

# IEEE 802 July 2018 Rules Meeting

# Agenda

- Propose P&P changes
- Proposed OM changes.
- Chair's guidelines
  - Draft language for OM for ICAID approval
  - Fix reference to 802 governing document
- Updates to Motion Slides

# Updates to the IEEE 802 LMSC Policies and Procedures and IEEE 802 LMSC Working Group Policies and Procedure

# Update to 2018 Baselines

- Proposed P&P in ec-18-0102-01
- Proposed WG P&P in ec-18-0104-00
- Separate presentations call out the changes.

# Dominance

- New penultimate section, 10.0 Dominance
  - If a WG Chair determines that the WG is dominated by an organization, the WG Chair shall present a report to the Sponsor with recommended action(s).
  - The Sponsor shall consider the recommended actions to determine if they are appropriate.
  - If the Sponsor approves a recommended action to treat the organizations' vote as one, the action shall take effect after approval of the Sponsor and the Sponsor Chair shall notify the Chair of the IEEE SASB of the action.
  - For all other actions approved by the sponsor, they shall be forwarded by the Sponsor Chair to the Chair of the IEEE SASB for consideration. The actions will take effect only if approved by IEEE SASB.

# Proposal: Elect EC Vice Chairs

- **Currently:**
  - The Sponsor Chair appoints a (1st) Vice Chair and may appoint a 2nd Vice Chair. Vice Chairs are confirmed by the Sponsor.
- **Proposed:**
  - The 1st Vice Chair and 2nd Vice Chair are elected by the WG Chairs and TAG Chairs who are Voting Members of the Sponsor. Vice Chairs are confirmed by the Sponsor.

# Ombudsman

- Add to the positions, Ombudsman
- Following text is similar to Computer Society (<https://www.computer.org/web/volunteers/ppm24>)
- x.0 Ombudsman
  - The ombudsman should be a standing post. A volunteer should be selected by the Sponsor each year to fill the position. The ombudsman should report to the Executive Committee but is not a member of the Executive Committee. The ombudsman has direct access to the IEEE SASB with respect to any unusual or otherwise important complaints that are not readily rectified, except that this will not apply to those portions of IEEE 802 LMSC operations where procedures for appeal already exist.

- x.2 DUTIES AND RESPONSIBILITIES
- x.2.1 A copy of all IEEE 802 LMSC-related complaints received by the IEEE 802 or any IEEE 802 WG should be sent to the ombudsman. A standard form could be generated that indicates: the name/address of the member, the nature of the complaint, and the action instigated to rectify the problem. The ombudsman would not normally be involved with normal non-fulfillment complaints, except to receive a copy of the form.
- x.2.2 A brief notice, giving the contact information and purpose of the ombudsman, shall be posted on the IEEE 802 website.
- x.2.3 Members are invited to write directly to the ombudsman if they have reason to believe their original complaint has not received the attention it deserves. The ombudsman is responsible for:
  - a. immediately acknowledging receipt of the complaint
  - b. investigating the nature of the complaint and investigating whatever action is necessary to rectify the problem
  - c. responding to the member with details of the actions taken and inviting he/she to correspond further if either this action does not solve the problem or if he/she still remains dissatisfied.
- x.2.4 The ombudsman should provide an annual report to the Sponsor.



# Updates to the IEEE 802 LMSC Operations Manual

# Privacy recommendation

- Add a new section as shown below
  - 14.1.3 Security and Privacy considerations
    - Describe the applicability of security and privacy to the standard under development.
  - Alternate text
    - Describe the implications of the proposed standard on security and privacy.

# Signs of Dominance

- Text to go into a new section of the LMSC OM,(14a - "Signs of dominance tools for Working Group chair")
  - As defined in the LMSC WG P&P (reference),it is the responsibility of the WG chair to determine if the Working Group is dominated by an organization.The document <https://mentor.ieee.org/802-ec/dcn/17/ec-17-0083-02-00EC-signs-of-dominance-toolkit.doc> should be used by a WG chair to satisfy this responsibility.The document describes a set of signs of potential dominance,plus notes on applicability organized by diagnostic power.)
- 2. Change the OM so that it is clear that the 75% approval threshold applies to technical matters only (i.e., not to technical matters).
- \*802 Operations Manual change,\*for consideration in March 2018 reference <https://mentor.ieee.org/802-ec/dcn/17/ec-17-0090-17-0PNP-ieee-802-lmsc-operations-manual.pdf>

# 75% in Study Groups

- Change the OM so that it is clear that the 75% approval threshold applies to technical matters only.
- 4.3.2 Voting at study group meetings
  - Any person attending a Study Group meeting may vote on all motions (including recommending approval of a PAR). A vote on technical matters is carried by 75% of those present and voting Approve or Disapprove. A vote on procedural matters is carried by greater than 50% of those present and voting Approve or Disapprove.

# Badges for Tutorials

- Add “The Sponsor may allow individuals to attend Tutorials without paying the registration fee as the Tutorials are not standards developing meetings. These individuals will be required to register in advance and obtain a badge. The Sponsor may set a fee for this badge.”