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Abstract

This submission included proposed resolutions to CIDs, 10173, 10203, 10295.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| CID |  |  | Comment | Proposed Resolution | Resolution |
| 10173 |  | 9.7.6 | Why not change the rules applied to a VHT single MPDU to a more wider case with an A-MPDU containing a single A-MPDU subframe? | Change "that does not contain a VHT single MPDU" in two places in 9.7.6.1 to "containing multiple A-MPDU subframes". Change "either a VHT single MPDU or not carried in an A-MPDU" in 9.7.6.2, 9.7.6.4, and 9.7.6.5.1 to "not carried in an A-MPDU or carried in an A-MPDU but with a single A-MPDU subframe". | Rejected:  The term *"VHT single PDU"* is defined in 9.12.7 *“An MPDU that is the only MPDU in an A-MPDU and that is carried in an A-MPDU subframe with 1 in the*  *EOF field is called a VHT single MPDU. “*  Thus to be a VHT Single PDU two conditions needs to be met and not only one. It is still possible to generate a A-MPDU without the EOF in HT format. |

**Discussion:**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 10203 | 139.32 | 9.7.11.1 | Rx VHT-MCS Map is a subfield, not a field. | Please replace "Rx VHT-MCS Map field" with "Rx VHT-MCS Map subfield". | Prposed Resolution: Aprove. The Rx VHT-MCS Map is a subfield defined in 8.4.2.160.3 under the Supported VHT MCS and NSS set fields Replace Rx VHT MCS Map Field with subfield.  15.11, 100.61,139.31, 187.51. |

**Discussion:**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 10295 | 127.54 | 9.3.7 | This NOTE ("In Figure 9-14, ... , referenced from the end of the last symbol of a PPDU on the medium.") is not a sentence. In addition, there is no "D1" in that figure, nor in the rest of the draft. | Either create a sentence that is a complete statement (probably without 'D1') or delete the whole note on lines 54 and 55. | Approve modify. Rephrase the the note in line 54 to read: "Note - In Figure 9-14, when transmitting a VHT PPDU, D1 is equal to aRxPHYDelay and referenced from the end of the last symbol of a PPDU on the medium.".  That way it is consistent with D1 definition of 802.11-2012 in figure 9-14.  Removal of the sentence will be a technical change as D1 value for non VHT is aRxRFDelay+ aRxPLCPDelay. |

**Discussion:**