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Abstract

This document contains the minutes of the TGae conference call held on June 22, 2011, as recorded by the official secretary of TGae.

**Minutes for TGae June 22, 2011**

1. **08:09 AM PST – Chair calls meeting to order**
2. **Identification of officers and their affiliations**
   1. Chair identifies himself as Mike Montemurro, affiliated with Research in Motion
   2. Secretary identifies himself as Matthew Fischer, affiliated with Broadcom Corporation
   3. Henry Ptasinski, TGae Editor, affiliated with Broadcom Corporation is also on the call
3. **Roll call**
   1. Officers as noted above
   2. Santosh Pandey, Cisco
4. **Agenda:**
   1. Chair: Agenda was sent to the reflector
   2. Agenda includes the following items
      1. Roll call
      2. Approval of agenda
      3. IEEE patent policy -"Refer the correct section or IEEE Patcom URL"  
         <http://standards.ieee.org/board/pat/pat-slideset.ppt>
      4. Update on MEC review and LB strategy for TGae
      5. Comment Resolution on LB180. See document <https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/11/11-11-0888-00-00ae-lb-180-comment-resolutions.xls>
      6. Other discussion
      7. Attempt to adjourn before 12:00 EDT
   3. Chair: Any objection to the agenda?
   4. No objection noted
   5. Chair: agenda approved by unanimous consent
5. **Chair: Are there any essential patents?**
   1. No response heard from the floor.
6. **Update on MEC review and LB strategy**
   1. Henry Ptasinski (TGae editor): 802.11 and SA MEC – IEEE MEC says everything is excellent.
   2. HP: 802.11 MEC says that there are some necessary fixes – editor has no complaints with the proposed changes – implemented those changes and sent the document to the task group leadership for initial review
   3. HP: MEC included two technical changes which the editor did not change
   4. HP: Adrian Stephens notes that the technical changes are not required as part of MEC, just added for our benefit
   5. HP: should examine the technical comments, or add them to the LB comments
   6. HP: some MEC comments are technical – may to might, may to can, etc. – a MIB that changed technically
   7. MF: those all sound tame
   8. MM: 180 affirmative, 8 negative = 4.3% negative – actually only 7 NO, because a voter has sent an email intending to change a NO to YES
   9. MM: about 45 technical comments of 77 comments total
   10. MM: 40 comments from Mark Hamilton and David Hunter
   11. MM: when sponsor, when clean recirc? I agreed to move comments to sponsor for some of the TG LB commenters.
   12. HP: problem is MEC – these need to be included before sponsor, and that means two more ballots at TG level
   13. MM: so do we want to attempt to resolve these new comments?
   14. HP: yes
   15. HP: plan for accelerated process – one ballot from July followed by the clean one – 15 day for all ballots – September is tight – with any delays, we do not finish before September
   16. HP: one way to make the dates is to start the ballot during the July meeting week
   17. HP: unsure of the turnaround time from end of clean TG LB to start of sponsor LB
7. **LB 180 comment resolution**
   1. MM: see document <https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/11/11-11-0888-00-00ae-lb-180-comment-resolutions.xls>
   2. MM: 25 editorial – Henry – will you take these to resolve them?
   3. HP: some comments are from YES voters, right?
   4. MM: yes, MarkH, LiwenC, HarishR, Guido, Jouni
   5. MM: CID 4077 - HarishR – some mgmt frames have strict timing requirements and should be assigned SEQ values outside of the normal TGae process – TGmb is working on a solution to this – that’s how we should resolve this
   6. MM: CID 4076 – Jouni – language allowing AC reassignment for mgmt frames is too broad – needs to exempt the IBSS case
   7. MM: HP suggests modifying by adding qualifier “in a BSS or MBSS”
   8. MM: CID 4032 – QMF implemented vs enabled vs activated
   9. HP: existing text looks ok to me – no change needed that I can see – if any change, 10.25.1 would be the place to change, but does not look necessary to me
   10. MM: propose P – behaviour clearly described in 10.25.1
   11. MM: CID 4030 –
   12. HP: do not see how sponsor ballot could close before the end of sept meeting
   13. MF: do not understand the complaint with CID 4030 –
   14. MM: do you think that he is misapplying the “non” qualifier?
   15. MF: yes
   16. MM: if people would like to volunteer to create proposed resolutions for comments, please choose a subclause and before beginning, send a notice to the reflector to avoid collisions on choices
   17. HP: I will handle all editorials
8. **Motion to adjourn**
   1. Moved by the chair, to adjourn
   2. No objection.
   3. 08:57 AM PDT - TGae is adjourned.
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