

Rule changes for July 2013

P&P changes

- None, hopefully
- For future revision
 - 4.1 Voting membership
 - “Members of the Sponsor obtain voting rights at the end of the plenary session where they are first confirmed or elected by the Sponsor. If election/appointment and confirmation by the Sponsor occur outside a plenary session, that member receives voting rights immediately upon Confirmation.”
 - Should say "Voting members of the Sponsor"

OM changes

- 5C update?
 - Schedule to present to 802.1 and 802.3
- Update Meeting Manager definition
 - Duties listed only apply to volunteer, contracted duties depend on contract
 - Or move to Chair's Guideline?
- Define secret/private letter ballot process
- Administrative policies vs. standards development policies
 - Define new rules, reduce meeting notice time?
 - Which items qualify?

OM changes (2)

- 5.3 Registration – Refers to TAG and ECSG P&P, there is only the IEEE 802 LMSC WG P&P.
- Delete editorial references at the end.
- Move 4.1.5, “Revision of the IEEE 802 LMSC OM and IEEE 802 LMSC WG P&P” to another subclause, perhaps the end?
- Clarify that ECSG Vice Chair is not subject to confirmation by the Sponsor

CG changes

- Definition for reciprocal credit
- Electronic media production agreement (John D'Ambrosia)
- Guideline on public statements (Nikolich & Gilb)
- Relax 2.6 requirements on commercialization to allow sponsors to hand out collateral

CG changes

- 2.9 last line says that announcements of WG ballots should be copied to the reflector. I don't recall anyone copying them to the EC reflector and given the number of projects underway, it might be excessive to do so. I guess one could argue that doing so would help EC members who want to exercise their ex-officio voting rights, but generally when I want to do that, I sign up for the WG reflector and monitor the project progress through WG minutes.
- 2.10 also says that WG ballot information should be copied to the EC reflector
- 2.16 - I assume that the blue bits are intended to be hyperlinks, they aren't. (editorial)

Backup information

Private ballot process

- From Yvette
- The EC can outline procedures for, and conduct an email discussion restricted to its members and specific stakeholders if the discussion is on business or administrative related confidential material. A ballot, following whatever criteria outlined for email ballots, can follow the discussion. It should be noted, however, that the procedures should clearly state which discussions can be conducted via email and balloted. The confidential material must not be material that should be discussed in an executive session meeting (e.g., material that needs to be attorney-client privileged, personnel issues, or other sensitive issues that could have legal implications), nor material with regard to standards that should be open to materially interested parties due to the requirements for open standards development. I don't think evaluation of the terms of a contract with a vendor is executive session material unless there are legal considerations rather than business considerations to be discussed.

Administrative Policies

- From Yvette
- AudCom has in the past encouraged Sponsors to separate the standards development procedures from the procedures of managing the business of a technical committee or Sponsor. If the EC agrees that this procedure is needed, it can develop the procedures using the required approval process within the committee, and identify it as administrative policies that are not a part of the standards development procedures. You'll still need the EC members to vote on creating the "administrative policies" using whatever voting requirements that currently apply for EC policy development.

CG 2.6 changes

- At a recent IETF meeting, I noticed that they allowed for a run of tables in the registration area for the meeting sponsors to hand out information and trinkets. They also had a glossy program (labeled IETF 82 Taipei, Taiwan On-SITE INFORMATION) that included quarter page to 2 page ads for the sponsors in addition to meeting venue and transportation information. Also, at our Singapore meeting, we had a couple of tables in the hall where our sponsors handed out some literature. If we are going to attract sponsors for non-NA plenaries, we may need to relax the restrictions in 2.7 to allow sponsors to hand out some collateral and get some recognition.

OM 5.3 Registration

- Currently says
 - "The interpretation and implementation of the registration policy for IEEE 802 LMSC plenary sessions and IEEE 802 LMSC hosted interim sessions shall be the responsibility of the Treasurer and the Executive Secretary. Unless otherwise specified in WG, TAG, or ECSG P&Ps, the interpretation and implementation of the registration policy for interim sessions of IEEE 802 LMSC subgroups not hosted by the IEEE 802 LMSC shall be the responsibility of the Chair and Treasurer (if any) of the IEEE 802 LMSC subgroup(s) holding the session."
- No such thing as TAG or ECSG P&P.