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Abstract

This submission proposes resolutions for comments in clause 24.3.6 of TGah Draft 1.0 with the following CIDs:

1315

1601

1602

1603

Interpretation of a Motion to Adopt

A motion to approve this submission means that the editing instructions and any changed or added material are actioned in the TGah Draft. This introduction is not part of the adopted material.

***Editing instructions formatted like this are intended to be copied into the TGah Draft (i.e. they are instructions to the 802.11 editor on how to merge the text with the baseline documents).***

***TGah Editor: Editing instructions preceded by “TGah Editor” are instructions to the TGah editor to modify existing material in the TGah draft. As a result of adopting the changes, the TGah editor will execute the instructions rather than copy them to the TGah Draft.***

24.3.6 Timing-related parameters

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **CID** | **P.L** | **Clause** | **Comment** | **Proposed Change** | **Resolution** |
| 1315 | 275.30 | 24.3.6 | Font sizes in equations need attention. | pay attention | Agree in principle with the commenter. Revised – IEEE style manual mentions that font size of table content must be 9 point. But the equation of the line 30 is written in 11.5 point. Hence, change font size of the equation to 9 point.Besides this, other parts of table 24-5 is written in wrong point. Change all font size to 9 point. |

**Discussion:***.*

The current draft document is shown in the next page. Font size used in the pointed out equations is larger than the other text font size. Font size of content of tables must be 9-point judging from IEEE template file. Therefore, font size of the equations must be 9-point.

Not only here but also some other parts of this table seem not to be written in appropriate font size. Therefore, not only the above equations but also other parts should be checked if correct font size is used. And when different font size is found, it should be revised.



**Instruction to TGah Editor: Check if 9-point font size is used in table 24-6. Change font size to 9-point when a part written in not 9-point font size is found.**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **CID** | **P.L** | **Clause** | **Comment** | **Proposed Change** | **Resolution** |
| 1601 | 273.55 | 24.3.6 | Nservice is not properly subscripted. | Properly subscript. | Reject –There is not an inappropriate point on this line. |

**Discussion:**

The part pointed out in the current darf is as below.

Value of Nservice is 8. And explanation on “Nservice” written in discreption column is enough to understand. Therefore, there is no part to be revised.



|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **CID** | **P.L** | **Clause** | **Comment** | **Proposed Change** | **Resolution** |
| 1602 | 274.55 | 24.3.6 | Table 24-5 defines values for CBW1 which don't make sense. | remove CBW1 column, or ensure it is n/a in all rows. | Agree in principle with the commenter. Revised – “n.a.” should be put in raws for F, *TDFT*, T*GI* and *TSYM* because CBW1 is not used for 2MHz PPDU format. CBW1 column should be written in this table to clarify CBW1 is not used for 2MHz and above PPDU format. |
| 1603 | 274.45 | 24.3.6 | Number of pilot subcarrier | Number of pilot subcarriers (ditto, Table 24-4) | Agree in principle with the commenter. Revised – “n.a.” should be put in raws for F, *TDFT*, T*GI* and *TSYM* because CBW1 is not used for 2MHz PPDU format. CBW1 column should be written to clarify CBW1 is not used for 2MHz and above PPDU format. |

**Discussion:***.*

The pointed out part is as below. This table 24-5 shows timing-related constants for SIG/SGG-A filed for 2MHz and above PPDUs. Therefore CBW1 is not needed for this table. But to clarify this point, it should be better to mention “n.a.” for CBW1 for all items. But “n.a.” is not written for F, *TDFT*, T*GI* and *TSYM* parameters. This may give a wrong understanding on CBW1. Therefore, “n.a.” should be written for all itmes.



**Instruction to TGah Editor: Prepare cells of F, *TDFT*, T*GI* and *TSYM* parameters for CBW1 and add “n.a.” in those newly prepared cells.**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **CID** | **P.L** | **Clause** | **Comment** | **Proposed Change** | **Resolution** |
| 1602 | 275.01 | 24.3.6 | 24 | Clause 24 | Agree in principle with the commenter. Revised – The word "24" in the line 1 of page 275 should be replaced with "clause 24." |

**Discussion:***.*

The pointed sentense is as below.

“Table24-6 (Frequently used parameters) defines parameters used frequently in 24 (Sub 1GHz (S1G) PHY specification).”

The red colared part is not appropriate point. Actual meaning of this word is “caluse 24”. Capitalization of word "clause" is not needed because this word is not positioned at the beginning of sentense. Therefore, this red coloared word should be replaced with “clause 24.”

**Instruction to TGah Editor: Add a word “clause” just before the word “24” written in line 1 of page 275.**