

The screenshot shows a web browser window displaying the IEEE Standards Association's myBallot Home (Management) page. The page is titled "Ballot Response Summary" and provides details for a specific ballot. The ballot is identified as "Recirculation #1" and is for the IEEE Standard 802.17-2011. The ballot was opened on 30-Jun-2016 and closed on 10-Jul-2016. The ballot type is "Withdrawal". The page shows that 72 eligible people in the ballot group participated, with 54 affirmative votes, 1 total negative vote with comments, 1 negative vote with new comments, 0 negative votes without comments, and 7 abstention votes (4 Lack of expertise, 2 Lack of time, 1 Other). The response rate is 86% returned (62 votes received) and 11% abstention. The approval rate is 98% affirmative (55 votes) and 2% disapprove (1 negative vote with comments). The page also includes a "Download as PDF" link, "Download Ballot Group CSV File" link, and "Download Ballot Voter CSV File" link. A search bar and a "Show only non-voters" link are also visible.

10 July 2016 Recirculation #1 Cover Letter

Dear IEEE 802.17 Balloter:

This ballot is for the transfer to inactive status of IEEE 802.17:2011 (Resilient packet ring access method and physical layer specifications). This standard is being transferred to inactive status.

There was some potential confusion with regards to the wording in myProject. It was possible to read the ballot text to infer that a Disapprove vote would move to withdrawal not an Approve vote. However, the intent was for an Approve vote to indicate agreement with withdrawing the standard from active status to inactive status.

This recirculation addresses the Disapprove vote of the voter who read the wording in myProject to mean the opposite of its intent. Because the intent of the voter is consistent with the desire to withdraw the standard, the comment has been accepted. But the voter wished to keep a Disapprove vote to make sure this wording issue gets raised in the RevCom review of this vote.

It is beyond the purview of this committee to change the wording in myProject to remove this ambiguity. I have been informed by SA staff that this potential confusion is not planned to be addressed in the current myProject system, but is scheduled to be addressed in the new myProject.

If you agree that IEEE 802.17:2011 should be withdrawn from active status, you can leave your vote as Approve.

Sincerely, Paul Nikolich
IEEE 802 Sponsor Chair

File Edit View History Bookmarks Tools Help

IEEE Standards Associatio... X +

https://development.standards.ieee.org/b1110900002/ballot-response-summr Search

Projects **Balloting** Entity

myBallot Home (Management) >> Manage Sponsor Ballot Activity >> **Ballot Response Summary** [Download as PDF](#)

802.17-2011 IEEE Standard for Information technology--Telecommunications and information exchange between systems Local and metropolitan area networks--Specific requirements Part 17: Resilient packet ring (RPR) access method and physical layer specifications

[Recirculation #1](#) [Initial Ballot](#)

BALLOT OPEN DATE: 09-May-2016

BALLOT CLOSE DATE: 08-Jun-2016

TYPE: Withdrawal

COMMENTS: 3

MUST BE SATISFIED COMMENTS: 1

RESPONSE RATE
This ballot has met the 75% returned ballot requirement.

72 eligible people in this ballot group.

53 affirmative votes
1 total negative votes with comments
1 negative votes with new comments
0 negative votes without comments
7 abstention votes: (Lack of expertise: 4, Lack of time: 2, Other: 1)

61 votes received = 84% returned
11% abstention

APPROVAL RATE
The 50% affirmation requirement is being met.

53 affirmative votes
1 negative votes with comments
54 votes = 98% affirmative

[Download Ballot Group CSV File](#)
[Download Ballot Voter CSV File](#)

[SEARCH](#) [Show only non-voters](#)

Back [1](#) [2](#) [3](#) [4](#) [5](#) | [Next](#)

09 May 2016 Initial Ballot

To: undisclosed-recipients;;

Subject: IEEE 802.17:2011 To Inactive Status

Dear IEEE 802.17 Balloter:

This sponsor ballot is to confirm the transfer of the IEEE 802.17:2011 Resilient packet ring access method and physical layer specifications standard to inactive status.

Rationale: there is no longer a need for the 2011 standard. There are no longer any new 802.17-2011 compliant interfaces being designed, built or introduced into the market based on that standard. All known producers of 802.17-based products have withdrawn them from the market (although deployed products continue to be supported).

Sincerely,

Paul Nikolich

IEEE 802 Sponsor Chair