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Abstract

The document contains minimal set of requirements to achieve faster, more precise and less overhead creating active scanning mechanism. More requirements will be added later.

The requirements are grouped according to enhancement. The need for each requirement is explained with motivation clause, then the concept is explained and finally a strawpoll questions 802.11ai opinion.

**The submission contains the strawpolls results as voted in Jacksonville.**

**The text that is labelled:” Text for specifation framework document, clause 5:” is to be motioned to be added to specification framework document.**

**The** submissions that are covered with these requirements are based on:

11-1414r4 Probe Request and Response in TGai

11-1521r2 AP and Network Discovery Enhancements

11-1523r6 Access Delay Reduction for FILS

11-1619r3 Active Scanning

12-50r0 Broadcast Probe Response including Normative Text

12-56r0 FILS enabled active scanning

12-59r0 Selection of the AP for Scanning

12-60r0 Text for Selection of the AP for scanning

12-61r0 Probe Response frame transmission interval

12-62r0 Text for Probe Response frame transmission interval

12-67r0 Active Scanning Time Notification

12-124r0 Text for access delay reduction for FILS

# MLME

**Motivation**

The current MLME-SCAN.confirm reports the status of the discovery at the very end of the discovery operation. The immediate reporting of the discovered networks is done in most current implementations. The immediate reporting speeds up information passing from the MAC-layer.

**Concept**

The MLME-SCAN.confirm primitive shall be invoked to report every found BSS during the scan procedure.

**Strawpoll**

Do you agree on above mentioned concept?

Yes: 13 No: 0 Abstain: 9

Motion to amend the original motion by adding the bolded text to the original motion.

Yes 28 No 2 abstain 12

# Motion 1. Move to add the following text to the clause 5 of the specification framework document:

802.11ai shall define a mechanism to optimise the **MLME-SCAN.confirm primitive** to indicate the discovered APs fast and without additional delays.

# Mover: Jarkko Kneckt

# Seconder: Gabor Bajko

Yes: 28 No: 2 Abstain: 12

Motion Passes.

# FILS capability indication

**Motivation**

Without indication which STAs are FILS capable, the scanning STAs or responding STAs cannot detect other FILS capable STAs. The detection of the FILS capable STAs is needed to the use of FILS specific scanning logic.

**Concept**

If applicable, Probe Request, Probe Response and Beacon should indicate FILS capability.

**Strawpoll**

Do you agree on above mentioned concept?

Yes: 21 No: 0 Abstain: 5

# Motion 2. Move to add the following text to the clause 5 of the specification framework document:

Probe Request, Probe Response and Beacon shall contain an indication of FILS capability.

# Moved: Phillip Barber

# Seconded: Jarkko Kneckt

Yes: 32 No: 1 Abstain: 2

Motion Passes.

# Probe Request

**Motivation**

The requesting STA may have information of the STAs which it already knows or from which it is not interested to receive Probe Request. The knowledge may be obtained during the time when the STA was looking for TXOP to transmit the Probe Request, or from the previous Probe Request.

**Concept**

The probe request may restrict responses by indicating APs that should or should not respond

**Strawpoll**

Do you agree on above mentioned concept?

Yes: 19 No: 0 Abstain: 3

# Motion 3. Move to add the following text to the clause 5 of the specification framework document:

The 802.11ai shall define a mechanism to enable selective active scanning. The probe request may restrict responses by indicating APs that should or should not respond

Moved: Phillip Barber

Seconded: Gabor Bajko

Yes: 21 No: 11 Abstain:4

Motion Fails.

**Motivation**

Without knowledge of the time when the Probe Request transmitter is available to receive Probe Responses, the individually addressed Probe Responses may be unnecessarily (re)transmitted.

In congested BSS, it may be difficult and power consuming for the requesting STA to transmit a frame to indicate that the STA is no longer available to receive Probe Responses.

**Concept**

The transmitter of the Probe Request frame may indicate the time when it is available to receive Probe Response frames.

**Strawpoll**

Do you agree on above mentioned concept?

Yes: 17 No: 0 Abstain: 5

# Motion 4. Move to add the following text to the clause 5 of the specification framework document:

The transmitter of the Probe Request frame shall have means to indicate the time when it is no longer available to receive the Probe Response frames.

# Moved: Jarkko Kneckt

**Seconded: Phillip Barber**

Yes: 22 No: 10 Abstain: 4

Motion fails.

# Motion 4A. Move to amend Motion 4 text as follows:

# add the following text to the clause 5 of the specification framework document:

The transmitter of the Probe Request frame shall have means to indicate the time when it is **no longer** available to receive the Probe Response frames.

Moved: Jarkko Kneckt

Seconded: Andrew Myles

# Motion passes unanimously.

# Canceling Probe Responses transmission

**Motivation**

The general knowledge when the STA is receiving at the channel reduces transmissions of unnecessary Probe Responses.

The requesting STA may indicate to be available to receive Probe Responses for a certain time period. If the channel is idle, the device may need unnecessarily use its battery to be available at the channel.

**Concept**

Responses to Probe Request may be cancelled by requesting STA

**Strawpoll**

Do you agree on above mentioned concept?

Yes: 13 No: 2 Abstain: 8

# Motion 5. Move to add the following text to the clause 5 of the specification framework document:

The transmitter of the Probe Request frame shall have means to cancel the responses to the Probe Request

Moved: Jarkko Kneckt

Seconded: Gabor Bajko

Yes: 4 No: 18 Abstain: 8

Motion fails.

# Probe Response

**Motivation**

The broadcast addressed Probe Response may be received by many STAs. The broadcast addressed Probe Responses are not acknowledged and thus not retransmitted. This reduces traffic load in congested situations.

**Concept**

The Probe Response frame may be transmitted to an individual or broadcast address.

**Strawpoll**

Do you agree on above mentioned concept?

Yes: 16 No: 0 Abstain: 7

# Motion 6. Move to add the following text to the clause 5 of the specification framework document:

802.11ai shall have mechanism to transmit Probe Response frame to individual and/or broadcast address.

Moved: Phillip Barber

Seconded: Jarkko Kneckt

Yes: 25 No: 8 Abstain: 8

Motion passes.

**Motivation**

The BSS information on other channels helps to select the channel that is scanned next. More information of the BSSs provides more knowledge to scanning STA. For instance, if the STA transmits Probe Request to individual address, it may collect information of many APs with reduced amount of Probe Responses.

**Concept**

The Probe Response may contain information of other than responding AP (Comprehensive response).

**Strawpoll**

Do you agree on above mentioned concept?

Yes: 14 No: 1 Abstain: 8

# Motion 7. Move to add the following text to the clause 5 of the specification framework document:

802.11ai shall have mechanism to include information of the responding AP and other APs to the Probe Response frame.

# Moved: Jarkko Kneckt

**Seconded: Lee Armstrong**

# Yes: 21 No: 6 Abstain: 6

Motion passes.

# Probe Response collision avoidance

**Motivation**

The amount of unnecessary copies of the responses should be minimized to avoid overhead and to speed up the network operation. The smaller amount of transmitted frames reduces traffic load and speeds up the discovery operation.

**Concept**

AP may respond to multiple Probe Requests with a single response frame.

**Strawpoll**

Do you agree on above mentioned concept?

Yes: 12 No: 0 Abstain: 8

# Motion 8. Move to add the following text to the clause 5 of the specification framework document:

An AP may respond to multiple Probe Requests from one or more FILS capable STAs with a single broadcast addressed response frame.

Moved: Jarkko Kneckt

Seconded: Jouni Malinen

Yes: 26 No: 0 Abstain: 7

Motion passes.

# Motion 8A. Move to amend the text of the motion 8 as follows:

An AP may respond to multiple Probe Requests **from one or more FILS capable STAs** with a single **broadcast addressed** response frame.

Accepted unanimously

**Motivation**

The Beacon frame needs to be transmitted at TBTT to provide information of the availability of the AP and to indicate availability of the buffered frames for power saving STAs.

The amount of unnecessary copies of the Probe Response frames should be minimized. The smaller amount of transmitted frames reduces traffic load and speeds up the discovery operation.

**Concept**

AP may transmit a Beacon frame instead of Probe Response frame if the TBTT occurs within short time interval

**Strawpoll**

Do you agree on above mentioned concept?

Yes: 13 No: 1 Abstain: 6

# Motion 9. Move to add the following text to the clause 5 of the specification framework document:

An FILS Capable AP may omit transmission of Probe Response frame to FILS capable STAs if the TBTT occurs within a predefinedtime interval.

Moved: Jarkko Kneckt

Seconded: Jouni Malinen

Yes: 27 No: 2 Abstain: 6

Motion passes.