Experience with Annex 172A shows us how valuable it is. But more complexity follows:
twice "Mux and 10-bit symbol distribution" as in 119.2.4.8 Figure 119-11 (with an order
reversal that doesn't seem to be mentioned in the text), then 32:8 bit mux as in 173.5.2.1
where the two flows get interleaved, which is a new thing and worth an example.

**Suggested Remedy**
Show some of the 16+16-lane output of the PCS for these cxA and cxB. It may be enough
to show e.g. the beginnings of lanes 1 and 31, enough to include some differences
between four codewords.
Also show some of the 8-lane output of an 32:8 bit mux from that (which could go in a
NOTE in 173). Again, showing a couple of lanes would be enough to resolve most or all
misinterpretations or ambiguities. Add a cross-reference from here.
If only a few hundred bits are needed, it could go in text. But if a more complete example is
preferred, tables could be added and plain-text equivalents uploaded.

**Response**

REJECT.

The example patterns are provided to help the implementer confirm correct interpretation of
the encoding functionality which is complex.

Figure 119-11 provides sufficient guidance to correctly implement "Mux and 10-bit symbol
distribution". Therefore adding the suggested additional patterns is not necessary.

There is no consensus to make the proposed changes.