Generic Serial Convergence Function (GSCF)

Rev. 5: 2022-11-15: updated per discussions in 802.1 TSN Rev. 4: 2022-11-13: updated Slides 26 and 28 Rev. 3: 2022-09-14: updated Slide 21; added Slide 22 Rev. 2: 2022-08-31: added Slides 21-22; minor changes to Slides 23 & 27 Rev. 1: 2022-08-24: changes to Slide 17-22; new Slide 23; other minor changes Rev. 0: 2022-08-17

Roger Marks (EthAirNet Associates) roger@ethair.net

+1 802 capable

2022-11-15

Copyright policy note: "Q: Do I need permission if I am using IEEE standards for comparison or discussion only? A: IEEE SA Working Groups are permitted to present (i.e., display during a Working Group meeting) and review information from an approved IEEE standard."

• https://standards.ieee.org/faqs/copyrights/working-group-and-activity-chairs/

See also

- Ambiguity in the MAC Service
 - ^o Roger Marks, 2022-06-15
- <u>CSD Compatibility Criterion for Cut-Through</u> <u>Forwarding</u>
 - Roger Marks, 2022-06-22

802.3 NEA on CTF

- IEEE 802.3 NEA Conclusion from the joint NEA / 802.1 Nendica meetings on cut-through forwarding (CTF).
 - The IEEE 802.3 Ethernet Media Access Control (MAC) and MAC Client service interface specified in IEEE Std 802.3-2022 only supports store and forward operation and is unable to support cut-through operation. To provide cutthrough capability, a new definition of the IEEE 802.3 MAC is required.
 - Source: <u>IEEE 802.3 New Ethernet Applications (NEA) Ad</u> <u>Hoc Closing Report, 2022-07-15</u>

802.1 on CTF

- *IEEE 802.1 Conclusion from the joint NEA / 802.1 Nendica meetings on cut-through forwarding (CTF).*
 - No consensus on what supporting MAC an 802.1 Cut-Through Forwarding standard would use and, if needed, where to specify it.
 - Source: <u>Cut-Through Forwarding status update from Nendica</u> <u>perspective</u>

Architectural Model per 802.1Q



Architectural Model per 802.1Q - interface details



Architectural Model with GSCF



GSCF can look, to the ISS, just like every Media Access Method Dependent Convergence Function.

802.1Q §6.15 The ISS may be supported by other technologies that provide either an IEEE 802 MAC Service or an emulated IEEE 802 MAC Service. The technology is responsible for invoking an *M_UNITDATA.indication with appropriate parameters (IEEE Std 802.1AC) for each received frame, and transmitting a frame in response to each M_UNITDATA.request.*

802.3 generic Reconciliation Sublayer

See 802.3 §90:

 "Ethernet support for time synchronization protocols"

optional Time Synchronization Service Interface (TSSI)... can be used to support protocols that require knowledge of packet egress and ingress time

(gRS) is used to denote any IEEE 802.3 Reconciliation Sublayer (RS) used to interface a MAC with any PHY supporting the TimeSync capability "PLS" represents "Physical Signaling"

Figure 90–1—Relationship of the TimeSync Client, TSSI and gRS sublayer relative to MAC and MAC Client and associated interfaces

gRS to GSCF Interface: use PLS

- Specify the lower GSCF interface to match PLS interface.
- Then GSCF interfaces to gRS, or anything behaving the same at PLS interface.

Figure 90–1—Relationship of the TimeSync Client, TSSI and gRS sublayer relative to MAC and MAC Client and associated interfaces

Architectural Model with GSCF & PLS

All Ethernet LANs support the PLS interface. Other LANs might, in principle, also support "reconciliation" to PLS.

Building a GSCF: transmit

- On the transmit side, what CSCF functions are needed?
- Consider as an example the 802.3 full-duplex MAC transmit functions.

GSCF Transmit to LAN - schematic

Building a GSCF: receive

- On the receive side, what CSCF functions are needed?
- Consider as an example the 802.3 full-duplex MAC receive functions.

b) ReceiveFrame

GSCF Receive from LAN - schematic

Sufficient to support CTF?

- GSCF Receive transfers frame in M_UNITDATA.indication
 - assembles bitstring a bit at a time
 - identifies frame fields as they are completed
 - Does it matter to the standards if the indication to ISS is expressed as a sequence of frame fields and frame field octets? Seems not.
- GSCF Transmit transfers frame in M_UNITDATA.request
 - assembles bitstring an octet at a time
 - transmits bits while bitstring is loaded
 - Does it matter to the standards if the request to GSCF is expressed as a sequence of octets? Seems not.
- The sequential expression of M_UNITDATA.request and M_UNITDATA.indication appears consistent with the relevant existing standards (i.e. 802, 802.1Q, 802.1AC).
 - However, the sequential processes can also be formalized.

New GSCF/ISS Messages for CTF

- To more formally support CTF, could add primitives at the ISS/GSCF interface:
 - frame_on: TRUE when ISS is transferring frame octet data to GSCF
 - M_UNITDATA[octet].request(*byte*) transfers the octet *byte*
 - frame_on FALSE when sequence of frame octets ends
 - M_UNITDATA[da].indication, M_UNITDATA[sa].indication, and M_UNITDATA[msdu].indication transfer an octet *byte* of DA, SA, and FCS, respectively, from GSCF to ISS, along with an octet order identifier *byte#*
 - M_UNITDATA[fcs].indication transfers FCS *fcs* and FCS status *status* to ISS
 - *status* is true for valid FCS and false for invalid FCS

GSCF Transmit with CTF - schematic

GSCF Receive timing

- GSCF Receive needs to delay MSDU indication by at least *FCSsize*, so FCS data is not passed to ISS as MSDU data
 also essential if GSCF is responsible to validate FCS
- Additional delay is required GSCF is responsible to ensure a minimum frame size.
 - need to defer until receipt of the minimum-sized frame
 - allows bridge to proceed without checking for runt frames

GSCF Receive with CTF - schematic

Other M_UNITDATA Parameters (1/2)

- The material about refers to the M_UNITDATA parameters as DA, SA, MSDU, FCS.
- Per 802.1AC, this ignores other parameters: priority, drop_eligible, service_access_point_identifier, and connection_identifier.
 - Note: Of these, only priority can be passed in MA_UNITDATA.
- In 802.1AC's specification of the Ethernet convergence function:
 - in requests, "The priority, drop_eligible, service_access_point_identifier, and connection_identifier parameters are ignored"
 - in indications, drop_eligible is FALSE; priority is the default value of the SAP (default value 0); service_access_point_identifier and connection_identifier parameters are unspecified
- In 802.1AC's specification of the 802.11 convergence function:
 - drop_eligible, service_access_point_identifier, and connection_identifier parameters are ignored in requests and null or FALSE in indications

Other M_UNITDATA Parameters (2/2)

• 802.1AC:

- the service_access_point_identifier and connection_identifier are not parameters of the peer-to-peer service... are purely local
- there is a one-to-one association between service_access_point_identifier of a Bridge Port used by the MAC Relay Entity and the port number identifying that Bridge Port in management and control protocols
- connection_identifier can be null and is ignored by any specific MAC procedures except as explicitly specified in those procedures... used... for efficient support of a single SAP by a number of connections, i.e., by dynamically created connectivity associations between peer entities. For example, a Provider Instance Port (PIP)...
- 802.1Q:
 - If the frame is tagged, the value of the drop_eligible parameter and the received priority value are decoded from the tag header... Otherwise... The received priority value and the drop_eligible parameter value are the values in the M_UNITDATA.indication
 - service_access_point_identifier is mentioned only in regard to PIP; in that case, "The service_access_point_identifier parameter received in the M_UNITDATA.indication primitive is ignored."

802.3 MAC Merge

- Frame preemption might work as shown.
- 802.1Q describes how the ISS handles the dual eMAC and pMAC interfaces.
- 802.1Q describes how the ISS handles MM_CTL.request.
- Alternately, a more complex GSCF could encompass MAC Merge and interface with RS.

Figure 99–2—MAC Merge sublayer service interfaces diagram

Bridge Behavior

- Not all frames can be forwarded with CTF by bridge.
 - For example, may defer due to busy egress port.
 - In some scenarios, the bridge may be assigned to selectively cutthrough or store-and-forward frames based on specified characteristics.
 - This would need to be specified separately from GSCF.
- GSCF Receive and GSCF Transmit do not need to know how frame is forwarded; e.g. whether forwarded in deferred.
 - If the bridge receives
 M_UNITDATA[fcs].indication(FCS, 'invalid') before transmitting lenmin bits:
 - Drop and stop transmission; receiver will discard frame as a runt.
 - Otherwise, if the bridge receives
 M_UNITDATA[fcs].indication(FCS, 'invalid') before completing GSCF Transmit, then:
 - Stop transmission but add a stomped FCS covering transmission
 - transmitted frame will still be errored, but at least it will be shorter.

How Generic is GSCF?

• 802.3 needs

- interframe gap inserted on transmit
- 8 octets of preamble inserted on transit
 - technically, 7 of preamble plus 1-octet start frame delimiter (SFD)
 - need to separate SFD from preamble since
- lenmin, lenmax
- FCSsize
- GSCF is "Generic" to the extent that these parameters are customized to the LAN
 - Alternatively, a sublayer under the GSCF could be introduced.
 - This would add complexity to the description.
- If translation between LLC Encoding and Length/Type Encoding is necessary, it could be handled as an adaptation sublayer below the GSCF
- other custom scenarios could be included
 - e.g., exceptional bit ordering, postamble, etc.

Summary

- GSCF can be a basis for a CTF architecture
 may be useful without CTF
- GSCF can interwork with PLS interface
 - already supported by every 802.3 PHY
 - no amendment to 802.3 needed
 - non-Ethernet PHYs can adapt to it also