



## 1 Trademarks and Disclaimers

2 *IEEE believes the information in this publication is accurate as of its publication date; such*  
3 *information is subject to change without notice. IEEE is not responsible for any inadvertent errors.*

### 5 Copyright © 2020 IEEE. All rights reserved.

7 IEEE owns the copyright to this Work in all forms of media. Copyright in the content retrieved, displayed or output  
8 from this Work is owned by IEEE and is protected by the copyright laws of the United States and by international  
9 treaties. IEEE reserves all rights not expressly granted.

11 IEEE is providing the Work to you at no charge. However, the Work is not to be considered within the “Public  
12 Domain,” as IEEE is, and at all times shall remain the sole copyright holder in the Work.

14 Except as allowed by the copyright laws of the United States of America or applicable international treaties, you  
15 may not further copy, prepare, and/or distribute copies of the Work, nor significant portions of the Work, in any  
16 form, without prior written permission from IEEE.

18 Requests for permission to reprint the Work, in whole or in part, or requests for a license to reproduce and/or  
19 distribute the Work, in any form, must be submitted via email to [stds-ipr@ieee.org](mailto:stds-ipr@ieee.org), or in writing to:

21 IEEE SA Licensing and Contracts  
22 445 Hoes Lane  
23 Piscataway, NJ 08854

Comments on this report are welcomed by Nendica: the IEEE 802 “Network Enhancements for the Next  
Decade” Industry Connections Activity: <<https://1.ieee802.org/802-nendica>>  
Comment submission instructions are available at: <<https://1.ieee802.org/802-nendica/nendica-sfi>>  
Comments will be considered for a future revision of this document and may stimulate the development of  
a new revision.

24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47

---

*The Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc.*  
*3 Park Avenue, New York, NY 10016-5997, USA*

*Copyright © 2020 by The Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc.*  
*All rights reserved. Published [Month Year]. Printed in the United States of America.*

*IEEE and 802 are registered trademarks in the U.S. Patent & Trademark Office, owned by The Institute of Electrical and  
Electronics Engineers, Incorporated.*

PDF: ISBN [tbd]

*IEEE prohibits discrimination, harassment, and bullying. For more information, visit*  
<http://www.ieee.org/web/aboutus/whatis/policies/p9-26.html>.

*No part of this publication may be reproduced in any form, in an electronic retrieval system, or otherwise, without the prior  
written permission of the publisher.*

*To order IEEE Press Publications, call 1-800-678-IEEE.*  
*Find IEEE standards and standards-related product listings at: <http://standards.ieee.org>*

## 1 NOTICE AND DISCLAIMER OF LIABILITY CONCERNING THE USE OF IEEE SA 2 INDUSTRY CONNECTIONS DOCUMENTS

3  
4 This IEEE Standards Association (“IEEE SA”) Industry Connections publication (“Work”) is not a consensus  
5 standard document. Specifically, this document is NOT AN IEEE STANDARD. Information contained in this  
6 Work has been created by, or obtained from, sources believed to be reliable, and reviewed by members of  
7 the IEEE SA Industry Connections activity that produced this Work. IEEE and the IEEE SA Industry  
8 Connections activity members expressly disclaim all warranties (express, implied, and statutory) related to  
9 this Work, including, but not limited to, the warranties of: merchantability; fitness for a particular purpose;  
10 non-infringement; quality, accuracy, effectiveness, currency, or completeness of the Work or content  
11 within the Work. In addition, IEEE and the IEEE SA Industry Connections activity members disclaim any and  
12 all conditions relating to: results; and workmanlike effort. This IEEE SA Industry Connections document is  
13 supplied “AS IS” and “WITH ALL FAULTS.”

14  
15 Although the IEEE SA Industry Connections activity members who have created this Work believe that the  
16 information and guidance given in this Work serve as an enhancement to users, all persons must rely upon  
17 their own skill and judgment when making use of it. IN NO EVENT SHALL IEEE OR IEEE SA INDUSTRY  
18 CONNECTIONS ACTIVITY MEMBERS BE LIABLE FOR ANY ERRORS OR OMISSIONS OR DIRECT, INDIRECT,  
19 INCIDENTAL, SPECIAL, EXEMPLARY, OR CONSEQUENTIAL DAMAGES (INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO:  
20 PROCUREMENT OF SUBSTITUTE GOODS OR SERVICES; LOSS OF USE, DATA, OR PROFITS; OR BUSINESS  
21 INTERRUPTION) HOWEVER CAUSED AND ON ANY THEORY OF LIABILITY, WHETHER IN CONTRACT, STRICT  
22 LIABILITY, OR TORT (INCLUDING NEGLIGENCE OR OTHERWISE) ARISING IN ANY WAY OUT OF THE USE OF  
23 THIS WORK, EVEN IF ADVISED OF THE POSSIBILITY OF SUCH DAMAGE AND REGARDLESS OF WHETHER SUCH  
24 DAMAGE WAS FORESEEABLE.

25  
26 Further, information contained in this Work may be protected by intellectual property rights held by third  
27 parties or organizations, and the use of this information may require the user to negotiate with any such  
28 rights holders in order to legally acquire the rights to do so, and such rights holders may refuse to grant  
29 such rights. Attention is also called to the possibility that implementation of any or all of this Work may  
30 require use of subject matter covered by patent rights. By publication of this Work, no position is taken by  
31 the IEEE with respect to the existence or validity of any patent rights in connection therewith. The IEEE is  
32 not responsible for identifying patent rights for which a license may be required, or for conducting inquiries  
33 into the legal validity or scope of patents claims. Users are expressly advised that determination of the  
34 validity of any patent rights, and the risk of infringement of such rights, is entirely their own responsibility.  
35 No commitment to grant licenses under patent rights on a reasonable or non-discriminatory basis has been  
36 sought or received from any rights holder. The policies and procedures under which this document was  
37 created can be viewed at <http://standards.ieee.org/about/sasb/iccom/>.

38  
39 This Work is published with the understanding that IEEE and the IEEE SA Industry Connections activity  
40 members are supplying information through this Work, not attempting to render engineering or other  
41 professional services. If such services are required, the assistance of an appropriate professional should be  
42 sought. IEEE is not responsible for the statements and opinions advanced in this Work.

1	<b>Table of Contents</b>	
2		
3	<b>SCOPE</b>	<b>5</b>
4	<b>PURPOSE</b>	<b>5</b>
5	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>6</b>
6	<b>NETWORK STREAMS AND FLOWS</b>	<b>6</b>
7	<b>USES FOR STREAMS AND FLOWS</b>	<b>7</b>
8	<b>STREAM AND FLOW CONCEPTS IN STANDARDS</b>	<b>7</b>
9	<b>IEEE 802.1 Standards</b>	<b>7</b>
10	IEEE Std 802.1Q Flow Identifier for Congestion Notification	7
11	IEEE Std 802.1Q Flow Hash for Equal Cost Multipath	7
12	IEEE Std 802.1Q stream_handle for Flow Classification and Metering	7
13	IEEE Std 802.1Q Multiple Stream Registration Protocol (MSRP)	8
14	<b>IEEE Std 1722 Stream ID</b>	<b>8</b>
15	<b>STREAM AND FLOW IDENTIFICATION</b>	<b>11</b>
16	IEEE 802.1Q VLANs	11
17	<b>STREAM AND FLOW CHARACTERIZATION</b>	<b>11</b>
18	<b>CHARACTERISTICS OF STREAM AND FLOW CONCEPTS IN SPECIFIC NETWORKS</b>	<b>12</b>
19	<b>INTERWORKING OF STREAMS AND FLOWS</b>	<b>15</b>
20	<b>STANDARDIZATION IMPLICATIONS</b>	<b>15</b>
21	<b>CONCLUSION</b>	<b>15</b>
22	<b>CITATIONS</b>	<b>16</b>
23		
24		

## 1 **Scope**

2 The scope of this report is the characterization, from a unified perspective, of network streams  
3 and flows in IEEE 802 networks, and in some networks that are typically connected to IEEE 802  
4 networks, including an assessment of the process of interworking flows and streams across  
5 network boundaries and the feasibility and value of such interworking in achieving end-to-end  
6 flow management and QoS. Standardization implications are identified.

## 7 **Purpose**

8 By taking a unified perspective toward the assessment of network streams and flows, this  
9 document is intended to encourage a common understanding of concepts that are largely  
10 considered from a unique perspective in each network technology. That unified perspective is  
11 intended to motivate efforts to consider procedures to interwork streams and flows across  
12 network boundaries, so that QoS characteristics that are managed within a single network by  
13 stream and flow control can be joined across network boundaries, allowing a level of end-to-end  
14 QoS control. The intention is not to specify interworking protocols but ideally to identify particular  
15 network pairs that can benefit from stream and flow interworking, assess the feasibility of such  
16 interworking in those cases, identify gaps preventing interworking, and identify standardization  
17 activities that would promote successful interworking.

## 1 Introduction

2

3 This document has been developed within the IEEE 802 IEEE 802 “Network Enhancements for the  
4 Next Decade” Industry Connections Activity (Nendica) in accordance with the Nendica Work Item  
5 on “Network Stream and Flow Interworking” **Error! Reference source not found.**<sup>1</sup>).

## 6 Network Streams and Flows

7 Network traffic is increasingly managed as a set of streams or flows rather than series of frames or  
8 packets. IEEE 802 networks have developed and utilized flow concepts; e.g.:

- 9 • IEEE 802.11 Parameterized Traffic Streams (TSs)
- 10 • IEEE 802.1 TSN Streams for time-sensitive networking (various standards)
- 11 • IEEE Std 1722 Audio/Video Transport Protocol (AVTP) streams
- 12 • IEEE 802.1Qcz Congestion isolation
- 13 • IEEE 802.16 Service Flows for all traffic
- 14 • IEEE 802.15.4 use of Guaranteed Time Slots

15

16 Non-802 networks have developed and utilized flow concepts:

- 17 • MEF Carrier Ethernet Virtual Connections
- 18 • IETF DetNet
- 19 • IETF RAW
- 20 • Software-Defined Networking, including OpenFlow
- 21 • DOCSIS Service Flows
- 22 • 3GPP Bearers
- 23 • IP flows (DSCP; IPV6 flow identifier)
- 24 • other

25 While these network streams and flows can be characterized by various parameters and are  
26 qualitatively different concepts in different networks, some characteristics are common. Here we  
27 attempt to generalize the concept of a stream or flow into a definition suitable for use in this  
28 report...

29

---

<sup>1</sup>Information on references can be found at the end of the document in the Citations section.

# 1 Uses for Streams and Flows

2 Stream and flows can be used for:  
3

## 4 Stream and Flow Concepts in Standards

5

### 6 IEEE 802.1 Standards

7 The words “flow” and “stream” are used in IEEE Std 802.1 standards in several contexts, some of  
8 which are only loosely related to others.

#### 9 IEEE Std 802.1Q Flow Identifier for Congestion Notification

10 IEEE Std 802.1Q discusses flows in the context of a “Flow Identifier” used in Congestion  
11 Notification (CN). A congestion-aware end station labels frames of the flow with a Flow Identifier  
12 (Flow ID) within a CN-TAG attached to the frame. When a Congestion Point identifies congestion  
13 due to a particular flow, based on the Flow ID, it may notify a Reaction Point of congestion due to  
14 the particular flow, with reference to the congesting Flow ID. This mechanism reduces the need  
15 for frames to be repeatedly classified.

16  
17 The Flow ID is two bytes in length. Its meaning and encoding are not specified in IEEE Std 802.1Q.

#### 18 IEEE Std 802.1Q Flow Hash for Equal Cost Multipath

19 IEEE Std 802.1Q also discusses flows in the context of “flow filtering.” Per subclause 44.2, flow  
20 filtering “enables Bridges to distinguish frames belonging to different client flows and to use this  
21 information in the forwarding process.” Such flows are used when Shortest Path Bridging operates  
22 in conjunction with Equal Cost Multiple Path (ECMP), which spreads traffic across multiple paths.  
23 In order to prevent problematic frame reordering, frames within an identified flow are  
24 constrained to a single such path. During operation, frames are classified into a flow and identified  
25 with a flow filtering tag (F-TAG) containing a flow hash value. Transmission order is maintained for  
26 all frames from a source to a destination with the same flow hash value. The “flow\_hash”  
27 parameter is passed among the Enhanced Internal Sublayer Service (EISS) service primitives along  
28 with the frame. It appears that the flow hash is not used for other purposes in IEEE Std 802.1Q.

#### 29 IEEE Std 802.1Q stream\_handle for Flow Classification and Metering

30  
31 IEEE Std 802.1Q also discusses flows in the context of “flow classification and metering.” Per  
32 subclause 8.6.5, flow classification “identifies a subset of traffic (frames) that may be subject to  
33 the same treatment in terms of metering and forwarding.” Frames classified to a flow are subject  
34 to a flow meter specified for that flow. Classification rules may be based on destination MAC  
35 address, source MAC address, VID, priority, and connection\_identifier (the last one only for  
36 bridges that support Per-Stream Filtering and Policing (PSFP)). It appears that the flow\_hash is not  
37 considered in classification.

1  
2 PSFP uses the term “stream” rather than flow. IEEE Std 802.1Q does not define “flow” and defines  
3 a stream as “a unidirectional flow of data (e.g., audio and/or video) from a Talker to one or more  
4 Listeners.” Support of PSFP requires use of the stream\_handle provided by the stream  
5 identification function of IEEE Std 802.1CB. PSFP supports differentiated queuing, as well as  
6 filtering and policing, based on the stream\_handle and priority parameters of the frame. The  
7 stream\_handle is considered a sub-parameter of the connection\_identifier parameter and thereby  
8 passes as an EISS service primitive.  
9

10 IEEE Std 802.1Q in some cases refer to Stream ID and “stream identifier” but appears to include no  
11 formal or informal definition of either. IEEE Std 802.1CB (in subclause 7.2, “Use of the term  
12 *Stream*”) says that “IEEE Std 802.1Q defines a StreamID that is used to identify a stream between  
13 a Talker and one or more Listener(s). In contrast, the present standard defines a stream\_handle  
14 subparameter that is used internally to identify a Stream.”  
15

16 IEEE Std 802.1Q defines StreamID as “a 64-bit field that uniquely identifies a stream” (comprising  
17 an EUI-48 MAC Address associated with the stream source [which “can, but does not necessarily,  
18 have the same value as the source\_address parameter of any frame in the actual data stream”]  
19 and a 16-bit Unique ID used to distinguish among multiple streams from source). StreamID is  
20 used in the Stream Reservation Protocol (SRP).  
21

### 22 **IEEE Std 802.1Q Multiple Stream Registration Protocol (MSRP)**

23 IEEE Std 802.1Q discusses streams and StreamID in the context of “Multiple Stream Registration  
24 Protocol (MSRP),” which is used by Stream Reservation Protocol (SRP). MSRP “provides end  
25 stations with the ability to reserve network resources that will guarantee the transmission and  
26 reception of data streams across a network with the requested QoS. These end stations are  
27 referred to as Talkers (devices that produce data streams) and Listeners (devices that consume  
28 data streams).” Bridges “associate Talker and Listener attributes via the StreamID present in each  
29 of those attributes.”

### 30 **IEEE Std 1722 StreamID and Multicast Destination Address**

31 IEEE Std 1722 (“IEEE Standard for a Transport Protocol for Time-Sensitive Applications in Bridged  
32 Local Area Networks”) uses “Stream ID” and “StreamID” as the 64-bit StreamID parameter of  
33 subclause 35.2.2.8.2 of IEEE Std 802.1Q, comprising an EUI-48 followed by a Unique ID. The  
34 content of the EUI-48 appears not to be specified beyond the requirement of IEEE Std 802.1Q that  
35 it be associated with the source. The StreamID used for stream identification, is said to uniquely  
36 identify a stream, and is carried in the Audio/Video Transport Protocol (AVTP) header of every  
37 AVTP frame carrying stream data.  
38

39 IEEE Std 1722 includes an informative (non-normative) Annex C on “IEEE 802.3 Media-specific  
40 encapsulation” which is provided “to aid in the understanding of the relationship of Audio/Video  
41 Transport Protocol (AVTP) to the overall Ethernet protocol stack.” Annex C indicates that, in the  
42 case of SRP reserved frames, the VLAN identifier “is not to be used as a stream identifier in any  
43 way.” Annex C also indicates that the Ethernet source address is the sender’s unicast MAC address  
44 and that, in the case of SRP reserved frames, the Ethernet destination address “of each stream will  
45 be unique for the layer 2 network and may either be a locally administered unicast address or a

1 multicast address (as defined in SRP).” Furthermore, “Multicast addresses may be assigned by use  
2 of MAAP defined in Annex B. The use of MAAP allows for multicast addresses to be unique per  
3 AVTP stream.”

4  
5 A block of multicast addresses is reserved for use; these are distributed using the MAC Address  
6 Acquisition Protocol (MAAP), as specified in (normative) Annex B of IEEE Std 1722.

7  
8 Annex C, though non-normative, indicates that an assigned multicast address is used as IEEE 802  
9 Destination Address and that “use of MAAP allows for multicast addresses to be unique per AVTP  
10 stream.” On the other hand, the 64-bit StreamID, which is carried in the AVTP protocol header of  
11 stream data and uniquely identifies the stream, is built from an EUI-48 that is associated with the  
12 source and may be a multicast address. Therefore, it appears permissible, though not mandatory,  
13 to assign the multicast address, which seems to be unique per AVTP stream, as the base of the 64-  
14 bit StreamID. However, in that case, it appears that only one 64-bit StreamID may be constructed  
15 from such an EUI-48; otherwise, multiple StreamIDs would identify multiple streams all associated  
16 with the same Destination Address, leading to an apparent contradiction, depending perhaps on  
17 the interpretation of “MAAP allows for multicast addresses to be unique per AVTP stream.”

18  
19 Based on this review, it appears that the intention of IEEE Std 1722 is:

- 20 • the SRP StreamID is built from the Talker’s EUI-48 along with a Unique ID and uniquely  
21 specifies the stream,
- 22 • the MAAP-assigned multicast address is linked to a Talker and a set of Listeners,
- 23 • while a single multicast address could in principle support multiple streams, all of which are  
24 linked to the same Talker and the same set of Listeners, it is possible for each such stream  
25 to be assigned to a different multicast address, and it appears that the intention is for them  
26 to be assigned unique multicast addresses

27 It therefore appears that the MAAP-assigned multicast address functions, or at least can function,  
28 as a stream identifier exposed to Layer 2.

## 29 **IEEE Std 802.11 Streams**

30 IEEE Std 802.11 (“IEEE Standard for Information Technology—Local and Metropolitan Area  
31 Networks—Specific Requirements Part 11: Wireless LAN MAC and PHY Specifications”) supports  
32 several stream concepts.

33  
34 The Traffic Stream (TS) concept was introduced along with HCCA (hybrid coordination function  
35 controlled channel access) in IEEE 802.11e-2005. HCCA, while little used historically, is a  
36 centralized, polling-based scheme specified to provide transmission scheduling authority to the  
37 access point (AP), offering controlled QoS. The TS is a preconfigured parameterized QoS flow,  
38 characterized by a traffic specification (TSPEC) that specifies the QoS requirements of the flow.  
39 The standard provides for eight traffic streams per link, per direction, identified by one of eight  
40 traffic stream identifier (TSID) values. Flexible traffic classification (TCLAS) is used to classify  
41 frames to a TSID.

42  
43 Stations may be specified to support the “stream classification service” (SCS). Using SCS, an AP  
44 classifies incoming unicast frames based upon Layer 2 and/or Layer 3 parameters, as specified by

1 the terminal. The SCS allows the priority, drop eligibility, and transmit queue to be selected for all  
2 frames matching the classification.

3

4 Interworking with the IEEE 802.1Q Stream Reservation Protocol (SRP) may be supported, enabling  
5 end-to-end SRP reservations when a IEEE 802.11 link forms part of a path from Talker to Listener.  
6 In this case, SRP is integrated with the 802.11 functionality for adding a TS. When a station  
7 supports “Robust AV Streaming,” it can make a Higher Layer QoS Reservation Request to the AP,  
8 including a Higher Layer Stream ID that identifies the stream, as specified by SRP.

9

10 Manufactured IEEE 802.16 devices do not support HCCA but instead use enhanced distributed  
11 channel access (EDCA) for distributed probabilistic QoS management. EDCA manages not frame  
12 flows in Traffic Streams but instead single frames assigned to an Access Category reflecting a User  
13 Priority.

14

## 1 **Stream and Flow identification**

2 Stream and flows can be identified by:

3

### 4 **IEEE 802.1Q VLANs**

5 IEEE Std 802.1Q VLANs and VIDs.

6

7

## 8 **Stream and Flow Characterization**

9 Stream and flows can be characterized by parameters including:

- 10 • Specification document
- 11 • Network architecture
- 12 • Conditions
- 13 • Addressing
- 14 • End station
- 15 • Control
- 16 • Flow-sensitive elements
- 17 • Flow name
- 18 • Flow identification
- 19 • Flow quantity
- 20 • Flow descriptor
- 21 • Flow addition process
- 22 • Flow deletion process
- 23 • Flow change process
- 24 • Flow QoS properties
- 25 • Frame classification
- 26 • Request/grant system and polling services
- 27 • Admission control
- 28 • Interworking

29

# 1 Characteristics of Stream and Flow Concepts in 2 Specific Networks

3

4

## IEEE 802.11 Traffic Streams

5

Characteristic	IEEE 802.11 Traffic Streams	notes
Specification document	IEEE Std 802.11	
Sub-specification	HCCA	
Network architecture	Shared medium Point-to-Multipoint	HCCA is centralized
Conditions	Operates under CSMA/CA	Scheduling is by an AP within its BSS; not guaranteed in the presence of non-HCCA devices, or another HCCA BSS
Addressing	802 unicast	
End station	Non-AP STA	
Control	Access point (AP) scheduler	
Flow-sensitive elements	AP	
Flow name	parameterized traffic stream (TS)	
Flow identification	traffic stream identifier (TSID)	
Flow quantity	8 (3 bits)	per connection (AP+STA), per direction
Flow descriptor	traffic specification (TSPEC)	
Flow addition process	add traffic stream (ADDTS)	
Flow deletion process	delete traffic stream (DELTS)	
Flow change process	[none]	
Flow QoS properties	Nominal MSDU Size, Maximum MSDU Size, Minimum Service Interval, Maximum Service Interval, Inactivity Interval, Suspension Interval, Service Start Time, Minimum Data Rate, Mean Data Rate, Peak Data Rate, Burst Size, Delay Bound, Minimum PHY Rate, Surplus Bandwidth Allowance, Medium Time	9.4.2.29
Frame classification	stream classification service (SCS)?	11.26
Request/grant system and polling services	Polled TXOP Buffer status report (BSR) [P802.11ax]	10.23.3.3
Admission control	yes	
Interworking	R.3 QoS mapping guidelines for interworking with external networks	

6

7

8

## 1 MEF Carrier Ethernet – Ethernet Virtual Connections

2

Characteristic	MEF Carrier Ethernet	notes
Specification document	MEF 6.3	and other MEF specs
Sub-specification	EVC: Ethernet Virtual Private Line (EVPL) Ethernet Virtual Private LAN (EVP-LAN) Ethernet Virtual Private Tree (EVP-Tree)	port-based services are not included here
Network architecture	Shared medium EVC Type: Point-to-Point, Multipoint-to-Multipoint, or Rooted-Multipoint	
Conditions		
Addressing	IEEE 802 48-bit address	
End station	Ethernet connected at port (UNI)	
Control		
Flow-sensitive elements	bridges or other operator elements	
Flow name	service	
Flow identification	Customer-Edge VLAN ID	
Flow quantity	4094 (12 bits)	
Flow descriptor	Service attributes	
Flow addition process	manual (historically)	may be automated per MEF Lifecycle Service Orchestration (LSO)
Flow deletion process	manual (historically)	may be automated per MEF Lifecycle Service Orchestration (LSO)
Flow change process	manual (historically)	may be automated per MEF Lifecycle Service Orchestration (LSO)
Flow QoS properties	many	
Frame classification	unspecified	
Request/grant system and polling services	None; full-duplex system, reservation-based	
Admission control	Yes	
Interworking	unspecified	

3

4

1 **DOCSIS Service Flows**

2

Characteristic	DOCSIS	notes
Specification document	DOCSIS 4.0 MAC and Upper Layer Protocols Interface Specification	key features date to DOCSIS 1.1
Sub-specification		
Network architecture	point-to-multipoint	
Conditions		
Addressing	IEEE 802 48-bit address	
End station	cable modem (CM)	
Control	cable modem termination system (CMTS)	
Flow-sensitive elements	CMTS and CM	
Flow name	service flow	unidirectional
Flow identification	service identifier (SID)	Service flows are identified by SFID and described by QoS parameters. Active service flows are assigned an SID.
Flow quantity	SID 14 bits	SFID is 32 bits
Flow descriptor	QoS Parameter Set	
Flow addition process	Dynamic Service Addition	
Flow deletion process	Dynamic Service Deletion	
Flow change process	Dynamic Service Change	
Flow QoS properties	Traffic Priority, Maximum Sustained Traffic Rate, Maximum Traffic Burst, Minimum Reserved Traffic Rate, etc.	
Frame classification	Upstream and Downstream Classifiers; Payload Header Suppression Rules;	
Request/grant system and polling services	Upstream Service Flow Scheduling Services, including Unsolicited Grant Service (UGS), Real-Time Polling Service (rtPS), Unsolicited Grant Service with Activity Detection (UGS-AD), Non-Real-Time Polling Service (nrtPS) and Best Effort (BE) service	
Admission control	yes	
Interworking		

3

4

# 1 **Interworking of Streams and Flows**

- 2 1. Value of interworking
- 3 2. Feasibility of interworking
- 4 3. Network combinations of practical interest

# 5 **Standardization Implications**

- 6 Suggestions for standardization

# 7 **Conclusion**

- 8 Conclusions regarding network stream and flow interworking

9

## Citations

- 1 [1] "IEEE 802 Nendica Work Item Proposal: Network Stream and Flow Interworking," 2020-  
2 02-20  
3 <https://mentor.ieee.org/802.1/dcn/1-20-0004-04-ICne.pdf>
- 4 [2] Marks, Roger; de la Oliva, Antonio; and Wuesteney, Lukas, "Deterministic WLAN: A  
5 problem of scheduling and identifiers" 2019-11-11  
6 <https://mentor.ieee.org/802.1/dcn/1Parta>
- 7 [3] Marks, Roger, "Examples of Stream and Flow Characterization" 2020-04-23  
8 <https://mentor.ieee.org/802.1/dcn/1-20-0028-01-ICne.docx>
- 9 [4] IEEE 802.1 Time-Sensitive Networking (TSN) Task Group webpage,  
10 <https://1.ieee802.org/tsn>
- 11 [5] P802.11ax ("Enhancements for High Efficiency WLAN", draft)  
12 [https://standards.ieee.org/project/802\\_11ax.html](https://standards.ieee.org/project/802_11ax.html)
- 13 [6] P802.11be ("Enhancements for Extremely High Throughput", pre-draft)  
14 [https://standards.ieee.org/project/802\\_11be.html](https://standards.ieee.org/project/802_11be.html)
- 15 [7] IEEE Std 802.1Q-2018 (Revision of IEEE Std 802.1Q-2011), IEEE Standard for Local and  
16 metropolitan area networks—Bridges and Bridged Networks, July 2019,  
17 <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8686439>
- 18 [8] IEEE Std 802.1CF-2019, IEEE Recommended Practice for Network Reference Model and  
19 Functional Description of IEEE 802® Access Network, May 2019,  
20 <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8726453>
- 21 [9] IEEE Std 1722-2016, IEEE Standard for a Transport Protocol for Time-Sensitive Applications  
22 in Bridged Local Area Networks, Dec. 2016,  
23 <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/7782716>
- 24 [10] IEEE Std 802.11, IEEE Standard for Information Technology—Local and Metropolitan Area  
25 Networks—Specific Requirements Part 11: Wireless LAN MAC and PHY Specifications,  
26 2016, <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/7786995>
- 27  
28  
29  
30