IEEE P802.11 Wireless LANs

| 802.11k Conditional Sponsor Ballot Report | | | | | |
|---|--------------------|---|--------------|--------------------------------|--|
| Date: 2007-06-07 | | | | | |
| Author(s): | | | | | |
| Name | Affiliation | Address | Phone | email | |
| Stuart Kerry | NXP Semiconductors | | 4084747356 | stuart@ok-brit.com | |
| Richard Paine | The Boeing Company | 6115 72 nd Dr NE Marysville, Wa 98270 | 206-854-8199 | richard.h.paine@boei ng.com | |

Abstract

This is the report to be submitted to the 802 Executive Committee, documenting that the recirculation ballot on 802.11k draft 7.0 meets all the requirements of conditional approval to forward to sponsor ballot.

This report to the 802 Executive Committee documents the conditions in Clause 20, as they apply to the final working group recirculation ballot on draft 7.0 of 802.11k.

From the 802 LMSC Policies and Procedures, Clause 20:

Conditions:

- a) Recirculation ballot is completed. Generally, the recirculation ballot and resolution should occur in accordance with the schedule presented at the time of conditional approval.
- b) After resolution of the recirculation ballot is completed, the approval percentage is at least 75% and there are no new DISAPPROVE votes.
- c) No technical changes, as determined by the Working Group Chair, were made as a result of the recirculation ballot.
- d) No new valid DISAPPROVE comments on new issues that are not resolved to the satisfaction of the submitter from existing DISAPPROVE voters.
- e) If the Working Group Chair determines that there is a new invalid DISAPPROVE comment or vote, the Working Group Chair shall promptly provide details to the EC.
- f) The Working Group Chair shall immediately report the results of the ballot to the EC including: the date the ballot closed, vote tally and comments associated with any remaining disapproves (valid and invalid), the Working Group responses and the rationale for ruling any vote invalid.
- a) Ballot Open Date: 2007-04-18 Ballot Close Date: 2007-05-05
 - 369 Approve
 - 22 Disapprove
 - 39 Abstain
 - 430 Total

b) APPROVAL RATE

After resolution of the recirculation ballot is completed, the approval percentage is at least 75% and there are no new DISAPPROVE votes.

369 affirmative votes

22 negative votes with comments

369 votes = 94% affirmative

- c) There were no technical changes as a result of the recirculation ballot.
- d) There are no new DISAPPROVE comments on new issues that are not resolved to the satisfaction of the submitter from existing DISAPPROVE voters.
- e) There are no new DISAPPROVE comments or votes.
- f) The disapprove-voter comments for LB103 are attached

There were eight no-voters who have changed their disapprove votes to approve after the fact via confirmed email. Of the total 404 no-voter unsatisfied comments from all letter ballots, many are non-technical comments, many are invalid, and many address similar topics. Categorizing these Rejected and Required comments provides some insight into the content and underlying reason for these diverse comments.

The comments may be categorized as follows:

| 40 | Editorial comments |
|---------|---|
| 74 | Technical comments which were partially accepted and marked Counter |
| 11 | Technical but invalid comments |
| 8 | Technical comments without clear suggested remedy |
| 4 | Comments to remove Noise Histogram |
| 2 | Comments to remove Measurement Pilot |
| 5 | Comments on definitions |
| 5 | Comments to remove LCI |
| 5 | Comments to reinstate Hidden Sta Measurement |
| 39 | Other comments without clear correlated topic |
| 104 | Total Rejected and Required comments |

The working group responses to all of these unsatisfied comments are on the following pages:

C/ 11k-D7. SC 3.75a

Р

103002

"Stephens"

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

"i.e." should always be followed by a comma

SuggestedRemedy

Scan whole draft and ensure every i.e. and e.g. is followed by a comma.

Response

Response Status O

For LB103 purposes this comment is invalid because it is not based on changed text from D7.0 in LB96 to D7.0 in LB103. However, consideration will be made in Sponsor Ballot to satisfy this comment.

Ρ

C/ 11k-D7. SC 3

103003

"Stephens"

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

"""For the purposes of this document, the following terms and definitions apply. The Authoritative Dictionary of IEEE Standard Terms [Bn] should be referenced for terms not defined in this clause.""

It is not clear what this is doing here. Is it an insert, or part of the baseline?"

SuggestedRemedy

Either remove (if part of the baseline) or preceed by an appropriate editorial instruction.

Response Status O

For LB103 purposes this comment is invalid because it is not based on changed text from D7.0 in LB96 to D7.0 in LB103. However, consideration will be made in Sponsor Ballot to satisfy this comment.

C/ 11k-D7. SC General

P

L

103004

"Stephens"

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

Bookmarks in the redline .pdf file help your readers navigate

SuggestedRemedy

Please add .pdf bookmarks.

Response Status O

For LB103 purposes this comment is invalid because it is not based on changed text from D7.0 in LB96 to D7.0 in LB103. However, consideration will be made in Sponsor Ballot to satisfy this comment.

C/ 11k-D7. SC 7.1.3.1.2

Ρ

_

103005

"Stephens"

Comment Type ER

Comment Status R

The editing instruction and table caption disagree

SuggestedRemedy

Correct one of them

Response

Response Status O

For LB103 purposes this comment is invalid because it is not based on changed text from D7.0 in LB96 to D7.0 in LB103. However, consideration will be made in Sponsor Ballot to satisfy this comment.

Р

C/ 11k-D7. SC 7.3.2.21.10

103006

"Stephens"

Comment Type ER

Comment Status R

"""Transmit stream Measurement"" is a poor name. A transmit stream specifically refers to Data sent with TIDs in the range 8-15 - i.e. for which a TSID exists. Also note that the T in TID stands for traffic, not transmit. FYI: ""3.154 traffic stream (TS): A set of medium access control MAC) service data units (MSDUs) to be delivered subject to the quality of service (QoS) parameter values provided to the MAC in a particular traffic specification (TSPEC). TSs are meaningful only to MAC entities that support QoS within the MAC data service. These MAC entities determine the TSPEC applicable for delivery of MSDUs belonging to a particular TS using the TS identifier (TSID) value provided with those MSDUs at the MAC service access point (MAC_SAP)."""

SuggestedRemedy

Replace with a name that does not exclude TIDs 0-7.

Response

Response Status O

For LB103 purposes this comment is invalid because it is not based on changed text from D7.0 in LB96 to D7.0 in LB103. However, consideration will be made in Sponsor Ballot to satisfy this comment.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID # 103006

6/6/2007 8:31:58 PM

IEEE P802.11k D7.0 Conditional SB Report comments

C/ 11k-D7. SC 7.3.2.22.8

Ρ

103007

"Stephens"

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

"dot11QosCountersIndex is set to 3." is in a different font.

SuggestedRemedy

Set to default para font.

Response

Response Status O

For LB103 purposes this comment is invalid because it is not based on changed text from D7.0 in LB96 to D7.0 in LB103. However, consideration will be made in Sponsor Ballot to satisfy this comment.

Ρ

C/ 11k-D7. SC 7.3.2.44

103008

"Stephens'

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

I suppose the mapping from AC_VO to "voice" is obvious. However, it wouldn't harm to state the mapping from the labels that define an AC to the fields of this report with a smidgeon more formality.

SuggestedRemedy

Reference the AC * lables in figure 112o.

Response

Response Status O

For LB103 purposes this comment is invalid because it is not based on changed text from D7.0 in LB96 to D7.0 in LB103. However, consideration will be made in Sponsor Ballot to satisfy this comment.

C/ 11k-D7. SC General

P

103009

"Stephens'

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

When creating redlines, please modify the style of the insert marking so that it is not underlined. That way underlines in inserted text can still be distinguished. (see 9.8.2.1 for an example of where this creates a problem).

SuggestedRemedy

0

Response Status O

For LB103 purposes this comment is invalid because it is not based on changed text from D7.0 in LB96 to D7.0 in LB103. However, consideration will be made in Sponsor Ballot to satisfy this comment.

C/ 11k-D7. SC Annex D

Р

#

103010

"Stephens"

Comment Type ER

Comment Status R

"Deprecate the current SMT base". Please show editing instructions.

SuggestedRemedy

0

Response

Response Status O

For LB103 purposes this comment is invalid because it is not based on changed text from D7.0 in LB96 to D7.0 in LB103. However, consideration will be made in Sponsor Ballot to satisfy this comment. Please see editing instruction D7.0, P170L15. The change is D7.0, P170L45

P

C/ 11k-D7. SC General

103011

"Marshall"

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Numerous comments from D6.0 are marked in the comment resolution spreadsheet as "Accepted", but the changes were not made in D7.0. They are accompanied in the spreadsheet by a comment from the Editor, disagreeing with the accepted resolution. The Technical Editor is only one member of the Task Group, and only has one vote. This is NOT veto power. When 75% of the Task Group approve a resolution to a comment, the Technical Editor is directed to "incorporate all such resolutions therein into the TGk draft" (as stated in 11-07-0109-03-000k-tgk-london-minutes.doc); it doesn't say that the Technical Editor is to "consider incorporating the changes...".

SuggestedRemedy

Editor to incorporate all the approved resolutions to D6.0 comments into the draft.

Response

Response Status O

For LB103 purposes this comment is invalid because it is not based on changed text from D7.0 in LB96 to D7.0 in LB103. However, consideration will be made in Sponsor Ballot to satisfy this comment as documented in 11/253r7.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID # 103011

6/6/2007 8:32:12 PM

June 2007 doc.: IEEE 802.11k-07/1968r2 IEEE P802.11k D7.0 Conditional SB Report comments C/ 11k-D7. SC Boilerplate Ρi L 10 # 103012 C/ 11k-D7. SC Boilerplate Piii L 99 # 103015 "Marshall" "Marshall" ER Comment Status R Comment Type ER Comment Status R Comment Type D6.0 comment #88 was marked as "Accepted" but not implemented in the draft. Copyright in page footer needs to be updated for 2007 SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change to "Amendment 1" Change year to "2007" Response Response Response Status O Response Status O For LB103 purposes this comment is invalid because it is not based on changed text from For LB103 purposes this comment is invalid because it is not based on changed text from D7.0 in LB96 to D7.0 in LB103. However, consideration will be made in Sponsor Ballot to D7.0 in LB96 to D7.0 in LB103. However, consideration will be made in Sponsor Ballot to satisfy this comment as documented in 11/253r7. satisfy this comment as documented in 11/253r7. C/ 11k-D7. SC Boilerplate Ρi L 15 # 103013 C/ 11k-D7. SC Boilerplate Piii L 28 # 103016 "Marshall" "Marshall" Comment Type ER Comment Status R Comment Type ER Comment Status R Copyright statement needs to be updated for 2007 missing text for "Errata" SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change year to "2007" Add it, use 802.11ma D9.0 as model Response Response Response Status O Response Status 0 For LB103 purposes this comment is invalid because it is not based on changed text from For LB103 purposes this comment is invalid because it is not based on changed text from D7.0 in LB96 to D7.0 in LB103. However, consideration will be made in Sponsor Ballot to D7.0 in LB96 to D7.0 in LB103. However, consideration will be made in Sponsor Ballot to satisfy this comment as documented in 11/253r7. satisfy this comment as documented in 11/253r7. C/ 11k-D7. SC Boilerplate Piii L2 # 103014 C/ 11k-D7. SC Boilerplate Piii L 31 # 103017 "Marshall' "Marshall" Comment Type ER Comment Status R Comment Type ER Comment Status R Copyright statement needs to be updated for 2007 missing text for "Interpretations" SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change year to "2007" Add it, use 802.11ma D9.0 as model Response Response Response Status O Response Status O For LB103 purposes this comment is invalid because it is not based on changed text from For LB103 purposes this comment is invalid because it is not based on changed text from D7.0 in LB96 to D7.0 in LB103. However, consideration will be made in Sponsor Ballot to D7.0 in LB96 to D7.0 in LB103. However, consideration will be made in Sponsor Ballot to satisfy this comment as documented in 11/253r7. satisfy this comment as documented in 11/253r7.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID # 103017

6/6/2007 8:32:12 PM

IEEE P802.11k D7.0 Conditional SB Report comments

C/ 11k-D7. SC Boilerplate

103018

"Marshall"

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

Figure numbers in the List of Figures don't match the figure numbers in the draft. In particular, they are shown here with upper case letters, but appear in the draft correctly with lower case letters (53A should be 53a, etc).

Pxi

SuggestedRemedy

Make consistent

Response Status O

For LB103 purposes this comment is invalid because it is not based on changed text from D7.0 in LB96 to D7.0 in LB103. However, consideration will be made in Sponsor Ballot to satisfy this comment as documented in 11/253r7.

C/ 11k-D7. SC Boilerplate

Pxiii

L 4

L4

103019

"Marshall"

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

Table numbers in the List of tables don't match the table numbers in the draft. In particular, they are shown here with upper case letters, but appear in the draft correctly with lower case letters (15A should be 15a, etc).

SuggestedRemedy

Make consistent

Response Response Status O

For LB103 purposes this comment is invalid because it is not based on changed text from D7.0 in LB96 to D7.0 in LB103. However, consideration will be made in Sponsor Ballot to satisfy this comment as documented in 11/253r7.

C/ 11k-D7. SC Boilerplate

Pxiii

L 34

103020

"Marshall"

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

TableTable

SuggestedRemedy

Table

Response Status O

For LB103 purposes this comment is invalid because it is not based on changed text from D7.0 in LB96 to D7.0 in LB103. However, consideration will be made in Sponsor Ballot to satisfy this comment as documented in 11/253r7.

C/ 11k-D7. SC 0

P1

L 22

103021

"Marshall"

Comment Type ER

D6.0 comment #92 marked as "Accepted" but not implemented indraft.

Comment Status R

SuggestedRemedy

Change to "Amendment 1"

Response

Response Status O

For LB103 purposes this comment is invalid because it is not based on changed text from D7.0 in LB96 to D7.0 in LB103. However, consideration will be made in Sponsor Ballot to satisfy this comment as documented in 11/253r7.

C/ 11k-D7. SC 0

P1

L 28

103022

"Marshall"

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

D6.0 comment #93 marked as "Accepted" but not implemented in draft

SuggestedRemedy

Change to 2007

Response

Response Status O

For LB103 purposes this comment is invalid because it is not based on changed text from D7.0 in LB96 to D7.0 in LB103. However, consideration will be made in Sponsor Ballot to satisfy this comment as documented in 11/253r7.

C/ 11k-D7. SC 0

P**1**

L **51**

103023

"Marshall'

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

Copyright in page footer needs to be updated for 2007

SuggestedRemedy

Change year to "2007"

Response

Response Status O

For LB103 purposes this comment is invalid because it is not based on changed text from D7.0 in LB96 to D7.0 in LB103. However, consideration will be made in Sponsor Ballot to satisfy this comment as documented in 11/253r7.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID # 103023

6/6/2007 8:32:12 PM

Submission Page 7 of 17 Joe Kwak, InterDigital

June 2007 doc.: IEEE 802.11k-07/1968r2 IEEE P802.11k D7.0 Conditional SB Report comments C/ 11k-D7. SC 3 P 2 L3 # 103024 C/ 11k-D7. SC 3 P**2 L8** # 103027 "Marshall" "Marshall" Comment Status R Comment Status R Comment Type ER Comment Type ER New definitions are inserted by their number, not alphabetically Numbering for definition of "access point reachability" incorrect SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Delete "in alphabetical order" from editing instructions change to "3.4a" Response Response Response Status O Response Status O For LB103 purposes this comment is invalid because it is not based on changed text from For LB103 purposes this comment is invalid because it is not based on changed text from D7.0 in LB96 to D7.0 in LB103. However, consideration will be made in Sponsor Ballot to D7.0 in LB96 to D7.0 in LB103. However, consideration will be made in Sponsor Ballot to satisfy this comment as documented in 11/253r7. satisfy this comment as documented in 11/253r7. C/ 11k-D7. SC 3 P 2 L **5** # 103025 C/ 11k-D7. SC 5.2.7 P3 L 47 # 103028 "Marshall" "Marshall" Comment Type ER Comment Status R Comment Type TR Comment Status R Authorative Dictionary of IEEE Standard Terms is already being cited for all IEEE D6.0 comment #102 marked as "Accepted" but not implemented in draft. documents through the 2005 Style Guide. Reference to it in individual drafts is not needed. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Change "specification" to "service" to better integrate this to the base standard Delete the paragraph starting at line 5 Response Response Status O Response Response Status O For LB103 purposes this comment is invalid because it is not based on changed text from For LB103 purposes this comment is invalid because it is not based on changed text from D7.0 in LB96 to D7.0 in LB103. However, consideration will be made in Sponsor Ballot to D7.0 in LB96 to D7.0 in LB103. However, consideration will be made in Sponsor Ballot to satisfy this comment as documented in 11/253r7. satisfy this comment as documented in 11/253r7. C/ 11k-D7. SC 5.4 P**7** L3 # 103029 C/ 11k-D7. SC 3 P2 L 5 # 103026 "Marshall" "Marshall" Comment Type ER Comment Status R Comment Type ER Comment Status R Instead of inserting a sentence into an existing paragraph, this should be done as a This paragraph is not a definition, and does not belong in a clause of definitions "change" and show the new sentence using underlining. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Delete the paragraph starting at line 5 Show the complete first paragraph of 5.4, and show the new sentence at the end with underlining. Response Response Status O Response Response Status O For LB103 purposes this comment is invalid because it is not based on changed text from For LB103 purposes this comment is invalid because it is not based on changed text from D7.0 in LB96 to D7.0 in LB103. However, consideration will be made in Sponsor Ballot to D7.0 in LB96 to D7.0 in LB103. However, consideration will be made in Sponsor Ballot to satisfy this comment as documented in 11/253r7. satisfy this comment as documented in 11/253r7.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID # 103029

6/6/2007 8:32:13 PM

IEEE P802.11k D7.0 Conditional SB Report comments

C/ 11k-D7. SC 7.1.3.1.2

P**8**

103030

"Marshall"

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

Table is 1, not 11.

SuggestedRemedy

Change to Table 1

Response Status O

For LB103 purposes this comment is invalid because it is not based on changed text from D7.0 in LB96 to D7.0 in LB103. However, consideration will be made in Sponsor Ballot to satisfy this comment as documented in 11/253r7.

C/ 11k-D7. SC 7.3.1.4

P13

L 36

L 12

103031

"Marshall"

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Normative statements don't belong in clause 7.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "shall set" to "sets" and "shall be set" to "sets"

Response Response Status O

For LB103 purposes this comment is invalid because it is not based on changed text from D7.0 in LB96 to D7.0 in LB103. However, consideration will be made in Sponsor Ballot to satisfy this comment as documented in 11/253r7.

C/ 11k-D7. SC 7.3.1.11

P13

L **44**

103032

"Marshall'

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Changes to table 24 don't match the base standard. Reserved row currently says "4-126" and not "5-126". Also, "5" should not be both underlined and strikethrough.

SuggestedRemedy

Insert a row "4 Reserved -" and change the "5<underlined><strikethrough>6<underlined>" to "4<strikethrough>6<underlined>"

Response Status O

For LB103 purposes this comment is invalid because it is not based on changed text from D7.0 in LB96 to D7.0 in LB103. However, consideration will be made in Sponsor Ballot to satisfy this comment as documented in 11/253r7.

C/ 11k-D7. SC 7.3.2.21

P18

L 26

103033

"Marshall"

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

D6.0 comment #132 marked as "Accepted" but not implemented in draft

SuggestedRemedy

Underline the new text

Response

Response Status 0

For LB103 purposes this comment is invalid because it is not based on changed text from D7.0 in LB96 to D7.0 in LB103. However, consideration will be made in Sponsor Ballot to satisfy this comment as documented in 11/253r7.

C/ 11k-D7. SC 7.3.2.21

P19

L 40

103034

"Marshall"

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

D6.0 comment #135 marked as "Accepted" but not implemented in draft

SuggestedRemedy

Underline "Measurement Use", and add an Editor's Note below Table 29 stating that the addition of a column can't be shown with underline/strikethrough.

Response

Response Status O

For LB103 purposes this comment is invalid because it is not based on changed text from D7.0 in LB96 to D7.0 in LB103. However, consideration will be made in Sponsor Ballot to satisfy this comment as documented in 11/253r7.

C/ 11k-D7. SC 7.3.2.22

P31

L 11

103035

"Marshall"

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

D6.0 comment #139 marked as "Accepted" but not implemented in draft

SuggestedRemedy

Underline "Measurement Use", and add an Editor's Note below Table 30 stating that the addition of a column can't be shown with underline/strikethrough.

Response

Response Status O

For LB103 purposes this comment is invalid because it is not based on changed text from D7.0 in LB96 to D7.0 in LB103. However, consideration will be made in Sponsor Ballot to satisfy this comment as documented in 11/253r7.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID # 103035

6/6/2007 8:32:13 PM

Submission Page 9 of 17 Joe Kwak, InterDigital

June 2007 doc.: IEEE 802.11k-07/1968r2 IEEE P802.11k D7.0 Conditional SB Report comments C/ 11k-D7. SC 7.3.2.22.8 P40 L 22 # 103036 C/ 11k-D7. SC 7.3.2.22.8 P41 L 23 # 103039 "Marshall" "Marshall" Comment Status R Comment Status R Comment Type ER Comment Type ER D6.0 comment #145 marked as "Accepted" but not implemented in draft D6.0 comment #147 marked as "Accepted" but not implemented in draft SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Make the change agreed by the TG Make the change agreed by the TG Response Response Response Status O Response Status O For LB103 purposes this comment is invalid because it is not based on changed text from For LB103 purposes this comment is invalid because it is not based on changed text from D7.0 in LB96 to D7.0 in LB103. However, consideration will be made in Sponsor Ballot to D7.0 in LB96 to D7.0 in LB103. However, consideration will be made in Sponsor Ballot to satisfy this comment as documented in 11/253r7. satisfy this comment as documented in 11/253r7. C/ 11k-D7. SC 7.3.2.22.8 P41 L1 # 103037 C/ 11k-D7. SC 7.3.2.22.8 P41 L 45 # 103040 "Marshall" "Marshall" Comment Type ER Comment Status R Comment Type TR Comment Status R D6.0 comment #146 marked as "Accepted" but not implemented in draft Normative statements don't belong in clause 7. SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Make the change agreed by the TG change "shall set" to "sets' Response Response Response Status O Response Status O For LB103 purposes this comment is invalid because it is not based on changed text from For LB103 purposes this comment is invalid because it is not based on changed text from D7.0 in LB96 to D7.0 in LB103. However, consideration will be made in Sponsor Ballot to D7.0 in LB96 to D7.0 in LB103. However, consideration will be made in Sponsor Ballot to satisfy this comment as documented in 11/253r7. satisfy this comment as documented in 11/253r7. C/ 11k-D7. SC 7.3.2.22.8 C/ 11k-D7. SC 7.3.2.22.8 P41 L 19 # 103038 P42 L 2 # 103041 "Marshall' "Marshall" Comment Type ER Comment Status R Comment Type ER Comment Status R D6.0 comment #148 marked as "Accepted" but not implemented in draft font wrong on this line SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy fix Make the change agreed by the TG Response Response Response Status O Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

For LB103 purposes this comment is invalid because it is not based on changed text from

D7.0 in LB96 to D7.0 in LB103. However, consideration will be made in Sponsor Ballot to

satisfy this comment as documented in 11/253r7.

Comment ID # 103041

For LB103 purposes this comment is invalid because it is not based on changed text from

D7.0 in LB96 to D7.0 in LB103. However, consideration will be made in Sponsor Ballot to

satisfy this comment as documented in 11/253r7.

6/6/2007 8:32:13 PM

Comment Type TR Comment Status R Normative statements don't belong in clause 7.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "shall have" to "have", and "shall be" to "are"

Response Response Status 0

For LB103 purposes this comment is invalid because it is not based on changed text from D7.0 in LB96 to D7.0 in LB103. However, consideration will be made in Sponsor Ballot to satisfy this comment as documented in 11/253r7.

C/ 11k-D7. SC 7.3.2.37 P48 L 44 # 103044

"Marshall'

Comment Type TR Comment Status R Normative statements don't belong in clause 7.

SuggestedRemedy

Change "shall be" to "are"

Response Response Status O

For LB103 purposes this comment is invalid because it is not based on changed text from D7.0 in LB96 to D7.0 in LB103. However, consideration will be made in Sponsor Ballot to satisfy this comment as documented in 11/253r7.

For LB103 purposes this comment is invalid because it is not based on changed text from D7.0 in LB96 to D7.0 in LB103. However, consideration will be made in Sponsor Ballot to

103046

"Marshall"

Comment Type TR Comment Status R Rows for "n" don't show the units of measurement

SuggestedRemedy

Add "us" for upper and lower bound on each

Response Status 0

For LB103 purposes this comment is invalid because it is not based on changed text from D7.0 in LB96 to D7.0 in LB103. However, consideration will be made in Sponsor Ballot to satisfy this comment as documented in 11/253r7.

C/ 11k-D7. SC 7.3.2.44 L37 # 103047 P 54

"Marshall"

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

bad cross reference

SuggestedRemedy

Should be "Figure 112n"

Response Response Status O

For LB103 purposes this comment is invalid because it is not based on changed text from D7.0 in LB96 to D7.0 in LB103. However, consideration will be made in Sponsor Ballot to satisfy this comment as documented in 11/253r7.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID # 103047

6/6/2007 8:32:13 PM

Submission Joe Kwak, InterDigital Page 11 of 17

Comment Type TR

SuggestedRemedy

Add "us" for upper and lower bound on each

Response Response Status 0

For LB103 purposes this comment is invalid because it is not based on changed text from D7.0 in LB96 to D7.0 in LB103. However, consideration will be made in Sponsor Ballot to satisfy this comment as documented in 11/253r7.

satisfy this comment as documented in 11/253r7.

Response Status O

For LB103 purposes this comment is invalid because it is not based on changed text from

D7.0 in LB96 to D7.0 in LB103. However, consideration will be made in Sponsor Ballot to

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID # 103053

6/6/2007 8:32:13 PM

Response

IEEE P802.11k D7.0 Conditional SB Report comments

C/ 11k-D7. SC 10.3.6.3.2

P**62**

L 38

103054

"Marshall"

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

Vendor Specific was deleted from the table

SuggestedRemedy

Would be acceptable to delete most of the table in 10.3.6.3.2, keeping only the new rows, and change the editing instruction to "Insert". But if the editing instruction is kept as "Change", then include the Vendor Specific line in the table as you did all the other existing lines. Similar change needed to 10.3.6.4.2, 10.3.7.3.2, 10.3.7.4.2, 10.3.12.1.2, 10.3.12.3.2, 10.3.14.1.2, 10.3.14.3.2.

Response

Response Status O

For LB103 purposes this comment is invalid because it is not based on changed text from D7.0 in LB96 to D7.0 in LB103. However, consideration will be made in Sponsor Ballot to satisfy this comment as documented in 11/253r7.

C/ 11k-D7. SC 10.3.12

P**69**

L 28

103055

"Marshall"

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

D6.0 comment #162 marked as "Accepted" but not implemented in draft

SuggestedRemedy

Make the change agreed by the TG

Response

Response Status 0

For LB103 purposes this comment is invalid because it is not based on changed text from D7.0 in LB96 to D7.0 in LB103. However, consideration will be made in Sponsor Ballot to satisfy this comment as documented in 11/253r7.

C/ 11k-D7. SC 10.3.12.1.2

P 69

L 36

103056

"Marshall"

Comment Type ER

Formatting is inconsistent with original document. Also, "Number of Repetitions" and

"Measurement Category" is new text and should be underlined.

SuggestedRemedy

as in comment

Response

Response Status O

Comment Status R

For LB103 purposes this comment is invalid because it is not based on changed text from D7.0 in LB96 to D7.0 in LB103. However, consideration will be made in Sponsor Ballot to satisfy this comment as documented in 11/253r7.

C/ 11k-D7. SC 10.3.12.3.2

P**70**

L 32

103057

"Marshall"

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

Formatting is inconsistent with original document. Also, "Number of Repetitions" and "Measurement Category" is new text and should be underlined.

SuggestedRemedy

as in comment

Response

Response Status O

For LB103 purposes this comment is invalid because it is not based on changed text from D7.0 in LB96 to D7.0 in LB103. However, consideration will be made in Sponsor Ballot to satisfy this comment as documented in 11/253r7.

C/ 11k-D7. SC 10.3.32.2.2

P 79

L 39

103058

"Marshall"

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

Editor instruction is "insert", so no underlining needed

SuggestedRemedy

Remove underlining under the comma

Response

Response Status O

For LB103 purposes this comment is invalid because it is not based on changed text from D7.0 in LB96 to D7.0 in LB103. However, consideration will be made in Sponsor Ballot to satisfy this comment as documented in 11/253r7.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID # 103058

6/6/2007 8:32:13 PM

Submission Page 13 of 17 Joe Kwak, InterDigital

June 2007 doc.: IEEE 802.11k-07/1968r2 IEEE P802.11k D7.0 Conditional SB Report comments C/ 11k-D7. SC 11.8 P84 L 15 # 103059 C/ 11k-D7. SC 17.5.4.2 P107 L 46 # 103062 "Marshall" "Marshall" Comment Status R Comment Status R Comment Type TR Comment Type ER With the change in lines 16-19, the text on line 15 is no longer introducing a list. **TableTable** SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Delete the text on line 15. Table Response Response Response Status O Response Status O For LB103 purposes this comment is invalid because it is not based on changed text from For LB103 purposes this comment is invalid because it is not based on changed text from D7.0 in LB96 to D7.0 in LB103. However, consideration will be made in Sponsor Ballot to D7.0 in LB96 to D7.0 in LB103. However, consideration will be made in Sponsor Ballot to satisfy this comment as documented in 11/253r7. satisfy this comment as documented in 11/253r7. C/ 11k-D7. SC 11.8 P84 L 21 # 103060 C/ 11k-D7. SC 18.3.5 P110 L 45 # 103063 "Marshall" "Marshall" Comment Type ER Comment Status R Comment Type ER Comment Status R New text should be underlined TableTable SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Underline this paragraph of new text Table Response Response Response Status O Response Status O For LB103 purposes this comment is invalid because it is not based on changed text from For LB103 purposes this comment is invalid because it is not based on changed text from D7.0 in LB96 to D7.0 in LB103. However, consideration will be made in Sponsor Ballot to D7.0 in LB96 to D7.0 in LB103. However, consideration will be made in Sponsor Ballot to satisfy this comment as documented in 11/253r7. satisfy this comment as documented in 11/253r7. # 103061 C/ 11k-D7. SC 17.2.3 P105 L 33 C/ 11k-D7. SC 18.4.4.2 P111 L 17 # 103064 "Marshall" "Marshall" Comment Type ER Comment Status R Comment Type ER Comment Status R TableTable TableTable SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Table Table Response Response Status O Response Response Status O For LB103 purposes this comment is invalid because it is not based on changed text from For LB103 purposes this comment is invalid because it is not based on changed text from D7.0 in LB96 to D7.0 in LB103. However, consideration will be made in Sponsor Ballot to D7.0 in LB96 to D7.0 in LB103. However, consideration will be made in Sponsor Ballot to

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

satisfy this comment as documented in 11/253r7.

Comment ID # 103064

satisfy this comment as documented in 11/253r7.

6/6/2007 8:32:13 PM

June 2007 doc.: IEEE 802.11k-07/1968r2 IEEE P802.11k D7.0 Conditional SB Report comments C/ 11k-D7. SC 19.2 P112 L 45 # 103065 C/ 11k-D7. SC Annex D P125 L 23 "Marshall" "Marshall" Comment Status R Comment Status R Comment Type ER Comment Type ER **TableTable** why the extraneous page break? SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Table delete the extraneous page break Response Response Response Status O Response Status O For LB103 purposes this comment is invalid because it is not based on changed text from For LB103 purposes this comment is invalid because it is not based on changed text from D7.0 in LB96 to D7.0 in LB103. However, consideration will be made in Sponsor Ballot to D7.0 in LB96 to D7.0 in LB103. However, consideration will be made in Sponsor Ballot to satisfy this comment as documented in 11/253r7. satisfy this comment as documented in 11/253r7. C/ 11k-D7. SC 19.9.4.2 P113 L8 # 103066 C/ 11k-D7. SC Annex D P169 L38 "Marshall" "Marshall" Comment Type ER Comment Status R Comment Type ER Comment Status R TableTable underlining of "dot11SMTbase7" is not correct. This is changing "dot11SMTbase6" to "dot11SMTbase7" SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Table remove underlining of "dot11SMTbase", show "6" with strikethrough, keep "7" underlined Response Response Status O Response Response Status O For LB103 purposes this comment is invalid because it is not based on changed text from For LB103 purposes this comment is invalid because it is not based on changed text from D7.0 in LB96 to D7.0 in LB103. However, consideration will be made in Sponsor Ballot to D7.0 in LB96 to D7.0 in LB103. However, consideration will be made in Sponsor Ballot to satisfy this comment as documented in 11/253r7. satisfy this comment as documented in 11/253r7. # 103067 C/ 11k-D7. SC 19.9.4.3 P113 L 18 C/ 11k-D7. SC Annex D P172 L 24 "Marshall' "Marshall" Comment Type ER Comment Status R Comment Type TR Comment Status R TableTable dot11Groups 35 is already in use, for dot11OFDMComplianceGroup2 SuggestedRemedy SuggestedRemedy Table Change this to dot11Groups 36, adjust the other dot11Groups (page 171 line 39, page 174

Response Response Status O

For LB103 purposes this comment is invalid because it is not based on changed text from D7.0 in LB96 to D7.0 in LB103. However, consideration will be made in Sponsor Ballot to satisfy this comment as documented in 11/253r7.

For LB103 purposes this comment is invalid because it is not based on changed text from D7.0 in LB96 to D7.0 in LB103. However, consideration will be made in Sponsor Ballot to satisfy this comment as documented in 11/253r7.

Response Status O

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID # 103070

6/6/2007 8:32:13 PM

103068

103069

103070

Response

line 26, and page 174 line 49)

IEEE P802.11k D7.0 Conditional SB Report comments

C/ 11k-D7. SC 7.3.2.22

P**30**

L 28

103071

"Chaplin"

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

"This comment is specifically about CID 14 of the comment resolution spreadsheet 11-07-0253-07-000k-4th-recirc-comment-resolution-worksheet.xls: the term ""shall be"" is normative, while ""is"" is not normative. Changing a term from ""shall be"" to ""is"" is changing the text from normative to non-normative. That sort of change is technical, and not just editorial. Thus, I strenously object to the group's arbritrary reclassification of my comment from ""Technical"" to ""Editorial"": the comment is clearly technical in nature, and calling it ""editorial"" doesn't change the fact that it's actually technical. Since this comment was indeed technical in nature, it should have been addressed in the last recirculation. Since it was not addressed then, it must be addressed now. "

SuggestedRemedy

Resolve CID 14 of the comment resolution spreadsheet 11-07-0253-07-000k-4th-recirc-comment-resolution-worksheet.xls

Response Status O

Response

"This comment is a repeat of editorial comment CID14 from LB96. This is not a new comment. Since the referenced LB96 comment is editorial, this LB103 comment is also reclassified as editorial. Section 7.0 contains normative frame format descriptions, and should not contain functional requirements. Shalls are editorially removed from section 7 for two reasons: 1) Intentional functional requirements using ""shall"" in section 7 are to be editorially moved to sections 9 or 11, as appropriate, 2) Unintentional (careless wording) use of ""shall"" in format descriptions are to be editorially replaced with the present tense, which does not modify the resulting format description. The instance of ""shall"" referenced by LB96 CID14 falls into the latter category. The referenced changed text is not a technical change since any implementation designed from the text before the change would interoperate (operate identically) to an implementation designed from the text after the change. Please review the referenced page and line text and the resolution for CID14 from LB96 as documented in 11/253r7."

C/ 11k-D7. SC 7.3.2.22

P30

L 46

103072

"Chaplin"

Comment Type ER

Comment Status R

"This comment is specifically about CID 15 of the comment resolution spreadsheet 11-07-0253-07-000k-4th-recirc-comment-resolution-worksheet.xls:the term ""shall be"" is normative, while ""is"" is not normative. Dropping a term ""shall be"" is changing the text from normative to non-normative. That sort of change is technical, and not just editorial. Thus, I strenously object to the group's arbritrary reclassification of my comment from ""Technical" to ""Editorial"": the comment is clearly technical in nature, and calling it ""editorial"" doesn't change the fact that it's actually technical. Since this comment was indeed technical in nature, it should have been addressed in the last recirculation. Since it was not addressed then, it must be addressed now. "

SuggestedRemedy

Resolve CID 15 of the comment resolution spreadsheet 11-07-0253-07-000k-4th-recirc-comment-resolution-worksheet.xls

Response Status O

"This comment is a repeat of editorial comment CID15 from LB96. This is not a new comment. Since the referenced LB96 comment is editorial, this LB103 comment is also reclassified as editorial. Section 7.0 contains normative frame format descriptions, and should not contain functional requirements. Shalls are editorially removed from section 7 for two reasons: 1) Intentional functional requirements using ""shall"" in section 7 are to be editorially moved to sections 9 or 11, as appropriate, 2) Unintentional (careless wording) use of ""shall"" in format descriptions are to be editorially replaced with the present tense, which does not modify the resulting format description. The instance of ""shall"" referenced by LB96 CID15 falls into the latter category. The referenced changed text is not a technical change since any implementation designed from the text before the change would interoperate (operate identically) to an implementation designed from the text after the change. Please review the referenced page and line text and the resolution for CID15 from LB96 as documented in 11/253r7."

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID # 103072

6/6/2007 8:32:14 PM

IEEE P802.11k D7.0 Conditional SB Report comments

C/ 11k-D7. SC A.4.17

P116

L 22

103073

"Nitsche"

Comment Type TR Comment Status R

The measurement of a Noise Histogram adds significant complexity to the PHY. There is still no evidence that this complexity is justified for improving network performance.

SuggestedRemedy

This is a repeat comment from LB83. Make the Noise Histogram optional in the PICS, similar as in 11h.

Response

Response Status O

For LB103 purposes this comment is invalid because it is not based on changed text from D7.0 in LB96 to D7.0 in LB103.

TYPE: TR/technical required ER/editorial required GR/general required T/technical E/editorial G/general COMMENT STATUS: D/dispatched A/accepted R/rejected RESPONSE STATUS: O/open W/written C/closed U/unsatisfied Z/withdrawn SORT ORDER: Comment ID

Comment ID # 103073

6/6/2007 8:32:14 PM