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Abstract

This document contains the minutes from the 802.11 TGaf teleconference, February 21th, 2012.

**February 21th , 2012 21:00-23:00 ET 8 attendees,**

Agenda refer to <https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/12/11-12-0224-00-00af-february-21-teleconference-plan-and-agenda.pptx> .

1. **Richard Kennedy (RIM) is the chair of the group. Peter Ecclesine (Cisco) is the vice-chair of the group. Peter is the acting Chair of this conference call. Acting Chair called meeting to order: 21:00 pm ET.**
2. **Agenda of the teleconference in 11-12-0224-00-00af-february-21th-teleconference-plan-and-agenda.ppt is reviewed and approved by unanimous consent.**
3. **Introduction**
	1. Acting Chair welcomed participants to the Task Group teleconference.
	2. Acting Chair introduced the officers of the group.
	3. Chair: Rich Kennedy (Research In Motion)
	4. Vice-chair, Technical Editor and Webex Facilitator: Peter Ecclesine (Cisco)
	5. Task Group Secretary: Zhou Lan (NICT).
	6. Chair reminded participants to record their attendance by sending mails to**rikennedy@rim.com** **,** **petere@cisco.com** and**LAN@nict.go.jp**.
4. **Administrative items**
	1. Acting Chair presented the links for the documents related with the administrative items.
	2. Acting Chair reviewed the patent policy and meeting guideline slides. Is there anyone who is not familiar with the IEEE Patent Policy? None.
	3. Acting Chair asked: Are there any patent claim(s)/patent application claim(s) and/or the holder of patent claim(s)/patent application claim(s) that the participant believes may be essential for the use of that standard? None.
	4. Acting Chair reviewed other guidelines for IEEE WG meetings.
	5. Acting Chair reviewed the TG chair function.
5. **Peter Ecclesine reviewed Ofcom document *Draft regulatory requirements for white space device in the UHF TV band (Feb. 16 2012).***
	1. Peter Ecclesine said Ofcom agreed this document can be shared in 802.11af.
	2. Peter Ecclesine said TGaf may need to change WSM to reflect the discussions in the Ofcom document.
	3. Yohannes (NICT) asked what kind of changes are needed for WSM.
	4. Peter Ecclesine responded three different maps might be needed for master, slave and geo-location aware slave device.
	5. Ron Porat (Broadcom) asked if it is allowed for a master to talk to the database wirelessly.
	6. Peter Ecclesine responded master device may talk to the database in any way.
	7. Chen Sun (NICT) asked if there is a requirement of enabling signal and CVS.
	8. Peter Ecclesine responded there is a need for the slave to learn the geo-location boundary, if the slave moves and is not geo-location aware.
	9. Yohannes (NICT) asked how the Ofcom rule changes under consideration influence the current draft of 11af?
	10. Peter summarized the possible influences by going through the draft and pointing some of the changes regarding such as the WSM, CVS and related procedures.
6. **Peter Ecclesine reviewed *STANDARDIZATION MANDATE ON RECONFIGURABLE RADIO SYSTEMS (ETSI/BOARD(12)87\_34).***
7. **Peter Ecclesine reviewed *Estimation of Spectrum Availability For White Space Devices (BBC document).***
	1. Ron Porat asked what is the 4meter as shown in slide 7.
	2. Peter Ecclesine responded 4meter in this scenario is the distance above ground of the antenna of the DTV receiver.
	3. Chen Sun (NICT) asked how the database differentiates the scenarios.
	4. Peter Ecclesine responded it is not decided yet.
	5. Zhou Lan (NICT) asked if there is any discussion related with RLSS.
	6. Peter Ecclesine responded the discussions is underway.
	7. Yohannes asked how TGaf proceeds to capture the changes in Ofcom rules. Will the current Draft 1.06 be subject to change along with expected PHY proposal? What is the intended time line to accomplish this goal? The TG might have to consider also that the current Ofcom changes seem under review and they are not yet finalized. Do we have to see the final outcome?
	8. Peter responded that this is a key comment for the TG and must be discussed.
8. **Acting Chair asked if there is any other business, hearing none. The conference call was adjourned at 22:30ET.**
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