IEEE 802 July 2018 Rules Meeting
Agenda

• Proposed P&P update
• Proposed P&P changes
• Proposed OM changes
• Proposed WG P&P update
• Updates to Motion Slides?
Updates to the IEEE 802 LMSC Policies and Procedures and IEEE 802 LMSC Working Group Policies and Procedure
Update to 2018 Baselines

• LMSC P&P
  – Proposed: ec-18-0102-02
  – Comparison of proposal and current version: ec-18-0129-00

• WG P&P
  – Proposed: ec-18-0104-00
  – Comparison of proposal and current version: ec-18-0131-00
Additional proposed changes to LMSC P&P (not in proposed draft)
Dominance

• New penultimate section, 10.0 Dominance
  – If the Sponsor Subgroup Chair determines that an authorized activity is dominated by an organization, the Sponsor Subgroup Chair shall present a report to the Sponsor with recommended action(s).
  – If the Sponsor determines that an authorized activity is dominated by an organization, the Sponsor may direct that the organization’s vote is treated as one for the purpose of that activity. The Sponsor Chair shall notify the Chair of the IEEE SASB of the action.
  – If the Sponsor determines that other actions should be taken in response to the dominance of a authorized activity, these actions shall be forwarded by the Sponsor Chair to the Chair of the IEEE SASB for consideration. The actions will take effect only if approved by IEEE SASB.
Proposal: Elect EC Vice Chairs

• Currently:
  – The Sponsor Chair appoints a (1st) Vice Chair and may appoint a 2nd Vice Chair. Vice Chairs are confirmed by the Sponsor.

• Proposed:
  – The 1st Vice Chair and 2nd Vice Chair are elected by the WG Chairs and TAG Chairs who are Voting Members of the Sponsor.
Ombudsman

• Add a new clause: Ombudsman
• The Sponsor Chair may appoint a volunteer to be the Ombudsman. The Ombudsman should be member of the Sponsor Committee. The Ombudsman serves at the pleasure of the Sponsor Chair. The ombudsman should have experience working the IEEE SASB.
• A brief notice, giving the contact information and purpose of the Ombudsman, shall be posted on the IEEE 802 website.
• x.2 DUTIES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

• x.2.1 A copy of all IEEE 802 LMSC-related complaints received by the IEEE 802 or any IEEE 802 WG should be sent to the ombudsman. A standard form should be generated that indicates: the name and contact information of the participant, the nature of the complaint, and any action taken in response to the complaint.

• x.2.3 Participants are invited to write directly to the ombudsman if they have reason to believe their original complaint has not received the attention it deserves. The ombudsman is responsible for: a. immediately acknowledging receipt of the complaint b. investigating the nature of the complaint and investigating whatever action is necessary to rectify the problem c. responding to the member with details of the actions taken and inviting the participant to correspond further if either this action does not solve the problem or if participant still remains dissatisfied.

• x.3 The ombudsman shall report to the Executive Committee at each plenary session.
Updates to the IEEE 802 LMSC Operations Manual
Privacy recommendation

• Add a new section as shown below
  – 14.1.3 Security and Privacy considerations
    • Describe the applicability of security and privacy to the standard under development.
  – Alternate text
    • Describe the implications of the proposed standard on security and privacy
  – What are the definitions of security and privacy?
  – Should we wait for P802e to finish first and then put into the CSD?
Signs of Dominance

• Text to go into a new section of the LMSC OM,(14a - "Signs of dominance tools for Working Group chair")
  – As defined in the LMSC WG P&P (reference), it is the responsibility of the WG chair to determine if the Working Group is dominated by an organization. The document https://mentor.ieee.org/802-ec/dcn/17/ec-17-0083-02-00EC-signs-of-dominance-toolkit.doc should be used by a WG chair to satisfy this responsibility. The document describes a set of signs of potential dominance, plus notes on applicability organized by diagnostic power.)

• 2. Change the OM so that it is clear that the 75% approval threshold applies to technical matters only (i.e., not to procedural matters).

• *802 Operations Manual change,* for consideration in March 2018 reference
  reference
  – No change
75% in Study Groups (no change)

• Change the OM so that it is clear that the 75% approval threshold applies to technical matters only.
  – This is in a non-changeable spot in the baseline, delete from OM when P&P is approved?

• 4.3.2 Voting at study group meetings
  – Any person attending a Study Group meeting may vote on all motions (including recommending approval of a PAR). A vote on technical matters is carried by 75% of those present and voting Approve or Disapprove. A vote on procedural matters is carried by greater than 50% of those present and voting Approve or Disapprove.
Badges for Tutorials

• Add “The Sponsor may allow individuals to attend Tutorials without paying the registration fee as the Tutorials are not standards developing meetings. These individuals will be required to register in advance and obtain a badge. The Sponsor may set a fee for this badge.”
  – This goes in Chair’s guidelines
Notes from Sunday meeting
Comments

• New baseline only has “PAR Study Groups”
  – We have ECSGs and WGSGs
  – Suggest changing “PAR Study Group” to be “Study Group” as the SG may decide not to create a PAR.

• Who appoints or elects the chair of an SG or subgroup?

• When does a SG actually start? The first meeting? Or when it is approved.

• In WG P&P, clause 3.2 “requirements in Clause 3.0 and Clause 3.1 at the next plenary earliest practical time.”
Additional updates

• 3.1 Election or Appointment of Officers
  – change the "confirmed by the Standards Activities Board" to be "confirmed by appointment of the Computer Society Vice President, Standards Activities"

• 3.2 Temporary Appointments to Vacancies
  – “An appointment or election for the vacated office shall be made in accordance with requirements in Clause 3.0 and Clause 3.1 at the next plenary meeting.”

• 3.3 Removal of Officers
  – Add "Removal of the Sponsor Chair requires notification to the IEEE Computer Society Standards Activities Board (C/SAB)."

• 4.2 Non-voting Membership
  – Add at the end of the first sentence in the removal of a Non-Voting Member paragraph, " meeting in Executive Session"
Membership

• 4.3 Voting Membership
  – Do we allow "confirmation" outside of a plenary? if not, then we should change the "If election/appointment and confirmation by the Sponsor occur outside a plenary session, that member receives voting rights immediately upon confirmation. " to "If appointment by the Sponsor occurs outside a plenary session, that member receives voting rights at the end of the plenary where confirmed. "
  – Add that temporary appointment is confirmed at the next plenary or election is held at the next plenary. Also for the WG P&P, same thing. See previous slides.

• 4.6 Other Membership Classes
  – We have an "*emeritus member"* type designation in clause 4.2 Non-voting Membership - "Members Emeritus", but we do not provide a definition of the position. Clause 4.6 should be the place to describe the position.
  – TBD: Needs work. Should this be in 4.2 or 4.6?
Other sections

• 5.1 Executive Committee
  – In the definitions it says "An Executive Committee can include all the voting members of the Sponsor committee." so why would we not say that in this clause? "The Executive Committee is comprised of the members of the Sponsor (4.0)". We call ourselves the 802 Executive Committee, so we should define it here IMHO.
  – Current suggestion is no change here, but we should consider re-naming ourselves everywhere.

• 3.3 Removal of Officers vs 7.1.2 Actions Requiring Approval by a Two-thirds Vote. We say in 3.3 "An officer may be removed by a two-thirds vote" but in 7.1.2, item e) includes an exception for "Chair".
  – Remove the exception in 7.1.2.
Delete “or election” in 3.2 as this is for appointment.
Who is on the EC as non-voting?

• Change “Chairs of ECSGs” to be “Chairs of Sponsor Standing Committees and ECSGs”

• Text needs work, Rosdahl should help

• Do we add text to 4.6 regarding ECSGs and WGSGs?
What is our name!

• LMSC is the Sponsor?
• IEEE 802 LMSC Sponsor Committee and the IEEE 802 LMSC Sponsor Chair
• How many times do we say “Executive Committee in the AudCom rules.”