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Abstract

As pointed out by Mark Rison, some editorial comment resolutions don’t provide enough details on what exactly change has been accepted and will be applied for the new version. This document provides cleanup to this kind of resolutions.

*Note:*

*Action line proposes the cleanup solution to the corresponding CID resolution.*

CID **4696**

CID : "If dot11FILSActivated equal" be changes to "If dot11FILSActivated is equal" in 10.1.4.3.4.

Issues: The resolution to the CID is “Revised” but no details were provided.

Discussion: The subclause has been changed to 10.1.4.3.6 by contribution on scanning.

Action: Change the resolution from “Revised” to “Accepted”.

CID **4743**

CID : "What does "limited by the MLME-parameters" mean? What's an "MLME-parameter" anyway?"

Issues: The resolution to the CID is “Revised” but no details were provided.

Action: Change the resolution from “Revised” to

Revised, change the sentence from “All ESSs are scanned unless the scanned ESSs are limited by the MLME-parameters” to “A STA executes scanning procedures according to the parameters given in the MLME-SCAN.request primitive”.

CID **4770**

CID: "There is one exception to the rule. The STA" -- it's not a rule, since it's a "should"

Issues: The resolution to the CID is “Revised” but no details were provided.

Action: Change the resolution from “Revised” to

Revised, change the sentence from “There is one exception to the rule. The STA shall respond to Probe Request frame which includes the Element ID of the RCPI element in the Requested Element Ids of the Request element.” to “If dot11RadioMeasurementActivated is true and if the Request element of the Probe Request includes the RCPI element ID, the STA shall include in the Probe Response an RCPI element containing the measured RCPI value of the received Probe Request frame. If no measurement result is available, the RCPI value shall be set to indicate that a measurement is not available.”.

CID **4835**

CID: I just can't make any sense of "set the FILS Time and FUsed to explicitly request for an IP address FILSC Information fields to limit the number of STAs that are allowed to attempt link setup concurrently"

Issues: The resolution to the CID is “Revised” but no details were provided.

Action: Change the resolution from “Revised” to

Revised, change the sentence from “and set the FILS Time and FUsed to explicitly request for an IP address FILSC Information fields to limit the number of STAs that are allowed to attempt link setup concurrently” to “and set the FILS Time and FILSC Information fields to limit the number of STAs that are allowed to attempt link setup concurrently”.

CID **4772**

CID: "probe response or a beacon frame" be changed to "Probe Response or Beacon frame"

Issues: The resolution to the CID is “Revised” but no details were provided.

Action: Change the resolution from “Revised” to “Accepted”.

CID **4829**

CID: How can you set L and CRC-32 to lowercase?

Issues: The resolution to the CID is “ACCEPTED” but no specific change was proposed.

Action: Change the resolution from “ACCEPTED” to

Revised, change the sentence from “(all set to lower case)” to “(all ASCII characters are set to lower case)”.

CID **4887**

CID: "The Category field indicates the public category, as specified in Table 8-43 (Category values) in 8.4.1.11 (Action field).set to the value for public action defined in Table 8-43 (Category values)." is completely garbled

Issues: The resolution to the CID is “ACCEPTED” but no specific change was proposed.

Action: Change the resolution from “ACCEPTED” to

Revised, change the sentence from “The Category field indicates the public category, as specified in Table 8-43 (Category values) in 8.4.1.11 (Action field).set to the value for public action defined in Table 8-43 (Category values).” to “The Category field indicates the public category specified in Table 8-43 (Category values).”.

CID **4719**

CID: "as shown in Figure 10-3d and Figure 10-3d" -- it's a great Figure but I still don't think it should be mentioned twice

Issues: The resolution to the CID is “ACCEPTED” but multiple proposed changes were proposed.

Action: Change the resolution from “ACCEPTED” to

Revised, change the sentence from “as shown in Figure 10-3d (Active scanning when a Probe Request frame is addressed to Broadcast address) and Figure 10-3d (Active scanning when a Probe Request frame is addressed to Broadcast address).” to “as shown in Figure 10-3d (Active scanning when a non-DMG STA transmits Probe Request toindividual address).”.

CID **4734**

CID: It doesn't define them, it merely describes them.

Issues: The resolution to the CID is “ACCEPTED” but multiple proposed changes were proposed.

Action: Change the resolution from “ACCEPTED” to

Revised, change “defines” to “describes”.

CID **4710**

CID: Successful association enables a STA to exchange Class 3 frames in all cases (and what's an "association handshake" anyway?).

Issues: The resolution to the CID is REVISED (EDITOR: 2014-07-16 18:43:04Z)In D2.1. Merged the two paragraphs. But this is not clear enough for the detailed change.

Action: Change the resolution from “REVISED (EDITOR: 2014-07-16 18:43:04Z)In D2.1. Merged the two paragraphs.” to

Revised, change “Successful non-FILS association enables a STA to exchange Class 3 frames. Successful association sets the STA's state to State 3 or State 4.

Successful FILS association handshake enables a STA to exchange Class 3 frames. Successful association

sets the FILS STA's state to State 4.” to “Successful association enables a STA to exchange Class 3 frames. Successful association sets the non-FILS STA's state to State 3 or State 4 and for FILS STAs to State 4 .”
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