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Abstract

This submission proposes to address the following CIDs 1282, 1331, changes are relative to Draft P802.11be\_D4.0, Draft P802.11REVme\_D4.2, and Draft P802.11bk D1.0.

Revisions:

Interpretation of a Motion to Adopt

A motion to approve this submission means that the editing instructions and any changed or added material are actioned in the TGax Draft. This introduction is not part of the adopted material.

***Editing instructions formatted like this are intended to be copied into the TGbk Draft (i.e. they are instructions to the 802.11 editor on how to merge the text with the baseline documents).***

***TGbk Editor: Editing instructions preceded by “TGbk Editor” are instructions to the TGbk editor to modify existing material in the TGaz draft. As a result of adopting the changes, the TGbk editor will execute the instructions rather than copy them to the TGbk Draft.***

**The text preceded by “Discussion” is not part of the adopted changes.**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **CID** | **P.L** | **Clause** | **Comment** | **Proposed Change** | **Resolution** |
| **1282** | N/A | N/A | I'm not sure "HE/EHT Ranging NDP" is clear as "HE Ranging NDP or EHT Ranging NDP, whatever". But then why do we sometimes have "HE Ranging NDP or EHT Ranging NDP"? | As it says in the comment | **Revised**.TGbk editor replace all instances of HE/EHT Ranging NDP with HE Ranging NDP or EHT Ranging NDP. (27 instances). |
| **1331** | N/A | N/A | "will" should not be used except in very specific situations | Change to "are to" at 33.22; "needs to" at 39.12, "uses" at 48.38, page 83 (3x), page 84, "shall" at 87.25, "is" at 90.15. Delete "The TXVECTOR parameters LTF\_KEY, NUM\_STS and LTF\_REP will be in array form 29 with NUM\_USERS entries. " at 87.28 (duplicates table) | **Revised.**TGbk editor make the following changes:P.33L.22 delete 'will'.P.39L.12 change: 'will need’ to 'needs'.P.48L.38 change 'will' to 'shall'P.83 change (twice) 'will use' to 'uses' from the sentence ‘Set to 1 when the EHT Ranging NDP or EHT TB RangingNDP will use secure EHT-LTF.’‘Set to 1 when the secure EHT-LTF of an EHT Ranging NDP or EHT TB Ranging NDP will use’P.84 row 'TX\_WINDOW\_FLAG' delete 'will' and change 'use' to 'uses'.P.87L.25 change ‘will be’ to ‘shall be’.P.87 L.28 delete ‘The TXVECTOR parameters LTF\_KEY, NUM\_STS and LTF\_REP will be in array formwith NUM\_USERS entries’.P.90L.15 change ‘will be’ to ‘shall be’. |