IEEE P802.11  
Wireless LANs

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Cleanup of more comment resolutions for LB201 | | | | |
| Date: 2014-09-16 | | | | |
| Author(s): | | | | |
| Name | Affiliation | Address | Phone | email |
| Ping FANG | Huawei Technologies | Vision Business Park, Nanshan, Shenzhen, China | 0086 755 36835832 | Ping.fang@huawei.com |

Abstract

When apply the change from database, editors found more editorial comment resolutions need to be cleaned up.

This submission suggest actions to sovle the issues with 4374, 4337, 4302, 4383, 4269, 4261, 4263, 4183, 4187, 5201, 4938, 4940, 4946, 4603, 4696, 5138, 4075, 4022, and 4275.

*Note:*

*Action line proposes the cleanup solution to the corresponding CID resolution.*

*CIDs in red are considered to be non editorial.*

CID **4374**

Comment is:

There are some grammar errors in sentence "In Beacon and Probe Response and FD frames, a FILS indication element is included by an AP with dot11FILSActivated value of true. FILS indication element indicates properties of the FILS authentication protocol used and also indicates if concurrent IP address assignment is performed by the AP. The IP address type is also indicated." For example, the first "and" should be "," and "a FILS indication element" should be "a FILS Indication element".

Issues: Resolution says “revised” but no change is provided.

Action: Change the resolution for CID 4374 to:

Revised ,

change the paragraph to “When dot11FILSActivated equals true, an AP may include a FILS Indication element in Beacon, Probe Response and FD frames. FILS Indication element indicates properties of the FILS authentication protocol used, whether AP performs IP address assignment, and the IP address type.”

CID **4337**

CID : AES-CCM-128 and AES-CCM-256 are not defined

Issues: Resolution says “revised” but no change is provided.

Action: Change the resolution for 4337 to

Revised, add to the end of “AES-CCM-128 is used if the AKM is 00:0F-AC-<ANA-1> and AES-CCM-256 is used if the AKM is 00-0F-AC-<ANA-2>.” a new sentence “ AES-CCM-X is the CCM with X-bit AES key”.

CID **4302**

CID: Move sentence "The STA shall respond to ..." to before line 29 of page 78 as it applies to all STAs.

Issues: Resolution says “revised” but no change is provided.

Action: Change the resolution for 4302 to

Rejected, the whole paragraph has been rewritten by 14/0765r7.

CID **4383**

CID: There are grammar errors in sentence "If the STA chooses to initiate FILS shared key authentication, the STA first chooses a random 16 octet nonce. It then determines whether to attempt PMKSA caching. If so, it generates a list of one or more PMKSA identifiers, otherwise it constructs an EAP-Initiate/Re-auth packet as specified in IETF RFC6696, with the following additional clarification:" For example, "the STA" shall be "a STA". please correct.

Issues: Resolution says “revised” but no change is provided.

Action: Change the resolution for 4383 to

Revised, accept in general, change the text to “If a STA chooses to initiate FILS shared key authentication, it first chooses a random 16 octet nonce and then determines whether to attempt PMKSA caching. If PMKSA caching is attempted, the STA shall generate a list of PMKSA identifiers. Otherwise, it constructs an EAP-Initiate/Re-auth packet as specified in IETF RFC6696, with the following additional clarification:”

CID **4269**

CID: Incorrect reference to TLV

Issues: Resolution says “revised” but no change is provided.

Action: Change the resolution for 4269 to

Revised, change “IP Address Request TLV” to “FILS IP Address Assignment element”.

CID **4261**

CID: Incorrect section reference

Issues: Resolution says “revised” but no change is provided.

Action: Change the resolution for 4261to

Revised, change “8.5.8.34” to “8.6.8.34 (FILS Discovery frame format)”.

CID **4263**

CID: Incorrect section reference

Issues: Resolution says “revised” but no change is provided.

Action: Change the resolution for 4263 to

Revised, change “Table 8-43 (Category values)” to “Table 8-54(Category values)”.

CID **4183**

CID: This text needs proofreading: "including FILS", "request IP address", "by sending FILS IP", "Assignementelement",

Issues: Resolution says “revised” but no change is provided.

Action: Change the resolution for 4183 to

Accepted

CID **4187**

CID: "when using" is vague about what is the subject doing the using.

Issues: Resolution says “revised” but no change is provided.

Action: Change the resolution for 4187 to

Accepted

CID **5201**

CID: Incorrect reference.

Issues: Resolution says “revised” but no change is provided.

Action: Change the resolution for 5201 to

Revised, “Change " Figure 8-399(Access Network Options field format)." to " Figure 8-435 (Access Network Options field format)”

CID **4938**

CID: Line 35 - the purposes of 4-way handshake are as follows... - that would be better as the purpose of key confirmation are as follows... where FILS authentication/association and 4-way handshakes both confirm the keys. It might also be worth calling out that the confirmation validates the identitity of peer. This is done by validating the PMK when a TTP is present, but via a digital signature - used in FILS non-TTP case.

Issues: Resolution says “revised” but no change is provided.

Action: Change the resolution for 4938 to

Rejected, this is not the text from 11ai.

CID **4940**

CID: 11ac/11mcD2.6 adds KEK bits column to table 11-8. This should be updated to include FILS additions.

Issues: Resolution says “revised” but no change is provided.

Action: Change the resolution for 4940 to

Revised, update the Table 11-8—Integrity and key-wrap algorithms as in Revmc 3.0, and fill the two new columns “KCK bits” and “KEK bits” with 128 and 256 for row 1, 256 and 384 for row 2.

CID **4946**

CID: line 9 - ...with whom it shares a cached PMKSA. Perhaps this should state that this is a PMKSA established via FILS (i.e. AKM in PKMSA is a FILS AKM). Also on line 19, page 107.

Issues: Resolution says “revised” but no change is provided.

Action: Change the resolution for 4946 to

Rejected, the task group doesn’t wish to limit PMKSA caching functionality in this manner.

CID **4603**

CID: Very long sentence.

Issues: Resolution says “revised” but no change is provided.

Action: Change the resolution for 4603 to

Rejected, the commenter doesn’t provide specific change.

CID **4696**

CID: "If dot11FILSActivated equal".

Issues: Resolution says “revised” but no change is provided.

Action: Change the resolution for 4696 to

Revised, accept in general, change "If dot11FILSActivated equal" to "If dot11FILSActivated is equal"

CID **5138**

CID: Why the sentence related to FILS Action frame is put in "higher layer setup during association procedure"? Suggest to move to somewhere else.

Issues: Resolution says “revised” but no change is provided.

Action: Change the resolution for 5138 to

Rejected, the commenter doesn’t provide specific change.

CID **4075**

CID: Overview and Step 1 and Step 2 are gratuitous and unhelpful. Make subclauses if necessary.

Issues: Resolution says “revised” but no change is provided.

Discussion: the level of subclause is 5, and no room to add new subclauses. We can make the title starting with “step…” bold

Action: Change the resolution for 4075 to

Revised, the level of subclause is 5, and no room to add new subclauses.

CID **4022**

CID: "FD frames" -- no no no no no! We have 1) FD frame; 2) FD Discovery frame; 3) FILS Discovery frame.

Refering to the same structure using different terminology will inevitably result in torsional dislocation of foundation garments.

Action: Change the resolution for 4022 to

Revised, instruct editor to go through the draft and replace “FD frame” and “FD Discoveryframe” with “FILS Discovery frame”.

CID **4275**

CID: Incorrect reference to section

Action: Change the resolution for 4275 to

Rejected, the reference is correct. D2.X is based on Revmc D3.0.

**References:**

14/0565r18 (D2.0 comments spreadsheet post-San Diego)