
802.1 consent agenda items for LMSC Closing Plenary

July 2023

(V4 – 802.1 version #)

Agenda

- Industry Connections
 - 5.xxx – Nendica ICAID extension
- Drafts to SA Ballot
 - 5.xxx – P802.1ASdr
 - 5.xxx – P802.1CS/cor1
 - 5.xxx – P802.1ASdn
 - 5.xxx – P802.1DC
- Drafts to RevCom
 - 5.xxx – P802.1Qcj
 - 5.xxx – P802.1Qcw
 - 5.xxx – P802f
- PARs to NesCom
 - 5.xxx – P802.1DG
 - 5.xxx – P802.1Qdj
 - 5.xxx – P60802
 - 5.xxx – P802.1Qdy

Agenda

- Liaisons and external communications (ME)
 - 7.xxx – Approve liaison of drafts for information to ISO/IEC JTC1/SC6 under the PSDO agreement
 - 7.xxx – Approve liaison of drafts for adoption to ISO/IEC JTC1/SC6 under the PSDO agreement
 - 7.xxx – Approve responses to ballot comments received from SC6 on FDIS and CIB ballots
- Liaisons and external communications (II)
 - 7.xxx – Approve 802.1 communication to ITU-T JCA IMT 2020
 - 7.xxx – Approve 802.1 communication to ITU-T SG15
 - 7.xxx – Approve 802.1 communication to ITU-T SG13
 - 7.xxx – Approve 802.1 communication with BBF
 - 7.xxx – Approve 802.1 communication with 1588 WG
 - 7.xxx – Approve 802.1 communication with 3400 WG
 - 7.xxx – Approve 802.1 communication with LNI 4.0

802.1 Motions

2023-07

Consent Agenda

ICAID to ICCom

Motion – 5.0xx

- Forward Nendica ICAID Renewal
<https://mentor.ieee.org/802.1/dcn/23/1-23-0004-06-ICne-draft-nendica-icaid-renewal-to-september-2025.docx>,
including Nendica ICom Report
https://mentor.ieee.org/802.1/documents?is_group=ICne&is_year=2023&is_dcn=0007 for information, to ICom
- In the WG, Proposed: Jessy Rouyer Second: Norm Finn
 - (y/n/a): <y>, <n>, <a>
- In EC, mover: Glenn Parsons Second: David Law
 - (y/n/a): <y>, <n>, <a>

802.1 Motions

2023-07

Consent Agenda

Drafts to SA Ballot

Motion – 5.0xx

- Approve sending P802.1ASdr D2.0 to Standards Association ballot
- Confirm the CSD for P802.1ASdr in <https://mentor.ieee.org/802-ec/dcn/21/ec-21-0097-00-ACSD-p802-1asdr.pdf>
- P802.1ASdr D1.2 had 98% approval at the end of the last WG ballot
- In the WG, Proposed: Silvana Rodrigues, Second: János Farkas
 - Sending draft (y/n/a): <y>,<n>,<a>
 - CSD (y/n/a): <y>,<n>,<a>
- In EC, mover: Glenn Parsons, Second: David Law
 - (y/n/a): <y>,<n>,<a>

Supporting Information P802.1ASdr

- WG ballot closed: 5 May 2023
- All WG ballot requirements are met
- The ballot resulted in
 - 1 outstanding Disapprove vote
 - 3 outstanding Must Be Satisfied (MBS) comments
- Comment resolution available here:
<https://www.ieee802.org/1/files/private/dr-drafts/d1/802-1ASdr-d1-2-dis-V00.pdf>.

- Ballot results:

Category (as appears in comment disposition document):	TOTAL (All)	% (ALL)	TOTAL (Voters)	% (Voters)
Yes	54	98.18%	54	98.18%
No	1	1.82%	1	1.82%
Voting Yes or No	55	88.71%	55	90.16%
Abstain Time	1	1.61%	1	1.64%
Abstain Expertise	6	9.68%	5	8.20%
Abstain Other	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Respondents	62	83.78%	61	82.43%
Responding voting members			61	82.43%
Non-voting commenters	0			
No. of commenters	0		0	
No. of comments	0		0	

Supporting Information P802.1ASdr

- The single disapprove vote is maintained from the initial WG ballot on D1.0
- The disapprove vote is associated with 3 MBS comments on D1.0, see here and following slides
- (<https://www.ieee802.org/1/files/private/dr-drafts/d1/802.1ASdr-d1-0-dis-v00.pdf>)

Cl 00	SC 0	P7	L1	# 35
Thompson, Geoff		GraCaSI S.A.		
Comment Type	ER	Comment Status A		
Abstract - "the non-inclusive, insensitive, 2 and deprecated terminology" While the term "deprecated" can be crisply defined from a style manual point of view, the terms "non-inclusive" and "insensitive" are sociological, not technical. It is the remit of the IEEE to write technical standards and to do so in the clearest most unambiguous manner. All terms in a standard need to be judged on the basis of clarity and lack of ambiguity both in the eyes of the technical community of native English speakers and non-native English speakers who are tasked with understanding the text correctly in the original English and their own translation into their native language. Any change in terminology which diminishes the above obligation should not be allowed.				
SuggestedRemedy				
The balloting group needs to test each change against the above standard. Their duty is to provide technically valid sandards that express themselves in a clear, unambiguous manner. That should be done in a technically clear, unoffensive manner. In that context it should be recognized that techical clarity is paramount.				
Response	Response Status W			
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.				
The only nomenclature changed is based on IEEE Std 1588g-2022, which has been approved by RevCom and the SA board (on Dec 3 2022), and IEEE SA editors are working on its publication.				

Supporting Information P802.1ASdr

Cl 00 SC 0 P12 L9 # 36

Thompson, Geoff GraCaSI S.A.

Comment Type ER Comment Status A

Introduction - The ongoing repetitive use of the phrase "non-inclusive, insensitive, and deprecated terminology" is unnecessary and constitutes an accusation that former balloting groups were, in fact possessing attitudes that were non-inclusive and insensitive. Such repetition is unnecessary and is insensitive in and of itself at the very least.

SuggestedRemedy

Replace the text "non-inclusive, insensitive, and deprecated terminology" going forward in the draft with non-judgemental terminology. Perhaps, something like "terms identified by IEEE P1588g and IEEE editorial staff, aligning them with terms in P1588g and replacing others with their suitable equivalent current terminology wherever possible."

Response Response Status W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. Discuss

On Page 4 of the draft, the language is copied from the PAR and it will not be part of the published amendment. There is no need to change.

Page 7, lines 1 to 3, change the abstract from:

"This amendment to IEEE Std 802.1ASTM-2020 changes the non-inclusive, insensitive, and deprecated terminology including those identified by IEEE P1588g and IEEE editorial staff, replacing them with their suitable terminology wherever possible."

To:
"This amendment to IEEE Std 802.1ASTM-2020 replaces terminology with alternative terminology identified by IEEE Std 1588g-2022."

Page 13, lines 9 to 11, change the Introduction from:

"This amendment to IEEE Std 802.1ASTM-2020 changes the non-inclusive, insensitive, and deprecated terminology including those identified by IEEE P1588g and IEEE editorial staff, replacing them with their suitable terminology wherever possible."

To:
"This amendment to IEEE Std 802.1ASTM-2020 replaces terminology with alternative terminology identified by IEEE Std 1588g-2022."

Cl 00 SC 0 P22 L6 # 37

Thompson, Geoff GraCaSI S.A.

Comment Type ER Comment Status R

Change the title of this "Amendment." Calling it "inclusive" would be a tacit admission that the former IEEE stance by policy was to use language to exclude certain parties. I don't believe that was the case, even though there have been accusations of that in the past and rules changes to maintain the status quo (e.g. cumulative voting).

SuggestedRemedy

I believe that the title should reflect the true purpose of the project. That is: Most importantly as a profile to Std 1588, align the terminology of this draft with that of the referred to document. I suggest "Update terminology to align to IEEE Std 1588 terms and current preferred usage."

Response Response Status W

REJECT.

The title of this amendment is confirmed by SASB, and reconfirmed by the IEEE P3400 PAR. The Project Title for P3400 is "Standard for Use of Inclusive Language in Technical Terminology and Communications".

Also, a change in the PAR would be needed to change the title of this amendment.

Motion – 5.0xx

- Approve sending P802.1CS-2020/Cor1 D2.0 to Standards Association ballot
 - Note: there is no CSD statement since this maintenance project is not intended to provide any new functionality
- P802.1CS-2020/Cor1 D1.3 had 100% approval at the end of the last WG ballot
- In the WG, Proposed: Jessy Rouyer, Second: Norm Finn
 - Sending draft (y/n/a): <y>,<n>,<a>
 - CSD (y/n/a): <y>,<n>,<a>
- In EC, mover: Glenn Parsons, Second: David Law
 - (y/n/a): <y>,<n>,<a>

Supporting Information P802.1CS-2020/Cor1

- WG ballot closed: 30 June 2023
- All WG ballot requirements are met
- The ballot resulted in
 - 0 outstanding Disapprove votes
 - 0 outstanding Must Be Satisfied (MBS) comments
- Comment resolution available here:

<https://www.ieee802.org/1/files/private/cs-2020-cor-1-drafts/d1/802-1CS-2020-Cor1-d1-3-dis-v2.pdf>.

Ballot results:

CATEGORY	All respondents	
	TOTAL	%
Yes ^a	42	100
No	0	0
Voting Yes or No	42	69
Abs. Expertise	19	31
Abs. Time	0	0
Abs. Other	0	0
Respondents ^b	61	84
Voters	73	100
Liaisons responding	0	
No. of commenters	0	0
No. of comments	0	

a 75% required for approval.
Draft passes WG ballot.

b 50% required for valid ballot.
Ballot is valid.

Motion – 5.0xx

- Conditionally approve sending P802.1ASdn D2.0 to Standards Association ballot
- Confirm the CSD for P802.1ASdn in <https://mentor.ieee.org/802-ec/dcn/20/ec-20-0202-00-ACSD-p802-1asdn.pdf>
- P802.ASdn D1.1 had 98% approval at the end of the last WG ballot
- In the WG, Proposed: János Farkas, Second: Johannes Specht
 - Sending draft (y/n/a): <y>,<n>,<a>
 - CSD (y/n/a): <y>,<n>,<a>
- In EC, mover: Glenn Parsons, Second: David Law
 - (y/n/a): <y>,<n>,<a>

Supporting Information P802.1ASdn

- WG ballot closed: 5 May 2023
- All WG ballot requirements are met
- The ballot resulted in
 - 1 outstanding Disapprove votes
 - 1 outstanding Must Be Satisfied (MBS) comments
- Comment resolution available here:
<https://ieee802.org/1/files/private/asdn-drafts/d1/802-1ASdn-d1-1-dis-v01.pdf>
- Recirculation ballot will be conducted during July/August with comment resolution in TSN TG meetings. A possible final recirculation in September/October if required with comment resolution in TSN TG meetings.

Ballot results:

Category	Total	Percentage
Yes	43	97.73% of yes/no
No	1	6.82% of yes/no
Abstain	17	27.87% of responding
No. of Voters	73	
Voters responding	61	83.56% of voters

Supporting Information P802.1ASdn

- 1 disapprove vote associated with 1 MBS comment is maintained from the initial WG ballot on D1.0
- <https://ieee802.org/1/files/private/asdn-drafts/d1/802-1ASdn-d1-0-dis.pdf>

Cl 18	SC 18.7	P 33	L 29	# 20
Seaman, Mick		Mick Seaman		
Comment Type	TR	Comment Status	R	21,6,20
As described in preceding clauses (18.1 onwards) the ieee802-dot1as-ptp.yang module imports (as a whole) the ieee1588-ptp.yang module. The text at pg 33 line 29 makes it clear that is to be done by a URL reference to the YANG module. YANG modules are identified by name, and that name does not change when the module is updated. While the reference is stated to be to the "published" module, that "published" module can be changed without reference to IEEE Std 802.1AS. Changes to the ieee1588-ptp.yang module could therefore make prior conformant ieee802-dot1as-ptp.yang module implementations non-conformant (omitting new mandatory features) or apparently obsolete (by depending on some 1588 leaf which has been moved to "obsolete" status. There appears to be nothing in Clause 5 Conformance to identify the specific date/revision of a claim of conformance, or to support such dating in the PICS, or to address the issue of a valid implementation becoming out of conformance. This problem can in part be addressed by such provisions in this standard, but will also require some support in the retention (and identification of) successive revision of the 1588 module.				
<i>SuggestedRemedy</i>				
Address this issue in both the Conformance clause (allowing/requiring claims to specify exactly what 1588 published module revision is is being used) and in the PICS.				
<i>Response</i>		<i>Response Status</i> C		
REJECT.				
IEEE 802.1AS does not explicitly prohibit use of optional features from IEEE 1588 amendments and revisions. There are products in the field that support such features. This request would bring inconsistent conformance for YANG features of IEEE 1588 compared to non-YANG features of IEEE 1588.				
In addition, IEEE 1588 and IEEE 802.1AS have joint membership to facilitate communication, and there is trust that IEEE 1588 will not break compatibility in the future. Note that compatibility is not limited to YANG, and not limited to IEEE 1588.				
Therefore, ieee1588-ptp.yang continues to use the import without a specific revision, and the P802.1ASdn PDF does not limit ieee1588-ptp.yang to a specific revision.				

Motion – 5.0xx

- Conditionally approve sending P802.1DC D3.0 to Standards Association ballot
- Confirm the CSD for P802.1DC in <https://mentor.ieee.org/802-ec/dcn/18/ec-18-0091-00-ACSD-802-1dc.pdf>
- P802.1DC D2.2 had 98% approval at the end of the last WG ballot
- In the WG, Proposed: Norm Finn, Second: János Farkas
 - Sending draft (y/n/a): <y>,<n>,<a>
 - CSD (y/n/a): <y>,<n>,<a>
- In EC, mover: Glenn Parsons, Second: David Law
 - (y/n/a): <y>,<n>,<a>

Supporting Information P802.1DC

- WG ballot closed: 12 July 2023
- All WG ballot requirements are met
- The ballot resulted in
 - 1 outstanding Disapprove votes
 - 4 outstanding Must Be Satisfied (MBS) comments
- Comment resolution available here:
<https://www.ieee802.org/1/files/private/dc-drafts/d2/802-1DC-d2-2-dis-v01.pdf>
- Recirculation ballot will be conducted during July/August with comment resolution in TSN TG meetings. A possible final recirculation in September/October if required with comment resolution in TSN TG meetings.

Ballot results:

CATEGORY	All respondents	
	TOTAL	%
Yes ^a	48	98
No	1	2
Voting Yes or No	49	77
Abs. Expertise	13	20
Abs. Time	2	3
Abs. Other	0	0
Respondents ^b	62	85
Voters	73	
Liaisons responding	0	
No. of commenters	3	5
No. of comments	8	

a 75% required for approval.
Draft passes WG ballot.

b 50% required for valid ballot.
Ballot is valid.

Supporting Information P802.1DC

- Outstanding MBS comments
(the commenter wants to see the next draft)

CI 00	SC 0	P 1	L 1	# 1
-------	------	-----	-----	-----

Parsons, Glenn	Ericsson
----------------	----------

Comment Type	ER	Comment Status	D
--------------	----	----------------	---

The draft is 2.2 but the headers and title indicate 2.1

SuggestedRemedy

Update the draft number

Proposed Response	Response Status	W
-------------------	-----------------	---

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

CI 3	SC 3	P 24	L 28	# 2
------	------	------	------	-----

Parsons, Glenn	Ericsson
----------------	----------

Comment Type	ER	Comment Status	D
--------------	----	----------------	---

"the present standard" is awkward

SuggestedRemedy

use "this standard" as is done in another definition

Proposed Response	Response Status	W
-------------------	-----------------	---

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

Supporting Information P802.1DC

- Outstanding MBS comments (the commenter wants to see the next draft)

Cl 6	SC 6.3	P 30	L 29	# 5
Parsons, Glenn		Ericsson		
Comment Type	ER	Comment Status	D	

The handling of reference conventions is inconsistent, especially for top level clauses, and it is not mentioned in the conventions section. So there is no convention. Here it is "[Q] Clause 6" and in 6.5 it is "IEEE Std 801.Q-2022 in Clauses [Q] 30, [Q] 31, [Q] 32, and [Q] 33"

SuggestedRemedy

Either follow the implied convention here and elsewhere -- so it is "[Q] 6". Or add a new convention and follow that.

Proposed Response

Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE. The "Clause x" convention is used in other 802.1 documents in order to enlarge the hot region; the "x" alone is hard to click on. In this document, however, the only hot part is the [Q], [AC], etc. Therefore the extra "Clause" serves no purpose.

1. Change all "[x] Clause y" references to "[x] y".

3. Do not change 6.5, p32 L30. Naming 802.1Q explicitly, here, reads well.

Cl 9	SC 9.6	P 44	L 34	# 6
Parsons, Glenn		Ericsson		
Comment Type	ER	Comment Status	D	

As this is a standa alone documen the footnotes on location and copyright release present in other 802.1 standards that include YANG modules are required

SuggestedRemedy

Add these footnotes

1Copyright release for YANG: Users of this standard may freely reproduce the YANG modules contained in this standard so that they can be used for their intended purpose.

2An ASCII version of each YANG module is attached to the PDF of this standard and can also be obtained from the IEEE 802.1

Website at <https://1.ieee802.org/yang-modules/>.

3 References in this standard's YANG module definitions are not clickable, as each module has been incorporated unchanged after development and verification using YANG tools.

Proposed Response

Response Status W

PROPOSED ACCEPT.

802.1 Motions

2023-07

Consent Agenda

Drafts to RevCom

Motion – 5.0xx

- Approve sending P802.1Qcj to RevCom
- Approve CSD documentation in <https://mentor.ieee.org/802-ec/dcn/21/ec-21-0195-00-ACSD-p802-1qcj.pdf>
- P802.1Qcj D2.5 had 100% approval at the end of the last SA ballot
- In the WG, Proposed: Paul Bottorff, Second: János Farkas
 - Sending draft (y/n/a):
 - CSD (y/n/a):
- In EC, mover: Glenn Parsons, Second: David Law
 - (y/n/a): <y>,<n>,<a>

Supporting Information P802.1Qcj

- SA ballot closed:
 - 25 June 2023
- All SA ballot requirements are met
- The ballot resulted in
 - 0 Disapprove votes
 - 0 comments

Ballot Summary																	
Open Date: 15 Jun 2023	Close Date: 25 Jun 2023 Status: Closed																
Draft Number: 2.5	Meets Editorial Requirements: Yes																
Ballot Group Members: 63 <small>Minimum should be 10</small>	Ballot Stage: Recirculation 2																
Return Ballots: (53) 84% 	Minimum return rate is 75%																
Abstentions: (3) 5% 	Abstention must be below 30%																
Approval Rate: 100% 	Approval rate must be at least 75%																
<table><tr><th colspan="2">Votes counted in approval rate</th></tr><tr><td>Approve</td><td>50</td></tr><tr><td>Disapprove With MBS Comment(s)</td><td>0</td></tr><tr><td>Total</td><td>50</td></tr></table>	Votes counted in approval rate		Approve	50	Disapprove With MBS Comment(s)	0	Total	50	<table><tr><th colspan="2">Votes not counted in approval rate</th></tr><tr><td>Disapprove Without MBS Comment(s)</td><td>0</td></tr><tr><td>Abstentions</td><td>3</td></tr><tr><td>Total</td><td>3</td></tr></table>	Votes not counted in approval rate		Disapprove Without MBS Comment(s)	0	Abstentions	3	Total	3
Votes counted in approval rate																	
Approve	50																
Disapprove With MBS Comment(s)	0																
Total	50																
Votes not counted in approval rate																	
Disapprove Without MBS Comment(s)	0																
Abstentions	3																
Total	3																
Total Votes: 53	Total Comments: 0																
The vote tally for "Disapprove With MBS Comment(s)" = current Disapprove votes for which an MBS (Must Be Satisfied) comment existed in any round of balloting.																	

- Disposition: <https://www.ieee802.org/1/files/private/cj-drafts/d2/802-1Qcj-d2-5-dis-v01.pdf>

Motion – 5.0xx

- Approve sending P802.1Qcw to RevCom
- Approve CSD documentation in <https://mentor.ieee.org/802-ec/dcn/21/ec-21-0196-01-ACSD-p802-1qcw.pdf>
- P802.1Qcw D2.2 had 98% approval at the end of the last SA ballot
- In the WG, Proposed: Marina Gutiérrez, Second: János Farkas
 - Sending draft (y/n/a):
 - CSD (y/n/a):
- In EC, mover: Glenn Parsons, Second: David Law
 - (y/n/a): <y>, <n>, <a>

Supporting information P802.1Qcw

- SA ballot closed:
 - 7 May 2023
- All SA ballot requirements are met
- The ballot resulted in
 - 1 outstanding Disapprove vote
 - 0 comments from last SA recirculation ballot
 - 2 outstanding Must Be Satisfied (MBS) comments from initial SA ballot
- Disposition: <https://www.ieee802.org/1/files/private/cw-drafts/d2/802-1Qcw-d2-2-dis-v00.pdf>

<

Supporting Information P802.1Qcw

- The single disapprove vote is maintained from the initial SA ballot on D2.0
- The disapprove vote is associated with 2 MBS comments on D2.0
- (<https://www.ieee802.org/1/files/private/cw-drafts/d2/802-1Qcw-d2-0-dis-v00.pdf>)

Cl 48	SC 48.6.2	P152	L31	# I-11
Parsons, Glenn		Ericsson AB		
Comment Type	TR	Comment Status	A	
Lines 31 & 45 these are just comments -- // mandatory true; If this is the intent it needs to be uncommented. But would this then mean it should be a new container \9as the existing one has no mandatory leaves?				
SuggestedRemedy				
Change to "mandatory true" or delete				
Response	Response Status C			
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.				
Remove the lines 31 and 45 starting with "//".				
NOTE:				
Lines coming from maintenance issue #0264 (https://www.802-1.org/items/380).				

Cl 48	SC 48.6.2	P152	L 36	# I-12
Parsons, Glenn		Ericsson AB		
Comment Type	TR	Comment Status	R	
Changing from string to leafref is a significant change				
SuggestedRemedy				
Suggest to leave leaf component-name as a string and add a new leaf component-name-ref as a leafref				
Response	Response Status C			
REJECT.				
The original definition of the object was not usable, so the usual provisions for backwards compatibility do not apply in this case.				

Motion – 5.0xx

- Conditionally approve sending P802f to RevCom
- Approve CSD documentation in <https://mentor.ieee.org/802-ec/dcn/19/ec-19-0217-00-ACSD-p802f.pdf>
- P802f D2.1 had 94% approval at the end of the last SA ballot
- In the WG, Proposed: Jessy Rouyer, Second: János Farkas
 - Sending draft (y/n/a):
 - CSD (y/n/a):
- In EC, mover: Glenn Parsons, Second: David Law
 - (y/n/a): <y>,<n>,<a>

Supporting Information P802f

- SA ballot closed: 6 July 2023
- All SA ballot requirements are met
- The ballot resulted in
 - 2 Disapprove votes with 4 Must Be Satisfied (MBS) comments
 - 1 outstanding Disapprove vote with 2 outstanding MBS comments from initial SA ballot
- Disposition:
<https://www.ieee802.org/1/files/private/802-f-drafts/d2/802f-d2-1-dis-v01.pdf>
- Recirculation ballot will be conducted during July/August with comment resolution in TSN TG meetings. A possible final recirculation in September/October if required with comment resolution in TSN TG meetings.

</

Supporting Information P802f

- Initial SA ballot on D2.0
 - 1 disapprove vote is maintained (R K Rannow)
 - 2 MBS comments
 - <https://www.ieee802.org/1/files/private/802-f-drafts/d2/802f-d2-0-dis-v01.pdf>
- First SA recirculation ballot on D2.1
 - 2 disapprove votes
 - 4 MBS comments
 - <https://www.ieee802.org/1/files/private/802-f-drafts/d2/802f-d2-1-dis-v01.pdf>

Supporting Information P802f

- MBS comments associated with the outstanding Disapprove vote maintained from initial SA ballot on D2.0
- <https://www.ieee802.org/1/file/s/private/802-f-drafts/d2/802f-d2-0-dis-v01.pdf>

Cl 7	SC 7.2.3	P16	L27	# I-16
Rannow, R K		Representing myself		
Comment Type	TR	Comment Status	A	
The choice of notational tools for defining managed objects depends on which of the available management protocols the standard supports				
Another instance of verbose language that may be confusing to potential implementers or users of the std				
SuggestedRemedy				
The choice of notational tools for defining managed objects depends on the available management protocols the standard supports.				
Response	Response Status W			
ACCEPT.				

Cl 8	SC 8.3	P18	L23	# I-17
Rannow, R K		Representing myself		
Comment Type	TR	Comment Status	A	
Truncating an 64-bit MAC address into an 48-bit field can lead to two stations having the same 48-bit value. Instead, traffic between 64-bit and 48-bit MAC addressed networks needs to be routed at a layer above the DLL.				
another instance of confusing grammar.				
SuggestedRemedy				
Truncating a 64-bit MAC address into a 48-bit field can lead to two stations having the same 48-bit value.				
Response	Response Status W			
ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.				
* Change				
"Truncating an 64-bit MAC address into an 48-bit field can lead to two stations having the same 48-bit value"				
to				
"Truncating a 64-bit MAC address into a 48-bit field can lead to two stations having the same 48-bit value."				

Supporting Information P802f

- MBS comments associated with the Disapprove votes on D2.1 (see next slide too)
- <https://www.ieee802.org/1/files/private/802-f-drafts/d2/802f-d2-1-dis-v01.pdf>

CI F	SC F.1	P29	L 14	# R1-2
Rouyer, Jessy		Nokia		
Comment Type	ER	Comment Status A		
Comments I-49, I-50, I-51, I-52, I-53, I-54, I-55, I-56, I-57, I-58, I-59 in the previous ballot were resolved with the expectation that Table 2 would be updated but it has not.				
SuggestedRemedy				
Update Table 2 content per the resolution of these comments.				
Response		Response Status C		
ACCEPT.				

CI 8	SC 8.2.2	P20	L 10	# R1-3
Rouyer, Jessy		Nokia		
Comment Type	ER	Comment Status A		
The resolution of comment 11 on draft 0.2 was not applied completely.				
SuggestedRemedy				
Change "and octet 5" to "and in octet 5".				
Response		Response Status C		
ACCEPT.				

Supporting Information P802f

- MBS comments associated with the Disapprove votes on D2.1 (see previous slide too)
- <https://www.ieee802.org/1/files/private/802-f-drafts/d2/802f-d2-1-dis-v01.pdf>

Cl F	SC F.1	P32	L8	# R1-5
------	--------	-----	----	--------

Marks, Roger EthAirNet Associates

Comment Type ER *Comment Status* A

The reference for entry 89-15 is incorrect. It reads "IBTA Specification". It appears (see) that the correct title is "InfiniBand™ Architecture Specification". Change "IEEE 802.1Q" to "IEEE Std 802.1Q" here, and also at p. 40 | 32.

SuggestedRemedy

Validate that the correct title is "InfiniBand™ Architecture Specification". Change "IBTA Specification" to "InfiniBand™ Architecture Specification" (or corrected title) here, and also at p. 41 | 9.

Response *Response Status* W

ACCEPT.

Cl F	SC F.1	P32	L8	# R1-6
------	--------	-----	----	--------

Marks, Roger EthAirNet Associates

Comment Type TR *Comment Status* A

It is widely understood that, while the original version of RoCE (now called RoCEv1) uses the EtherType 89-15, the later version (called RoCEv2) uses IP, so that the RoCEv2 frame is identified by an IP EtherType. Therefore, it is misleading to simply cite "RoCE". I don't object to the Friendly Name "roce".

SuggestedRemedy

Change "(RoCE)" to "(RoCEv2)" here, and at p 41 | 6.

Response *Response Status* W

ACCEPT IN PRINCIPLE.
* Change "(RoCE)" to "(RoCEv1)"

802.1 Motions

2023-07

Consent Agenda

PARs to NesCom

Motion – 5.0xx

- Approve forwarding P802.1DG PAR extension documentation in <https://www.ieee802.org/1/files/public/docs2023/dg-PAR-extension-0723-v01.pdf> to NesCom
- Approve (unmodified) CSD documentation in <https://mentor.ieee.org/802-ec/dcn/18/ec-18-0242-00-ACSD-p802-1dg.pdf>
- In the WG, Proposed: Max Turner, Second: János Farkas
 - PAR (y/n/a): <y>,<n>,<a>
 - CSD (y/n/a): <y>,<n>,<a>
- In EC, mover: Glenn Parsons, Second: David Law
 - (y/n/a): <y>,<n>,<a>

Motion – 5.0xx

- Approve forwarding P802.1Qdj PAR extension documentation in <https://www.ieee802.org/1/files/public/docs2023/dj-PAR-extension-0723-v01.pdf> to NesCom
- Approve (unmodified) CSD documentation in <https://mentor.ieee.org/802-ec/dcn/19/ec-19-0139-00-ACSD-p802-1qdj.pdf>
- In the WG, Proposed: Stephan Kehrer, Second: János Farkas
 - PAR (y/n/a): <y>,<n>,<a>
 - CSD (y/n/a): <y>,<n>,<a>
- In EC, mover: Glenn Parsons, Second: David Law
 - (y/n/a): <y>,<n>,<a>

Motion – 5.0xx

- Approve forwarding P60802 PAR modification documentation in <https://www.ieee802.org/1/files/public/docs2023/60802-PAR-modification-0723-v01.pdf> to NesCom
- Approve (unmodified) CSD documentation in <https://mentor.ieee.org/802-ec/dcn/18/ec-18-0088-01-ACSD-p60802.pdf>
- In the WG, Proposed: Martin Mittelberger, Second: Ludwig Winkel
 - PAR (y/n/a): <y>,<n>,<a>
 - CSD (y/n/a): <y>,<n>,<a>
- In EC, mover: Glenn Parsons, Second: David Law
 - (y/n/a): <y>,<n>,<a>

Motion – 5.0xx

- Approve forwarding P802.1Qdy PAR documentation in <https://www.ieee802.org/1/files/public/docs2023/dy-PAR-0723-v01.pdf> to NesCom
- Approve CSD documentation in <https://www.ieee802.org/1/files/public/docs2023/dy-CSD-0723-v01.pdf>
- In the WG, Proposed: Josef Dorr, Second: János Farkas
 - PAR (y/n/a): <y>,<n>,<a>
 - CSD (y/n/a): <y>,<n>,<a>
- In EC, mover: Glenn Parsons, Second: David Law
 - (y/n/a): <y>,<n>,<a>

802.1 Motions

2023-07

Consent Agenda

Liaisons and external
communications (ME)

Motion – 7.0xx

- Approve submission of the following draft(s) to ISO/IEC JTC1/SC6 for information under the PSDO agreement, once SA balloting begins.
 - IEEE P802.1ASdn, IEEE P802.1ASdr, IEEE P802.1DC
- In the WG, Proposed: Jessy Rouyer Second: Karen Randall
 - Sending draft (y/n/a): <y>,<n>,<a>
- In EC, mover: Glenn Parsons Second: David Law
 - (y/n/a): <y>,<n>,<a>

Motion – 7.0xx

- Approve submission of the following draft(s) to ISO/IEC JTC1/SC6 for adoption under the PSDO agreement, once approved and published.
 - IEEE 802.1Qcj, IEEE 802.1Qcw, IEEE 802f
- In the WG, Proposed: Jessy Rouyer Second: Karen Randall
 - Sending draft (y/n/a): <y>,<n>,<a>
- In EC, mover: Glenn Parsons Second: David Law
 - (y/n/a): <y>,<n>,<a>

Motion – 7.0xx

- Approve submission of the following comment responses to ISO/IEC JTC1/SC6 for information under the PSDO agreement:
 - IEEE 802.1ACct-2021
 - <https://www.ieee802.org/1/files/public/docs2023/liaison-randall-SC6CommentResponseACct-0723.pdf>
 - IEEE 802.1BA-2021
 - <https://www.ieee802.org/1/files/public/docs2023/liaison-randall-SC6CommentResponseBA-0723.pdf>
 - IEEE 802.1Q-2022
 - <https://www.ieee802.org/1/files/public/docs2023/liaison-randall-SC6CommentResponseQRev-0723.pdf>
- In the WG, Proposed: Jessy Rouyer Second: Karen Randall
 - Sending draft (y/n/a): <y>,<n>,<a>
- In EC, mover: Glenn Parsons Second: David Law
 - (y/n/a): <y>,<n>,<a>

802.1 Motions

2023-07

Consent Agenda

Liaisons and external communications (II)

Motion – 7.0xx

- Approve <https://www.ieee802.org/1/files/public/docs2023/liaison-response-itu-t-jca-IMT2020-0723.pdf> as communication to ITU-T JCA on LS13: IMT-2020 RoadMap, granting the IEEE 802.1 WG chair (or his delegate) editorial license.
 - This approval is under LMSC OM “Procedure for public statements to government bodies”
- In the WG, Proposed: Jessy Rouyer Second: Karen Randall
 - Sending draft (y/n/a): <y>,<n>,<a>
- In EC, for information (or motion to block)

Motion – 7.065

- Approve <https://www.ieee802.org/1/files/public/docs2023/liaison-response-itu-t-sg15-otnt-swp-0723.pdf> as communication to ITU-T SG15 on LS58: OTNT Standardization Work Plan Issue 32, granting the IEEE 802.1 WG chair (or his delegate) editorial license.
 - This approval is under LMSC OM “Procedure for public statements to government bodies”
- In the WG, Proposed: Jessy Rouyer Second: Karen Randall
 - Sending draft (y/n/a): <y>,<n>,<a>
- In EC, for information (or motion to block)

Motion – 7.0xx

- Approve
<https://www.ieee802.org/1/files/public/docs2023/liaison-response-itu-t-SG13-DetermNetworkingandCommunica-0723-v01.pdf> as communication to ITU-T SG13 granting the IEEE 802.1 WG chair (or his delegate) editorial license.
 - This approval is under LMSC OM “Procedure for public statements to government bodies”
- In the WG (y/n/a): <y>,<n>,<a>
 - Proposed: János Farkas, Second:
- In EC, for information (or motion to block)

Motion – 7.0xx

- Approve <https://www.ieee802.org/1/files/public/docs2023/liaison-BBF-ONUManagementYANG-0723-v01.pdf> as communication to the Broadband Forum (BBF), granting the IEEE 802.1 WG chair (or his delegate) editorial license.
- Proposed: Scott Mansfield
- Second: János Farkas
- In the WG (y/n/a): <y>, <n>, <a>
- In the EC, for information

Motion – 7.0xx

- Approve
<https://www.ieee802.org/1/files/public/docs2023/liaison-IEEE1588-draft-sharing-and-terminology-0723-v01.pdf> as communication to IEEE 1588 WG, granting the IEEE 802.1 WG chair (or his delegate) editorial license.
- Proposed: Silvana Rodrigues
- Second: János Farkas
- In the WG (y/n/a): <y>, <n>, <a>
- In the EC, for information

Motion – 7.0xx

- Approve <https://www.ieee802.org/1/files/public/docs2023/liaison-IEEEP3400-terminology-0723-v01.pdf> as communication to IEEE P3400, granting the IEEE 802.1 WG chair (or his delegate) editorial license.
- Proposed: János Farkas
- Second: Jessy Rouyer
- In the WG (y/n/a): <y>, <n>, <a>
- In the EC, for information

Motion – 7.0xx

- Approve sharing the latest revision of the IEEE P802.1Qdd and IEC/IEEE 60802 drafts with Labs Networks Industrie 4.0 (LNI 4.0).
- Proposed: János Farkas
- Second: Josef Dorr
- In the WG (y/n/a): <y>, <n>, <a>
- In the EC, for information