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Key messages regarding the data center:
- Packet loss leads to large delays.
- Congestion leads to packet loss.
- Conventional methods are problematic.

A Layer 3 network uses Layer 2 transport; action at Layer 2 can reduce congestion and thereby loss.

The paper is not specifying a “lossless” network but describing a few prospective methods to progress towards a lossless data center network in the future.

The report is open to comment and currently being revised.

Data centers stepping into the AI era

- **Virtualization Era**
  - Birth of DC
  - Service Hosting
  - Centralized Resource Management
  - Operational Savings
  - Resource Pooling
  - Increased Utilization
  - Infrastructure and Operational Savings

- **Cloud Era**
  - Elastic Scalability
  - Rapid provisioning of resources
  - Business Agility

- **AI Era**
  - Hyperscale Parallelization
  - Mine Data for Knowledge & Information
  - Realtime End-user Results

RDMA is an essential protocol for the AI era

TCP disadvantages
- Slow startup and low throughput
- Three copy operations, resulting in a long latency
- CPU consumed by traffic: 1 Hz per bit

RDMA advantages are more significant as link bandwidth increases (e.g. 400 Gbps)

RDMA advantages
- Fast startup, maximizing the bandwidth usage
- One copy operation, effectively reducing the kernel latency
- Zero CPU resources consumed upon network adapter uninstallation

- Traditionally deployed in custom, closed and expensive Infiniband networks
- Adapted to Ethernet networks for better scale, lower cost and manageability.
- Network innovation is preparing RDMA for wide scale use
Hyperscaling HPC/RDMA

Separate Networks
- Multiple O&M
- Multiple domains of expertise
- Different hardware, different lifecycles, multiple HBAs, NICs

The Single Network
- Reduce 30%+ calculation time, increase 20%+ storage throughput, improve application performance, reduce overall wiring complexity
- Reduce TCO by 30%, one technology, one network, multiple services, and unified O&M

Innovations have allowed Ethernet performance to be equivalent to Infiniband and Fibre Channel: Ethernet can replace Infiniband and Fibre Channel
## Next Generation DCN Needs

### Drivers for Change

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Before 2008</th>
<th>2008 to 2020</th>
<th>After 2020</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Traditional Ethernet Data Center</td>
<td>Converged Enhanced Ethernet Data Center (CEE)</td>
<td>Next Generation Ethernet Data Center</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Cost Reduction**
- **Storage Workloads**
- **Information Workloads (AI & OLDI)**

### Requirements

- **Low Cost**
- **Zero Packet Loss**
- **Large Scale**
- **Low Latency**
- **High Throughput**

### Key Protocols

- **TCP/IP**
- **TCP/IP + FCoE**
- **TCP/IP + NVMe-oF + RoCE**

### Key New Technologies

- **L2/L3 Ethernet Switching Silicon**
- **Priority Flow Control (PFC)**
- **Enhanced Transmission Selection (ETS)**
- **Quantized Congestion Notification (QCN)**
- **Scalable Solutions for no Packet Loss**
- **Solutions for Latency Reduction**
- **Load Balancing for High Throughput**
- **Solutions for Congestion Elimination**

### Bandwidth Evolution

- **Before 2008**: 10M/100M/1000M
- **2008 to 2020**: GE/10GE/40GE
- **After 2020**: 25GE/100GE/400GE
A Challenge for NextGen Network Storage

Network latency in HDD scenario:

- negligible
- Current latency: > 300 μs

Network latency in SSD scenario:

- bottleneck
- Latency target: < 50 μs

Media latency
Network latency
Other

Traditional NAS

- 85%
- 10%
- 5%

NVMe-oF

- 65%
- 25%
- 10%

Latency is a limiting factor to improving storage IOPS
The Key to Reducing Network Latency:
Focus on Dynamic Latency

Dynamic Latency = Queuing Delay + Packet Loss Delay

Network Latency Distribution

- Packet loss
- Queuing
- Switching
- Transmission
Congestion is the problem

Scaling HPC/RDMA can lead to Congestion which Leads to Loss which Leads to Unhappy End-users
Mitigating Congestion in the Ethernet DCN

- **Historical perspective (partial list)**
  - 802.3x – Pause (1997)
  - 802.1Qau – Congestion Notification (2010)
  - 802.1Qaz – Enhanced Transmission Selection (2011)
  - 802.1Qbb – Priority-based Flow Control (2011)
  - RFC 3168 - The Addition of Explicit Congestion Notification (ECN) to IP (2001)
  - RFC 5562 - Adding ECN Capability to TCP’s SYN/ACK Packets (2009)

- **Recent solutions (partial list)**
  - RoCEv2 - RDMA over Converged Ethernet v2 (2014)
  - DCQCN - Data Center Quantized Congestion Notification (2015)
  - RFC 8257 - Data Center TCP (DCTCP): TCP Congestion Control for Data Centers (2017)
  - 802.1Qcz – Congestion Isolation (expected in 2021)

**NOTE:** Many approaches reduce loss, but to eliminate loss, PFC is required...
Priority base Flow Control (PFC)

- IEEE 802.1Q Defines 8 Traffic Classes (aka Queues)
- Priority-based Flow Control ‘pauses’ individual traffic classes, while other classes continue
- Necessary for a ‘lossless’ environment
- Motivated to allow Ethernet to used in HPC/RDMA networks
The dark side of PFC

802.1Qbb - Priority-based Flow Control

Concerns with over-use

- Hard to configure lossless environment
- Head-of-Line blocking (HoLB)
- Congestion spreading
- Buffer Bloat, increasing latency
- Increased jitter reducing throughput
- **Deadlocks!**
How do PFC deadlocks form?

- Cyclic Buffer Dependency (CBD) is a necessary condition for deadlock formation
- Flow loop is a necessary condition for CBD

Example of PFC Deadlock

- ECMP load balanced flows across the Clos network
- Flows traverse ‘up’ from leaves to spines and ‘down’ from spines to leaves
Example of PFC Deadlock

- Link or node failures cause ECMP traffic to be re-distributed, increasing the probability of congestion points.
- Flows at leaves may now traverse from ‘uplink’ to ‘uplink’.
Example of PFC Deadlock

- PFC congestion spreading pushes back on ports that have looping flow dependencies.
Example of PFC Deadlock
Avoiding Deadlocks

- There are four necessary conditions for deadlock occurrence[1]. To prevent deadlocks, we must ensure that at least one of these conditions never holds [2].

- Years of research and many approaches, often related to deadlock free routing.

- The Ethernet legacy is that simple and scalable solutions prevail.

---

Project Background

- Initiated in November 2017
- Amendment to IEEE 802.1Q-2018 to Support the Isolation of Congested data flows within *Data Center Environments*, such as high-performance computing, AI/RDMA fabrics, and distributed storage networks.
- Motivation discussed in Nendica report of “802 Network Enhancements For the Next Decade”

- Two key technologies:
  - Congestion Isolation
  - Topology Recognition (via LLDP)

Project Status

July 2020 – Completing Working Group Ballots
Early 2021 - Anticipated publication
What is LLDP and how does it work?
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Information is packed into Type-Length-Value (TLV) objects
Topology Recognition via LLDP

Through the exchange of LLDP TLVs automatically determine:

1. Topology level of devices in network
   - 0 = End-station or server edge
   - 1 = Leaf
   - n+1 = Spine
2. Port orientation for each link
   - Uplink
   - Downlink
   - Crosslink

HINT: Servers are always at level 0 with uplinks.

Useful for:
- PFC deadlock prevention
- Resetting a changed DSCP or PCP
- Detecting incast vs in-network congestion
Topology Aware Forwarding Perspective
Topology Aware Forwarding Perspective
Deadlock free mechanism (Proactive)

- Identify a CBD breaking point and prevent PFC deadlock
- Consideration:
  - Although the traffic in a CLOS network has no loops, topology changes due to failure may cause rerouting which may form a CBD.
  - Determine if rerouted traffic creates a CBD by knowing topology level and port orientation.
  - Eliminate CBD by deploying independent resources for dependent flows (i.e. use a different priority queue).

- Recognize down-up reroute.
- Identify the CBD breaking point

- Example Queues 5&6 are lossless queues (Enable PFC)
- Leaf 2 judges the flow and enqueue to Queue6, modify the DSCP
- If PFC is triggered, it will be on separate queues.
Summary

• The lossless data center in the era of AI needs to scale to meet future demands

• Priority-based flow control is necessary for a lossless network, but creates issues such as Deadlock

• New standards are underway to enable simple and scalable solutions to PFC Deadlock

• All of this is part of the IEEE 802 Network Enhancements for the Next Decade Industry Connections Activity (NENDICA)

• Participation in NENDICA is free, open and welcomed to all.

• https://1.ieee802.org/802-nendica
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