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Abstract: **GEN Comment Resolutions for Annex C**

##### CIDs for Clause 24.1

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 568 | Mitsuru Iwaoka |  |  | Annex C (normative) "ASN.1 encoding of the MAC and PHY MIB" needs to be modified. | Modify the C.3 MIB Detail properly.  Details are TBD. | **Reject**  Updating Annex C depends on the progress of TGah and Revmc. Considering the stability of current 11ah spec, it’s premature to update Annex C for S1G. |
| 729 | Ronald Murias | 24.4.2 | 292.13 | Text refers to MIB attributes in Annex C, which do not exist. | Define MIB attributes in Annex C. | **Reject.**  Updating Annex C depends on the progress of TGah and Revmc. Considering the stability of current 11ah spec, it’s premature to update Annex C for S1G. |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

**Discussion:**

The two commenters are correct that the referred Annex C needs to be updated to reflect S1G MIB parameters. Actually not only the S1G PHY MIB parameters but also the S1G MAC MIB parameters need to be updated. The updating to Annex C will depend on the progress of TGah and Revmc. Considering the stability of current 11ah spec draft, I personally don’t think it’s a right time to update Annex C for S1G now.