms
* Duration of ACK 68 us
* Duration of RTS 52 us
* Duration of CTS 44 us
* SIFS= 16us
* Expected time waiting for the Medium = 100.5 us (CWmin =15)
* Expected TPUT= 1472\*8\*2/(3.844ms+68us+16us+100.5us + 52us+44us+2\*16us) (Note this is application layer TPUT)

## Test 2a: Deferral Test 1

(AP1 and STA2 are essentially co-located)

Goal:

This test case is designed to verify whether the simulator can correctly handle deferral procedure after collision happens without hidden nodes. It also checks whether deferral because of energy levels is happening correctly.

Assumptions:

All devices are within energy detect range of each other.

When AP1 and AP2 start to transmit on the same slot, both packets are lost (PER= 100%). Otherwise packets get through 100%. PER=0 %

Note:

AP1 and AP2 should defer to each other.

The only packet loss is due to collisions when backoffs end at same time

Parameters:

MSDU length:[0:500:2000Bytes]

2 MPDU limit

 RTS/CTS [ OFF, ON]

 MCS = [0]

Outputs:

MAC tput.

## Test 2b: Deferral Test 2

Goal:

This test case is designed to verify whether the simulator can correctly handle deferral procedure after collision happens with the existing of hidden nodes.

Assumptions:

AP1 and AP2 can not hear each other. ( ever)

* + Interference Assumptions:
		- If any part of an MPDU sees interference, that MPDU should fail
		- If any part of a data preamble sees interference, all MPDUs should fail
		- If an MPDU, or data premable sees no interference, it should pass
		- If an ACK overlaps with the transmission of an OBSS AP, the PER on the ACK should be 0. (i.e. the ACK should pass)
	+ Backoff
		- If no ACK is received, the transmitter should double it’s CW.
		- If an ACK is received, the transmitter should reset its CW
		- If no MPDUs are decoded, no ACK should be sent.
		- After 10 missing ACKS, the CW should be reset.
	+ PER definition
		- PER= 1-Acked data MPDUs/Total data MPDUs sent
			* ( TPUT can be computed from number of successfully ACKed MPDUs and the total time)

 ACKed data MPDUs are measured by the transmitters

Parameters:

MSDU length:[1500Bytes]

 RTS/CTS [ OFF]

 MCS = [0,8]

Outputs:

MAC tput.

## Test 3: NAV deferral

(AP1 and STA2 are essentially co-located)

Same as test 2b, but with RTS/CTS on.

Goal: This test is designed to test whether NAV deferral is happening properly.

## Test 4: Deferral Test for 20 and 40MHz BSSs

(AP1 and STA2 are essentially co-located)

Assumptions:

All devices are within energy detect range of each other.

When AP1 and AP2 start to transmit on the same slot, both packets are lost (PER= 100%). Otherwise packets get through 100%. PER=0 %

Note:

AP1 and AP2 should defer to each other.

The only packet loss is due to collisions when backoffs end at same time

Parameters:

MSDU length:[0:500:2000Bytes]

 RTS/CTS [ OFF, ON]

 MCS = [0]

Procedure:

AP1 sends traffic to STA1 on a 40MHz channel with a full buffer continuously. RTS/CTS is disabled. All other setting is the same as test case 2a.

AP2 sends traffic to STA2 on a 20MHz channel staing at t1, which is located at the secondary channel of BSS1.

The traffic is based on the Weibull distribution. 2Mbps traffic, lamda = 695, k=0.8099

If any packet is transmitted at the overlapping time with another one and on the overlapping channel, both transmissions are considered failure(PER = 1).

Measure the throughput of both BSSs. Also measure the percentage of time the 40MHz BSS running in 40 and 20 MHz mode.

Outputs:

MAC tput.

The percentage of time the 40MHz BSS running in 40 and 20 MHz mode.

## Test 5: Power Save Mechanism Test

Goal:

This test case is intended to verify the baseline power save mechanism implemented in MAC system simulator

Assumptions:

* PER = 0

Power save test parameters

* MSDU length: [ 120 bytes with CWmin=7 (assuming 24 kbps codec, once every 40 ms) for both AP and STA, 1500 bytes with CWmin=15 downlink every 200 ms ]
* RTS/CTS [ OFF ]
* MCS = [ 0 ]
* Power model = [ PSM, PSP, U-APSD ]
* DTIM = [ 3 ]
* Max SP Length = [ 4 ]
* PSM timeout = [ 100 ] ms

Output:

* MAC throughput
* Per STA energy per TX bit
* Per STA energy per RX bit
* Pie chart (breakdown) of time spent in each power state during the course of the simulation

# Annex 1 - Reference traffic profiles per scenario

**Reference traffic profile for Scenario 1**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Traffic Model #**  | **Traffic model name**  | **Description**  | **Application traffic** **(Forward / Backward)**  |  **Application Load (Mbps)** **(Forward / Backward)**  | **A-MPDU Size (B)** **(Forward / Backward)**  | **Baseline Power Save Mechansim** |
| T1  | Local file transfer  | FTP/TCP transfer of large file within local network  | FTP file transfer / FTP TCP ACK  | Full buffer / 0.1  | Max A-MPDU / 64  |  |
| T2 | Lightly compressed video |  |  |  |  |  |
| T3 | Internet streaming video/audio |  |  |  |  |  |
| T4 | 4k video streaming |  |  |  |  |  |
| T5 | Online game server |  |  |  |  |  |
| T6 | Management: Beacon  |  |  |  |  |  |
| T7 | Management: Probe requests |  |  |  |  |  |
| T8 | Gaming |  |  |  |  |  |
| T9 | VoIP |  |  |  |  |  |

**Reference traffic profile for Scenario 2**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Traffic Model #**  | **Traffic model name**  | **Description**  | **Application traffic** **(Forward / Backward)**  |  **Application Load (Mbps)** **(Forward / Backward)**  | **A-MPDU Size (B)** **(Forward / Backward)**  | **Baseline Power Save Mechanism** |
| T1  | Local file transfer  | FTP/TCP transfer of large file within local network  | FTP file transfer / FTP TCP ACK  | Full buffer / 0.1  | Max A-MPDU / 64  |  |
| T2 | Lightly compressed video |  |  |  |  |  |
| T3 | Internet streaming video/audio |  |  |  |  |  |
| T4 | 4k video streaming |  |  |  |  |  |
| T5 | Online game server |  |  |  |  |  |
| T6 | Management: Beacon  |  |  |  |  |  |
| T7 | Management: Probe requests |  |  |  |  |  |
| T8 | Virtual desktop infrastructure |  |  |  |  |  |
| T9 | VoIP |  |  |  |  |  |

**Reference traffic profile for Scenario 3**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Traffic Model #**  | **Traffic model name**  | **Description**  | **Application traffic** **(Forward / Backward)**  |  **Application Load (Mbps)** **(Forward / Backward)**  | **A-MPDU Size (B)** **(Forward / Backward)**  | **Baseline Power Save Mechanism** |
| T1  | Local file transfer  | FTP/TCP transfer of large file within local network  | FTP file transfer / FTP TCP ACK  | Full buffer / 0.1  | Max A-MPDU / 64  |  |
| T2 | Lightly compressed video |  |  |  |  |  |
| T3 | Internet streaming video/audio |  |  |  |  |  |
| T4 | 4k video streaming |  |  |  |  |  |
| T5 | Online game server |  |  |  |  |  |
| T6 | Management: Beacon  |  |  |  |  |  |
| T7 | Management: Probe requests |  |  |  |  |  |
| T8 | Multicast Video Streaming | UDP/IP transfer of compressed video streaming | UDP packet transfer/Nothing | 3-6Mbps/Nothing |  |  |
| T8 | Gaming |  |  |  |  |  |
| T9 | VoIP |  |  |  |  |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

**Reference traffic profile for Scenario 4**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Traffic Model #**  | **Traffic model name**  | **Description**  | **Application traffic** **(Forward / Backward)**  |  **Application Load (Mbps)** **(Forward / Backward)**  | **A-MPDU Size (B)** **(Forward / Backward)**  | **Baseline Power Save Mechanism** |
| T1  | Local file transfer  | FTP/TCP transfer of large file within local network  | FTP file transfer / FTP TCP ACK  | Full buffer / 0.1  | Max A-MPDU / 64  |  |
| T2 | Lightly compressed video |  |  |  |  |  |
| T3 | Internet streaming video/audio |  |  |  |  |  |
| T4 | 4k video streaming |  |  |  |  |  |
| T5 | Online game server |  |  |  |  |  |
| T6 | Management: Beacon  |  |  |  |  |  |
| T7 | Management: Probe requests |  |  |  |  |  |
| T8 | VoIP |  |  |  |  |  |

**References for traffic models**

1. **11-13/486, “HEW video traffic modeling” Guoqing Li et al, (Intel) [1] 11-13-1162-01-hew-vide-categories-and-characteristics**
2. **[2] 11-13-1059-01-hew-video-performance-requirements-and-simulation-parameters**
3. **[3]11-09-0296-16-00ad-evaluation-methodology.doc**
4. **[4] Rongduo Liu et al., “An Emperical Traffic Model of M2M Mobile Streaming Services ”, International conference C on Multimedia information networking and security, 2012**
5. **[5] JO. Rose, “ Statistical properties of MPEG video traffic and their impact on traffic modeling in ATM systems ”, Tech report, Institute of CS in University of Wurzburg**
6. **[6] Savery Tanwir., “A survey of VBR traffic models”, IEEE communication surveys and tutorials, Jan 2013**
7. **[7] Aggelos Lazaris et al., “A new model for video traffic originating from multiplexed MPEG-4 videoconferencing streams”, International journal on performance evaluation, 2007**
8. **[8] A. Golaup et al., “Modeling of MPEG4 traffic at GOP level using autoregressive process”, IEEE VTC, 2002**
9. **[9] K. Park et al., “Self-Similar network traffic and performance evaluation”, John Wiley&Son, 2000**
10. **[10] M Dai et al., “A unified traffic model for MPEG-4 and H.264 video traces”, IEEE Trans. on multimedia, issue 5 2009.**
11. **[11] L Rezo-Domninggues et al., “Jitter in IP network: A cauchy approach”, IEEE Comm. Letter, Feb 2010**
12. **[12] Hongli Zhang et al., “Modeling Internet link delay based on measurement”, International conference on electronic computer technology, 2009.**

# Annex 3 - Templates

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Parameter** | **Value** |
|  |
| **Topology** |
| Figures |
| Environment description  |  |
| APs location |  |
| AP Type |  |
| STAs location |  |
| Number of STA and STAs type |  |
| Channel Model |  |
| Penetration Losses |  |
|  |
| **PHY parameters** |
| Center frequency and BW |  |
| MCS |  |
| GI |  |
| Data Preamble:  |  |
| STA TX power  |  |
| AP TX Power  |  |
| AP #of TX antennas  |  |
| AP #of RX antennas  |  |
| STA #of TX antennas |  |
| STA #of RX antennas |  |
|  |
| **MAC parameters** |
| Access protocol parameters |  |
| Primary channels  |  |
| Aggregation |  |
| Max # of retries  |  |
| RTS/CTS Threshold |  |
| Association |  |

**Traffic model**

|  |
| --- |
| **Traffic model (Per each apartment) - TBD** |
| **#** | **Source/Sink** | **Name** | **Traffic definition** | **Flow specific parameters**  | **AC** |
| **Downlink** |
| D1 | AP/STA1 | 4k Video | T1 |  | VI |
| D2 | AP/STA2 | Local file transfer | T3 |  | BE |
| D3 | AP/STA3 | … |  |  |  |
| … | … |  |  |  |  |
| DN | AP/STAN |  |  |  |  |
| **Uplink** |
| U1 | STA1/AP |  |  |  |  |
| U2 | STA2/AP |  |  |  |  |
| U3 | STA3/AP |  |  |  |  |
| … | … |  |  |  |  |
| UN | STAN/AP |  |  |  |  |
| **P2P** |
| P1 | STA1/AP |  |  |  |  |
| P2 | STA2/AP |  |  |  |  |
| P3 | STA3/AP |  |  |  |  |
| … | … |  |  |  |  |
| PN | STAN/AP |  |  |  |  |
|  **Idle Management** |
| M1 | AP1 | Beacon  | TX |  |  |
| M2 | STA2 | Probe Req. | TY |  |  |
| M3 | STA3 |  |  |  |  |
| … | … |  |  |  |  |
| MN | STAN |  |  |  |  |
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